PHYS-7147 QFT

Final exam - takehome

P.Cvilanovic G.Tech, April 2003

(1)

Dimensional Analysis with $\hbar = c = 1$

(answer gustions (a) - (f))

We have set $\hbar = c = 1$. This allows us to convert a time T to a length L via T = L/c, and a length L to a mass M via $M = \hbar c^{-1}/L$. Thus any quantity A can be thought of as having units of mass to some some power (positive, negative, or zero) that we will call [A]. For example,

$$[m] = +1,$$
 (289)

$$[x^{\mu}] = -1,$$
 (290)

$$[\partial^{\mu}] = +1 , \qquad (291)$$

$$[d^d x] = -d. (292)$$

In the last line, we have generalized our considerations to theories in d spacetime dimensions.

Let us now consider a scalar field in d spacetime dimensions with lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\mu}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\varphi^{2} - \sum_{n=3}^{N} \frac{1}{n!}g_{n}\varphi^{n} . \tag{293}$$

The action is

$$S = \int d^d x \, \mathcal{L} \,, \tag{294}$$

and the path integral is

$$Z(J) = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \, \exp\left[i \int d^d x \, (\mathcal{L} + J\varphi)\right] \,. \tag{295}$$

From eq. (295), we see that the action S must be dimensionless, because it appears as the argument of the exponential function. Therefore

$$[S] = 0$$
. (296)

what is:

If you got the dimensions right, for | \$3 theory $[g_8] = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \qquad \qquad \epsilon = 6 - d$ So in general the coupling constant is "dimensionfull" 93 = 9 M mass" scale dimunsimless mumber At high energies, p² >> m 7 rest mass 4-momentum the only scale is p2, so $g_3(p^2) \simeq g |p^2|^{\Sigma/2}$ what happens is (d) & < 0? = { hontenomalisable, renormalisable, "trivial"}? (e) & =0 (f) E>0?

if you got this right, you want to study in more detail:

\$\dimensions

1- Loop Corrections to the Propagator

The (connected) progagator is related to the I-P-I propagator by P.C. "Fiell Theory" eq. (2.32):

$$\widetilde{\Delta}(k^2) = \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2 - T(k^2)}$$
 if in doubt, remember;
$$m^2 \rightarrow m^2 - i\varepsilon \qquad (306)$$

Physical mass-shell and thin
$$k^2 = -m^2$$
 pole $g \widetilde{\Delta}(k^2)$

consistent with eq. (306) if and only if

$$\Pi(-m^2) = 0 , (307)$$

$$\Pi'(-m^2) = 0 , (308)$$

One-loop contributions

$$i\Pi(k^2) = \frac{1}{2}(ig)^2 \left(\frac{1}{i}\right)^2 \int \frac{d^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \tilde{\Delta}(\ell+k)\tilde{\Delta}(\ell) - i(Ak^2 + Bm^2) + O(g^4) .$$
 (303)

Here we have written the integral appropriate for d spacetime dimensions; for now we will leave d arbitrary, but later we will want to focus on d = 6, where the coupling g is dimensionless.

Prove the Feynman's formula to combine denominators,

$$\frac{1}{a_1 \dots a_n} = \int dF_n \left(x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n \right)^{-n} , \qquad (309)$$

where the integration measure over the Feynman parameters x_i is

$$\int dF_n = (n-1)! \int_0^1 dx_1 \dots dx_n \, \delta(x_1 + \dots + x_n - 1) \,. \tag{310}$$

verify that

the measure is normalized so that

$$\int dF_n \, 1 = 1 \ . \tag{311}$$

Eq. (309) can be proven by direct evaluation for n = 2, and by induction for n > 2.

(I prefer going to the Schwinger-exponentialparametric representation, where this formula is a triviality)

(3) show that

$$\tilde{\Delta}(k+\ell)\tilde{\Delta}(\ell) = \frac{1}{(\ell^2 + m^2)((\ell+k)^2 + m^2)}$$

$$= \int_0^1 dx \left[q^2 + D \right]^{-2}.$$
(312)

In the last line we have defined $q \equiv \ell + xk$ and

$$D \equiv x(1-x)k^2 + m^2 \ . {313}$$

Wick rotation:

evaluate $\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{0}$ integral by drawing a contour in the complex g_{0} plane avoiding the m^{2} -is pole and choing it along $\int_{0}^{\infty} g_{0}$. Define $ig_{0} = \overline{g}_{0}$, $g_{i} = \overline{g}_{i}$ otherwise and a Euliden vector $[\overline{g}_{1}\overline{g}_{2},...,\overline{g}_{d}]$ such that $g^{2} = \overline{g}^{2}$, and $d^{q}_{0} = id\overline{g}_{0}$. Check that (303) becomes

$$\Pi(k^2) = \frac{1}{2}g^2I(k^2) - Ak^2 - Bm^2 + O(g^4) , \qquad (316)$$

where

$$I(k^2) \equiv \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\bar{q}^2 + D)^2} . \tag{317}$$

The angular part

of the integral over \bar{q} yields the area Ω_d of the unit sphere in d dimensions, which is $\Omega_d = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}d)$. (This is most easily verified by computing the

ussian integral $\int d^d \bar{q} \, e^{-\bar{q}^2}$ in both cartesian and spherical coordinates.)

The radial part of the \bar{q} integral can also be evaluated in terms of gamma functions.

(5) Denive

The overall result (generalized slightly for later use) is

$$\int \frac{d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{(\bar{q}^2)^a}{(\bar{q}^2 + D)^b} = \frac{\Gamma(b - a - \frac{1}{2}d)\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}d)}{(4\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(b)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}d)} D^{-(b - a - d/2)} . \tag{325}$$

In the case of interest, eq. (317), we have a = 0 and b = 2.

Useful Integrals:

You will find useful to know the following integrals:

$$\frac{1}{A^n B^m} = \frac{\Gamma(n+m)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(m)} \int_0^1 dx \, \frac{x^{n-1} (1-x)^{m-1}}{(xA+(1-x)B)^{n+m}} \tag{5}$$

$$I_{D,n} = \int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p^2 + 2p \cdot q + m_0^2)^n} = \frac{1}{2} S_D \frac{\Gamma(\frac{D}{2})\Gamma(n - \frac{D}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} \left(m_0^2 - q^2\right)^{\frac{D}{2} - n}$$
(6)

where S_D is the volume of the D-dimensional unit hypersphere

$$S_D = [2^{D-1} \pi^{D/2} \Gamma(\frac{D}{2})]^{-1}$$
 (7)

and $\Gamma(s)$ is the Γ -function

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \ t^{s-1} e^{-t} \tag{8}$$

For $s \to 0$, the Γ -function behaves like

$$\Gamma(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s+1)}{s} \approx \frac{1}{s} + \text{finite terms}$$
 (9)

 $\Gamma(x)$ is the Euler gamma function; for a nonnegative integer n and small x,

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n!, (322)$$

$$\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{(2n)!}{n!2^n} \sqrt{\pi} , \qquad (323)$$

$$\Gamma(-n+x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left[\frac{1}{x} - \gamma + \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} + O(x) \right], \qquad (324)$$

where $\gamma = 0.5772...$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

We now return to eq. (317), use eq. (324), and set $d = 6 - \varepsilon$; we get

$$I(k^2) = \frac{\Gamma(-1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})}{(4\pi)^3} \int_0^1 dx \, D\left(\frac{4\pi}{D}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} .$$
 (328)

(7) Show that in
$$\varepsilon \to 0$$
 limit $\left(\alpha \equiv \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^3}\right)$

$$\Pi(k^{2}) = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha \left[\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{6}k^{2} + m^{2} \right) + \int_{0}^{1} dx \, D \ln \left(\frac{4\pi\tilde{\mu}^{2}}{e^{\gamma}D} \right) \right]
- Ak^{2} - Bm^{2} + O(\alpha^{2}) .$$
(332)

we must still impose the conditions $\Pi(-m^2) = 0$ and $\Pi'(-m^2) = 0$. The easiest way to do this is to first note that, schematically,

$$\Pi(k^2) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \int_0^1 dx \, D \ln D + \text{linear in } k^2 \text{ and } m^2 + O(\alpha^2) .$$
 (338)

We can then impose $\Pi(-m^2) = 0$ via

$$\Pi(k^2) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \int_0^1 dx \, D \ln(D/D_0) + \text{linear in } (k^2 + m^2) + O(\alpha^2) \,. \tag{339}$$

where

$$D_0 \equiv D\Big|_{\mathbf{k}^2 = -\mathbf{m}^2} = [1 - x(1 - x)]m^2 . \tag{340}$$

(8) show that:

that $\Pi'(-m^2)$ vanishes for

$$\Pi(k^2) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha \int_0^1 dx \, D\ln(D/D_0) - \frac{1}{12}\alpha(k^2 + m^2) + O(\alpha^2) \ . \tag{341}$$

This is our final formula for the $O(\alpha)$ term in $\Pi(k^2)$.

Loop Corrections to the Vertex

We can define an exact three-point vertex function $ig\mathbf{V}_3(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ as the sum of one-particle irreducible diagrams with three external lines carrying momenta k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 , all incoming, with $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 0$ by momentum conservation. (In adopting this convention, we allow k_i^0 to have either sign; if k_i is the momentum of an external particle, then the sign of k_i^0 is positive if the particle is incoming, and negative if it is outgoing.) The original vertex iZ_qg is the first term in this sum,

ltime k2

followed by Show that

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\ell - k_1)\tilde{\Delta}(\ell + k_2)\tilde{\Delta}(\ell) = \int dF_3 \left[q^2 + D \right]^{-3}. \tag{357}$$

In the last line, we have defined $q \equiv \ell - x_1 k_1 + x_2 k_2$, and

$$D \equiv x_1(1-x_1)k_1^2 + x_2(1-x_2)k_2^2 + 2x_1x_2k_1 \cdot k_2 + m^2$$

= $x_3x_1k_1^2 + x_1x_2k_2^2 + x_2x_3k_3^2 + m^2$. (358)

After making a Wick rotation

of the q^0 contour, we have

$$\mathbf{V}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = Z_g + g^2 \int dF_3 \int \frac{d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\bar{q}^2 + D)^3} + O(g^4) , \qquad (359)$$

$$\int \frac{d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(\bar{q}^2 + D)^3} = \frac{\Gamma(3 - \frac{1}{2}d)}{2(4\pi)^{d/2}} D^{-(3-d/2)} . \tag{360}$$

Then we set $d = 6 - \varepsilon$. To keep g dimensionless, we make the replacement $g \to g \, \tilde{\mu}^{\varepsilon/2}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{V}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = Z_g + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \int dF_3 \left(\frac{4\pi\tilde{\mu}^2}{D}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} + O(\alpha^2) , \qquad (361)$$

take the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit. The result is

$$\mathbf{V}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = Z_g + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \left[\frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \int dF_3 \ln \left(\frac{4\pi\tilde{\mu}^2}{e^{\gamma}D} \right) \right] + O(\alpha^2) , \qquad (362)$$

where we have used $\int dF_3 = 1$. We use $\mu^2 = 4\pi e^{-\gamma} \tilde{\mu}^2$, set

$$Z_a = 1 + C (363)$$

and rearrange to get

.

$$\mathbf{V}_{3}(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}) = 1 + \left\{ \alpha \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln(\mu/m) \right] + C \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\alpha \int dF_{3} D \ln(D/m^{2})$$

$$+ O(\alpha^{2}) .$$

$$(364)$$

If we take C to have the form

$$C = -\alpha \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln(\mu/m) + \kappa_C \right] + O(\alpha^2) , \qquad (365)$$

where κ_C is a purely numerical constant, then we get

$$\mathbf{V}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \int dF_3 \ln(D/m^2) - \kappa_C \alpha + O(\alpha^2) .$$
 (366)

Thus this choice of C renders $\mathbf{V}_3(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ finite and independent of μ , as required.

We now need a condition, analogous to $\Pi(-m^2) = 0$ and $\Pi'(-m^2) = 0$, to fix the value of κ_C . These conditions on $\Pi(k^2)$ were mandated by known properties of the exact propagator, but there is nothing directly comparable for the vertex. Different choices of κ_C correspond to different definitions of

the coupling g. This is because, in order to measure g, we would measure a cross section that depends on g; these cross sections also depend on κ_C . Thus we can use any value for κ_C that we might fancy, as long as we all agree on that value when we compare our calculations with experimental measurements. It is then most convenient to simply set $\kappa_C = 0$. This corresponds to the condition

$$\mathbf{V}_3(0,0,0) = 1. (367)$$

This condition can then be used to fix the higher-order (in g) terms in Z_g .

& have a good summer &