## Math Methods and Experimental Physics

Distributions

## Distributions

There are tons and tons of interesting, useful distributions. I will only describe a handful:

- Binomial
- Poisson
- Normal
- Uniform


## Average, variance, other moments

You have a set of N measurement $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$. You can calculate the average:

$$
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}
$$

And you can calculate the variance:

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}
$$

And normalized moments:

$$
E\left[X^{n}\right]=\frac{1}{N \sigma^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{n}
$$

Third moment: skewness. Fourth moment: kurtosis

Average, variance, other moments


Negative Skew


Positive Skew


## Binomial Distribution

Imagine an experiment with 2 outcomes, called "success" and "failure". Success has a per-experiment probability $p$ and failure 1-p.

Out of a fixed number, N , trials/experiments, what is the probability of r successes?

$$
P_{N}(r)=\binom{r}{N} p^{r}(1-p)^{N-r}=\frac{N!}{r!(N-r)!} p^{r}(1-p)^{N-r}
$$



Ways to combine r successes $r$ successes and $N-r$ failures

## Binomial Distribution

Assuming we know $p$, we can calculate the expectation of $r$ and the variance:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{N} r P(r)=N p \\
\sigma^{2}=N p(1-p)
\end{gathered}
$$

But we can know that the process is binomial, while $p$ is unknown:

$$
\bar{p}=\frac{\bar{r}}{N} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { (See that this only makes sense if we have } \\
& \text { a way to estimate } \bar{r}, \text { e.g. a frequentist approach) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $s^{2}$ is a point estimate
of the variance.
$s^{2}=\frac{N}{N-1} \bar{r}\left(1-\frac{\bar{r}}{N}\right)$

## Binomial Distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=10 \\
& p=0.25,0.5,0.75 \\
& 10,000 \text { trials }
\end{aligned}
$$

"Coin toss, ten times"
mean=4.994
variance $=2.438$


## Do I have to work on a weekend?

IceCube reports, real time, neutrinos that are likely to be astrophysical. We review these alerts after they are sent. From April 2016 to October 2018 IceCube sent 16 alerts, of which 11 fell on a weekend. What's the probability of that?

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{\text {weekday }}=2 / 7 \quad p_{\text {weekend }}=5 / 7 \\
P_{16}(11)=\frac{16!}{11!5!}(2 / 7)^{11}(5 / 7)^{5}=8.4 \times 10^{-4}
\end{gathered}
$$

A related question: how probable is that we got at least, that unlucky?

$$
P_{16}(11)+P_{16}(12)+P_{16}(13)+P_{16}(14)+P_{16}(15)+P_{16}(16)=10^{-3}
$$

This last quantity is called a p-value.

## Poisson Distribution

An event can occur $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ many times* in a very large set of trials. The average number of occurrences is $\mu$. Then the probability of observing $n$, given $\mu$ is:

$$
P_{\mu}(n)=\frac{\mu^{n}}{n!} e^{-\mu}
$$

"Count" experiments are frequently described by Poisson statistics. Given $\mu$, the expected measurement is: $\bar{n}=\mu$
And the variance is: $\sigma^{2}=\mu$
This gives rise to the counting "statistical" uncertainty: $N \pm \sqrt{N}$
If $\mu$ is not known, then $\bar{n}$ serves as expectation and mean and variance point estimates.
$\left(^{*}\right)$ If there's an upper bound, N , a binomial distribution may be more appropriate.

## Poisson Distribution



Histograms: python (numpy) generated. 1000 samples.

## Colliding with asteroids

On average, a spaceship collides with one asteroid in a 100-year mission. What is the probability of not-being hit over 10 years?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=0.1=R \Delta T \\
P_{\mu=0.1}(0)=0.904
\end{gathered}
$$

Which means that the probability of being hit is:

$$
P_{\mu=0.1}(\geq 1)=0.095
$$

Discuss: why is $P_{\mu}(\geq 1)$ different than 0.1 ?

## Colliding with asteroids

On average, a spaceship collides with one asteroid for a 100-year mission. What is the probability of not-being hit over 10 years?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=0.1=R \Delta T \\
P_{\mu=0.1}(0)=0.904
\end{gathered}
$$

Which means that the probability of being hit is:

$$
P_{\mu=0.1}(\geq 1)=0.095
$$

Discuss: why is $P_{\mu}(\geq 1)$ different than 0.1 ? For small $\mu$ :

$$
P_{\mu}(0)=e^{-\mu} \quad P_{\mu}(\geq 1)=1-e^{-\mu} \sim \mu
$$

## Normal (Gaussian) distribution

```
Note that x is real
```

The normal distribution is continuous: probability density function

$$
P(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma}} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Here x is a random real-valued variable, $\mu$ is the mean and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation (the variance is $\sigma^{2}$ )

A Gaussian probability of mean $\mu$ and variance $\mu$, for integer-valued random variables, is the limit of $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ of the Poisson distribution.

## Normal vs. Poisson



| Poisson: |
| :--- |
| $\mu=15$ |
|  |
| Normal: |
| $\mu=15$ |
| $\sigma^{2}=15$ |
| 10,000 trials |

## Normal distribution




## Error function

The error function is: $\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-x}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} d t$

## Cumulative Distribution Function for the normal distribution

The normal cumulative distribution function is:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2} \sigma}\right)\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Integrating from the left } \\
& \text { or }-\infty \text { to } x .
\end{aligned}
$$

... and if you integrate from the right:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2} \sigma}\right)\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Integrating from the right } \\
& \text { or } \mathrm{x} \text { to } \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

And if you want the central range (not a CDF): $\quad \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sqrt{2} \sigma}\right) \quad$ Integral from -x to x

## Quantiles for the normal distribution

We can shift/rescale any normal to one that has $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$ with an appropriate change of variables

$$
t=(x-\mu) / \sigma \quad P(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-(x-\mu)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} \rightarrow P(t)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-t^{2} / 2}
$$

This allows quantiles (one-sided from the left or right or two-sided) to be calculated in units of sigma
$\mathrm{t}=90 \%$, two-sided 1.64 sigma
$\mathrm{t}=95 \%$, two-sided 1.96 sigma

## "Sigmas" as a measure of quantile

But we can flip this around and ask what is the quantile that corresponds to a given sigma.

|  | Sigma | Quantile <br> 2-sided | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { part in ... } \\ & 2 \text {-sided } \end{aligned}$ | Quantile <br> 1-sided | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { part in ... } \\ & 1 \text {-sided } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 100\% | -- | 50\% | 2 |
|  | 1 | 68.3\% | 3.15 | 84.1\% | 6.30 |
| $\Gamma$ | 2 | 95.4\% | 22.0 | 97.7\% | 44.0 |
|  | 3 | 99.7\% | 370 | 99.9\% | 740 |
|  | 4 | 99.9937\% | 15,800 | 99.997\% | 31,600 |
|  | 5 | 99.99994\% | 1,740,000 | 99.99997\% | 3,490,000 |

## "Sigmas" as a measure of quantile

Sigmas are routinely used in a similar way as quantiles for distributions that are NOT normal. Here's an example with one-sided sigmas.

N.B. I've used 1,000,000 random samples from a log-normal dist.

## Uniform distribution

Note that x is real
The uniform distribution is continuous:
Probability density function

Equally probable random variables between in [a,b]

Average: $1 / 2(a+b)$
Variance: $(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})^{2} / 12$

