

Chapter 13

Fixed points, and how to get them

Cycles. Is there anything they can't do?
— Mason Porter, channeling Homer Simpson

HAVING SET UP the dynamical context, we now turn to the key and unavoidable numerical task in this subject; we must search for the solutions (x, T) , $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $T \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the *periodic orbit condition*

$$f^{t+T}(x) = f^t(x), \quad T > 0 \quad (13.1)$$

for a given flow or map.

In chapters 18 and 19 we will establish that spectra of evolution operators can be extracted from periodic orbit sums:

$$\sum (\text{spectral eigenvalues}) = \sum (\text{periodic orbits}) .$$

Hence, periodic orbits are the necessary ingredient for evaluation of the spectra of evolution operators. We need to know what periodic orbits can exist, and the symbolic dynamics developed so far is an invaluable tool toward this end.

This chapter is intended as a hands-on guide to extracting periodic orbits, and should be skipped on first reading - you can return to it whenever the need for finding actual cycles arises. A serious cyclist will want to also learn about the variational methods to find cycles, chapter 29. They are particularly useful when little is known about the topology of a flow, such as in high-dimensional periodic orbit searches. chapter 29



fast track:
chapter 14, p. 301

Due to the exponential divergence of nearby trajectories in chaotic dynamical systems, fixed point searches based on direct solutions of the fixed-point condition (13.1) as an initial value problem can be numerically very unstable. Methods that start with initial guesses for a number of points along the cycle, such as the multipoint shooting method described here in sect. 13.2, and the variational methods of chapter 29, are considerably more robust and safer. chapter 29

A prerequisite for any exhaustive cycle search is a good understanding of the topology of the flow: a preliminary step to any serious periodic orbit calculation is preparing a list of all distinct admissible prime periodic symbol sequences, such as the list given in table 15.1. The relations between the temporal symbol sequences and the spatial layout of the topologically distinct regions of the state space discussed in chapters 11 and 12 should enable us to guess the location of a series of periodic points along a cycle. Armed with such an informed guess we proceed to improve it by methods such as Newton-Raphson iteration; we show how this works by applying Newton method to 1- and d -dimensional maps. But first, where are the cycles?

13.1 Where are the cycles?

Q: What if you choose a really bad initial condition and it doesn't converge? A: Well then you only have yourself to blame.

— T.D. Lee

The simplest and conceptually easiest setting for guessing where the cycles are is the case of planar billiards. The Maupertuis principle of least action here dictates that the physical trajectories minimize the length of an approximate orbit that visits a desired sequence of boundary bounces.



example 13.1
p. 294

If we were only so lucky. Real life finds us staring at something like Yang-Mills or Navier-Stokes equations, utterly clueless. What to do?

One, there is always mindless computation. Some might be satisfied with any rampaging robot that finds “the most important” cycles. The ergodic explorations of recurrences sometimes perform admirably well, and we discuss this next.

13.1.1 Cycles from long time series

Two wrongs don't make a right, but three lefts do.
—Appliance guru




Figure 13.1: An ergodic trajectory can shadow an unstable periodic orbit p for a finite time.

(L. Rondoni and P. Cvitanović)

The equilibria and periodic orbits (with the exception of sinks and stable limit cycles) are never seen in simulations and experiments because they are unstable. Nevertheless, one does observe close passes to the least unstable equilibria and periodic orbits, as in figure 13.1. Ergodic exploration by long-time trajectories (or long-lived transients, in case of strange repellers) can uncover state space regions with near finite time recurrences. In addition, such trajectories preferentially sample the natural measure of the ‘turbulent’ flow, and by initiating searches within the state space concentrations of natural measure bias the search toward the dynamically important invariant solutions.

remark 13.1

section 16.1

The search consists of following a long trajectory in state space, and looking for close returns of the trajectory to itself, see figure 13.1. Whenever the trajectory almost closes in a loop (within a given tolerance), a point close to this near miss of a cycle can be taken as an initial condition. Supplemented by a Newton routine described below, a sequence of improved initial conditions may indeed rapidly lead to closing a cycle. The method preferentially finds the least unstable orbits, while missing the more unstable ones that contribute little to the cycle expansions.

This blind search is seriously flawed: in contrast to the 3-disk example 13.1, it is not systematic, it gives no insight into organization of the ergodic sets, and can easily miss very important cycles. Foundations to a systematic exploration of ergodic state space are laid in chapters 11 and 12, but are a bit of work to implement.

13.1.2 Cycles found by thinking

Thinking is extra price.
—Dicho Colombiano

A systematic charting out of state space starts out by a hunt for equilibrium points. If the equations of motion are a finite set of ODEs, setting the velocity field $v(x)$ in (2.7) to zero reduces search for equilibria to a search for zeros of a set of algebraic equations. We should be able, in principle, to enumerate and determine

all real and complex zeros in such cases, e.g. the Lorenz example 2.2 and the Rössler example 2.3. If the equations of motion and the boundary conditions are invariant under some symmetry, some equilibria can be determined by symmetry considerations: if a function is e.g. antisymmetric, it must vanish at origin, e.g. the Lorenz $E_{Q_0} = (0, 0, 0)$ equilibrium.

As to other equilibria: if you have no better idea, create a state space grid, about 50 grid points across M in each dimension, and compute the velocity field $v_k = v(x_k)$ at each grid point x_k ; a few million v_k values are easily stored. Plot x_k for which $|v_k|^2 < \epsilon$, $\epsilon \ll |v_{max}|^2$ but sufficiently large that a few thousand x_k are plotted. If the velocity field varies smoothly across the state space, the regions $|v_k|^2 < \epsilon$ isolate the (candidate) equilibria. Start a Newton iteration with the smallest $|v_k|^2$ point within each region. Barring exceptionally fast variations in $v(x)$ this should yield all equilibrium points.

For ODEs equilibria are fixed points of algebraic sets of equations, but steady states of PDEs such as the Navier-Stokes flow are themselves solutions of ODEs or PDEs, and much harder to determine.

Equilibria—by definition—do not move, so they cannot be “turbulent.” What makes them dynamically important are their stable/unstable manifolds. A chaotic trajectory can be thought of as a sequence of visitations to equilibrium neighborhoods. Typically such neighborhoods have many stable, contracting directions and a handful of unstable directions. Our strategy will be to generalize the billiard Poincaré section maps $P_{s_{n+1} \leftarrow s_n}$ of example 12.9 to maps from a section of the unstable manifold of equilibrium s_n to the section of stable manifold of equilibrium s_{n+1} , and thus reduce the continuous time flow to a sequence of maps. These Poincaré section maps do double duty, providing us both with an exact representation of dynamics in terms of maps, and with a covering symbolic dynamics.

We showed in the Lorenz flow example 11.4 how to reduce the 3-dimensional Lorenz flow to a 1-dimensional return map. In the Rössler flow example 2.3 we sketched the attractor by running a long chaotic trajectory, and noting that the attractor is very thin, but that otherwise the return maps that we plotted were disquieting – figure 3.3 did not appear to be a 1-to-1 map. In the next example we show how to use such information to locate cycles approximately. In the remainder of this chapter and in chapter 29 we shall learn how to turn such guesses into highly accurate cycles.

13.2 Multipoint shooting method

(F. Christiansen)

Periodic orbits of length n are fixed points of f^n so in principle we could use the simple Newton method described above to find them. However, this is not an optimal strategy. The function f^n oscillates wildly, with as many as 2^n or more closely spaced fixed points, and finding a specific periodic point, such

as one with a given symbolic sequence, requires a *very* good starting guess. For binary symbolic dynamics we must expect to improve the accuracy of our initial guesses by at least a factor of 2^n to find orbits of length n . Furthermore, the Jacobian of f^n will be ill-conditioned because eigenvalues go like Λ^n . But if the map is broken up, the eigenvalues are $\approx \Lambda$. A better alternative is the *multipoint* or *multiple shooting method*. While it might very hard to give a precise initial guess for a long periodic orbit, if our guesses are informed by a good state space partition, a rough guess for each point along the desired trajectory might suffice, as for the individual short trajectory segments the errors have no time to explode exponentially. And, indeed, in chapter 11 we have developed a qualitative theory of how these cycle points are laid out topologically.

A cycle of length n is a zero of the n -dimensional vector function F :

$$F(x) = F \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - f(x_n) \\ x_2 - f(x_1) \\ \dots \\ x_n - f(x_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$


The relationship between the temporal symbol sequences and the spatial layout of the topologically distinct regions of state space discussed in chapter 11 enable us to guess the location of a series of periodic points along a cycle. Armed with such informed initial guesses, we can initiate a Newton-Raphson iteration. The iteration in Newton's method now takes the form

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(x)(x' - x) = -F(x), \tag{13.2}$$

where $\frac{d}{dx}F(x)$ is an $[n \times n]$ matrix:

$$\frac{d}{dx}F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & -f'(x_n) \\ -f'(x_1) & 1 & & & \\ & \dots & \dots & & \\ & & \dots & 1 & \\ & & & -f'(x_{n-1}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13.3}$$

This matrix can easily be inverted numerically by first eliminating the elements below the diagonal. This creates non-zero elements in the n th column. We eliminate these and are done.




When one sets up Newton iteration on a computer, it is not necessary to write the left hand side as a matrix. All one needs is a vector containing the $f'(x_i)$'s and a vector containing the n 'th column, i.e., the cumulative product of the $f'(x_i)$'s and a vector containing the right hand side. After iteration the vector containing the right hand side is the correction to the initial guess.



13.2.1 d -dimensional maps

Armed with clever initial guesses from a system's symbolic dynamics, we can easily extend the Newton-Raphson iteration method to d -dimensional maps. In this case $f'(x_i)$ is a $[d \times d]$ matrix, and $\frac{d}{dx}F(x)$ is an $[nd \times nd]$ matrix. In each of the steps above, we are then manipulating d rows of the left-hand-side matrix. (Remember that matrices do not commute - always multiply from the left.) In inverting the n th element of the diagonal we are inverting a $[d \times d]$ matrix $(1 - \prod f'(x_i))$ which can be done as long as none of the eigenvalues of $\prod f'(x_i)$ equals 1, i.e., if the cycle has no marginally stable eigen-directions.



13.3 Cost function

(R. Paškauskas and P. Cvitanović)

It pays to think in terms of a *cost* (or *error*) function $I(\Delta x) = (x + \Delta x - f(x + \Delta x))^2/2$. Periodic orbit condition (13.1) corresponds both to a zero of $I(\Delta x)$, and of its first Δx variation. Expand $I(\Delta x)$ to the second order in Δx , $I \approx \tilde{\Delta x}^2/2 + (x - f(x)) \cdot \tilde{\Delta x} + (x - f(x))^2/2$, where $\tilde{\Delta x} = (1 - J(x))\Delta x$. To find an extremum, we set the derivative with respect to $\tilde{\Delta x}$ to zero. As the term $(x - f(x))^2/2$ is a constant under Δx variation, let us define an unconstrained *cost function*

$$I_0(\tilde{\Delta x}) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta x} \cdot \tilde{\Delta x} + (x - f(x)) \cdot \tilde{\Delta x}, \tag{13.4}$$

Setting the derivative of this function

$$\frac{\partial I_0(\tilde{\Delta x})}{\partial \tilde{\Delta x}} = \tilde{\Delta x} + x - f(x) = (1 - J(x)) \cdot \Delta x + x - f(x) \tag{13.5}$$

to zero recovers the Newton setup (6A.16)

Next, we need to enforce the constraint that curbs the directions in which Δx can point. Lagrange multipliers come to help.

A *local surface of section* can be constructed when $f(x)$ is "near" the initial point x . A natural choice is a hyperplane perpendicular to the velocity vector $v(x)$. The reference point x_0 in (6A.23) is x itself, and the surface of section condition is $U(x + \Delta x) = v(x) \cdot \Delta x = 0$. Introduce a Lagrange multiplier λ , and assemble a cost function with the constraint:

$$I_1(\tilde{\Delta x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\Delta x} \cdot \tilde{\Delta x} + [x - f(x)] \cdot \tilde{\Delta x} + \lambda v(x) \cdot \tilde{\Delta x}. \tag{13.6}$$

Now we differentiate $I_1(\Delta x, \lambda)$ with respect to each argument and set the derivatives to zero. We recover the Newton setup (6A.20), with the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda = \Delta t$ interpreted as the time increment needed to place $f(x)$ onto the section, $f(x) \rightarrow f(x) + v(f(x))\Delta t$.

A *global surface of section* is a fixed surface $U(x + \Delta x) - U(x_0) \approx \partial U(x)\Delta x + U(x) - U(x_0)$ that hopefully transects all or a significant portion of recurrent parts of the flow. It is not as ‘natural’ as the local section (6A.19), but hard to avoid in practice, and one is interested not only in the fixed point itself, but in the global reach of its unstable manifold as well. The simplest choice is a hyperplane (6A.23). The cost function and the variational equations are then

$$I_2(\Delta x, \Delta t) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta x [1 - J(x)] \Delta x + (x - f(x)) \Delta x + \Delta t (\partial U(x) \Delta x + U(x) - U(x_0)), \tag{13.7}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - J(x) & \partial U(x) \\ \partial U(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta t \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} x - f(x) \\ U(x) - U(x_0) \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.8}$$

Further *continuous symmetries* can be handled in the same fashion. Suppose, for example, that we are searching for periodic orbits of a Hamiltonian flow. There, periodic orbits not only have the time-translation symmetry, but energy-translation symmetry as well. What is energy-translation symmetry? If there exists a periodic orbit at x with energy $H(x) = E$, and period T , it is very likely that it belongs to a family of orbits $(x + \epsilon \Delta x(E), T + \epsilon \Delta t(E))$ continuous under variation of E . As with the time-translation symmetry, this implies a unit Floquet multiplier: indeed, we know from sect. 7.4 that symplectic eigenvalues come in pairs, so unit multiplier in the time direction implies a unit multiplier in its dual, the energy direction, $(\Lambda_t, \Lambda_E, \dots) = (1, 1, \dots)$. But extending the number of constraints is no longer a problem: add more Lagrange multipliers. Consider the following system

$$I_3(\Delta x, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \Delta x [1 - J(x)] \Delta x / 2 + (x - f(x)) \Delta x + \lambda_1 (U(x + \Delta x) - U(x_0)) + \lambda_2 (H(x + \Delta x) - E_0) \tag{13.9}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - J(x) & \partial U(x) & \partial H(x) \\ \partial U(x) & 0 & 0 \\ \partial H(x) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} x - f(x) \\ U(x) - U(x_0) \\ H(x) - E_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.10}$$

This is the Newton iteration setup for how to search for a periodic orbit of a Hamiltonian flow with a global surface of section $U(x) = U(x_0)$ and fixed energy E_0 . Note that these instructions do not put every iteration on a surface $U(x) = U(x_0)$ and energy $H(x) = E_0$, unless the surface is a plane $U(x) = a \cdot (x - x_0)$, but instead assure that the iterations (provided they converge) will approach the surface super-exponentially.

For periodic orbits multi-point shooting generalizes in the same way as (13.3),

but with n additional equations – one for each point on a Poincaré section. The Newton setup looks like this:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & -J_n \\ -J_1 & 1 & & & \\ & \dots & 1 & & \\ & & \dots & 1 & \\ a & & & -J_{n-1} & 1 \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -v_1 \\ \dots \\ -v_n \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1 \\ \Delta x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta x_n \\ \Delta t_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta t_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_1 \\ -F_2 \\ \vdots \\ -F_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.11}$$

Solving this equation resembles the corresponding task for maps. However, we will need to invert a $[(d + 1)n \times (d + 1)n]$ matrix rather than a $[d \times d]$ matrix.

Résumé

A prerequisite for a systematic and complete cycle search is a good (but hard to come by) understanding of the topology of the flow. Usually one starts by - possibly analytic - determination of the equilibria of the flow. Their locations, stabilities, stability eigenvectors and invariant manifolds offer skeletal information about the topology of the flow. The next step is numerical long-time evolution of “typical” trajectories of the dynamical system under investigation. Such numerical experiments build up the “natural measure” and reveal which regions are most frequently visited. Periodic orbit searches can then be initialized by taking nearly recurring orbit segments and deforming them into closed orbits. With a sufficiently good initial guess, Newton-Raphson iteration then yields the period T and the location of a periodic point x_p .

section 16.4.1

The problem one faces with high-dimensional flows is that their topology is hard to visualize, and that even with a decent starting guess for a point on a periodic orbit, methods like the Newton-Raphson method are likely to fail. Methods that start with initial guesses for a number of points along the cycle, such as the multipoint shooting method of sect. 13.2, are more robust. Relaxation (or variational) methods take this strategy to its logical extreme, and start by a guess of not a few points along a periodic orbit, but a guess of the entire orbit. Just as these methods are intimately related to variational principles and path integrals, we postpone their introduction until chapter 29.

chapter 29

Commentary

Remark 13.1 Close recurrence searches. For low-dimensional maps of flows (for high-dimensional flows, forget about it) picking initial guesses for periodic orbits from close recurrences of a long ergodic trajectory seems like an obvious idea. Nevertheless,

ref. [13.1] is frequently cited. Such methods have been deployed by many, among them G. Tanner, L. Rondoni, G. Morris, C.P. Dettmann, and R.L. Davidchack [25.2, 20.15, 20.16, 13.11, 13.12] (see also sect. 20.6). Sometimes one can determine most of the admissible itineraries and their weights without working too hard, but method comes with no guarantee.

Remark 13.2 Cycles, searches, and symmetries. A few comments about the role of symmetries in actual extraction of cycles. In the N -disk billiard example, a fundamental domain is a sliver of the N -disk configuration space delineated by a pair of adjoining symmetry axes. The flow may further be reduced to a return map on a Poincaré surface of section. While in principle any Poincaré surface of section will do, a natural choice in the present context are crossings of symmetry axes, see example 7.7. In actual numerical integrations only the last crossing of a symmetry line needs to be determined. The cycle is run in global coordinates and the group elements associated with the crossings of symmetry lines are recorded; integration is terminated when the orbit closes in the fundamental domain. Periodic orbits with non-trivial symmetry subgroups are particularly easy to find since their points lie on crossings of symmetry lines, see example 7.7.

Remark 13.3 Symmetries of the symbol square. For a discussion of symmetry lines see refs. [7.5, 7.6, 13.6, 7.7, 7.8]. It is an open question (see remark 21.2) as to how time reversal symmetry can be exploited for reduction of cycle expansions of chapter 20. For example, the fundamental domain symbolic dynamics for reflection symmetric systems is discussed in some detail in sect. 21.5, but how does one recode from time-reversal symmetric symbol sequences to desymmetrized 1/2 state space symbols?

13.4 Examples

Example 13.1 *Periodic orbits of billiards.* Consider how this works for 3-disk pinball game of sect. 12.5. Label the three disks by 1, 2 and 3, and associate to every trajectory an itinerary, a sequence of labels indicating the order in which the disks are visited, as in figure 12.14. Given the itinerary, you can construct a guess trajectory by taking a point on the boundary of each disk in the sequence, and connecting them by straight lines. Imagine that this is a rubber band wrapped through 3 rings, and shake the band until it shrinks into the physical trajectory, the rubber band of shortest length.

Extremization of a cycle length requires variation of n bounce positions s_i . The computational problem is to find the extremum values of cycle length $L(s)$ where $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$, a task that we postpone to sect. 29.3. As an example, the shortest periods and stabilities of 3-disk cycles computed this way are listed table 29.3, and some examples are plotted in figure 12.14. It's a no brainer, and millions of such cycles have been computed.

Example 13.2 *Newton inversion for a 3-cycle.* Let us illustrate how this works step by step for a 3-cycle. The initial setup for a Newton step is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -f'(x_3) \\ -f'(x_1) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -f'(x_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1 \\ \Delta x_2 \\ \Delta x_3 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ F_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta x_i = x'_i - x_i$ is the correction to our initial guess x_i , and $F_i = x_i - f(x_{i-1})$ is the error at i th periodic point. Eliminate the sub-diagonal elements by adding $f'(x_1)$ times the first row to the second row, then adding $f'(x_2)$ times the second row to the third row:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -f'(x_3) \\ 0 & 1 & -f'(x_1)f'(x_3) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - f'(x_2)f'(x_1)f'(x_3) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1 \\ \Delta x_2 \\ \Delta x_3 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 + f'(x_1)F_1 \\ F_3 + f'(x_2)F_2 + f'(x_2)f'(x_1)F_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The next step is to invert the last element in the diagonal, i.e., divide the third row by $1 - f'(x_2)f'(x_1)f'(x_3)$. If this element is zero at the periodic orbit this step cannot work. As $f'(x_2)f'(x_1)f'(x_3)$ represents the stability of the cycle (when the Newton iteration has converged), this is not a good method to find marginally stable cycles. We now have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -f'(x_3) \\ 0 & 1 & -f'(x_1)f'(x_3) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1 \\ \Delta x_2 \\ \Delta x_3 \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 + f'(x_1)F_1 \\ \frac{F_3 + f'(x_2)F_2 + f'(x_2)f'(x_1)F_1}{1 - f'(x_2)f'(x_1)f'(x_3)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally we add $f'(x_3)$ times the third row to the first row and $f'(x_1)f'(x_3)$ times the third row to the second row. The left hand side matrix is now the unit matrix, and the right hand side is an explicit formula for the corrections to our initial guess. With this, we have gone through one Newton iteration.

click to return: p. ??

Example 13.3 *Newton method for time delay maps.* Some d -dimensional maps (such as the Hénon map (3.17)) can be written as 1-dimensional time delay maps of the form

$$f(x_i) = f(x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}, \dots, x_{i-d}). \tag{13.12}$$

In this case, $\frac{d}{dx}F(x)$ is an $[n \times n]$ matrix as in the case of usual 1-dimensional maps but with non-zero matrix elements on d off-diagonals.

click to return: p. ??

Exercises

- 13.1. **Cycle stability.** Add to the pinball simulator of exercise 8.1 a routine that evaluates the expanding eigenvalue for a given cycle.
- 13.2. **Pinball cycles.** Determine the stability and length of all fundamental domain prime cycles of the binary symbol string lengths up to 5 (or longer) for $R : a = 6$ 3-disk pinball.
- 13.3. **Newton-Raphson method.** Implement the Newton-Raphson method in 2-dimensional and apply it to the determination of pinball cycles.
- 13.4. **Fundamental domain fixed points.** Use the formula (8.11) for billiard Jacobian matrix to compute the periods T_p and the expanding eigenvalues Λ_p of the fundamental domain $\bar{0}$ (the 2-cycle of the complete 3-disk space) and $\bar{1}$ (the 3-cycle of the complete 3-disk space) fixed points:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & T_p & \Lambda_p \\ \hline \bar{0}: & R-2 & R-1+R\sqrt{1-2/R} \\ \bar{1}: & R-\sqrt{3} & -\frac{2R}{\sqrt{3}}+1-\frac{2R}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{1-\sqrt{3}/R} \end{array} \quad (13.13)$$

We have set the disk radius to $a = 1$.

- 13.5. **Fundamental domain 2-cycle.** Verify that for the $\bar{10}$ -cycle the cycle length and the trace of the Jacobian matrix are given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{10} &= 2\sqrt{R^2 - \sqrt{3}R + 1} - 2, \\ \text{tr } \mathbf{J}_{10} &= \Lambda_{10} + 1/\Lambda_{10} \\ &= 2L_{10} + 2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_{10}(L_{10} + 2)^2}{\sqrt{3}R/2 - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (13.14)$$

The $\bar{10}$ -cycle is drawn in figure 12.12. The unstable eigenvalue Λ_{10} follows from (7.30).

- 13.6. **A test of your pinball simulator: $\bar{10}$ -cycle.** Test your exercise 8.4 pinball simulator stability evaluation by checking numerically the exact analytic $\bar{10}$ -cycle stability formula (13.14).
- 13.7. **Rössler flow cycles.** (continuation of exercise 4.4) Determine all cycles for the Rössler flow (2.23), as well as their stabilities, up to
- 3 Poincaré section returns
 - (optional) 5 Poincaré section returns (Hint: implement (6A.24), the multipoint shooting methods for flows; you can cross-check your shortest cycles against the ones listed in the table.)

Table: The Rössler flow (2.23): The itinerary p , a periodic point $x_p = (0, y_p, z_p)$ and the expanding eigenvalue Λ_p for all cycles up to topological length 7. (J. Mathiesen, G. Simon, A. Basu)

n_p	p	y_p	z_p	Λ_p
1	1	6.091768	1.299732	-2.403953
2	01	3.915804	3.692833	-3.512007
3	001	2.278281	7.416481	-2.341923
	011	2.932877	5.670806	5.344908
4	0111	3.466759	4.506218	-16.69674
5	01011	4.162799	3.303903	-23.19958
	01111	3.278914	4.890452	36.88633
6	001011	2.122094	7.886173	-6.857665
	010111	4.059211	3.462266	61.64909
	011111	3.361494	4.718206	-92.08255
7	0101011	3.842769	3.815494	77.76110
	0101111	3.025957	5.451444	-95.18388
	0101111	4.102256	3.395644	-142.2380
	0111111	3.327986	4.787463	218.0284

- 13.8. **Cycle stability, helium.** Add to the helium integrator of exercise 2.10 a routine that evaluates the expanding eigenvalue for a given cycle.
- 13.9. **Collinear helium cycles.** Determine the stability and length of all fundamental domain prime cycles up to symbol sequence length 5 or longer for collinear helium of figure 7.2.
- 13.10. **Uniqueness of unstable cycles***.** Prove that there exists only one 3-disk prime cycle for a given finite admissible prime cycle symbol string. Hints: look at the Poincaré maps; can you show that there is exponential contraction to a unique periodic point with a given itinerary? Exercise 29.1 might be helpful in this effort.
- 13.11. **Newton setups for flows.**
- We have formulated three Newton setups for flows: the ‘local’ setup (6A.20), the ‘hyperplane’ setup (6A.24), and the ‘global’ setup (13.8). Derive (13.8) and verify that if the surface of section is a hyperplane, it reduces to (6A.24). (Hint: it is not inconceivable that (6A.24) is wrong as it stands.)
 - (optional) Derive (13.10), the Newton setup for Hamiltonian flows.
- 13.12. **“Center of mass” puzzle**.** Why is the “center of mass,” tabulated in exercise 6A.13, often a rational number?

References

- [13.1] D. Auerbach, P. Cvitanović, J.-P. Eckmann, G.H. Gunaratne and I. Procaccia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 2387 (1987).
- [13.2] M. Baranger and K.T.R. Davies *Ann. Physics* **177**, 330 (1987).
- [13.3] B.D. Mestel and I. Percival, *Physica D* **24**, 172 (1987); Q. Chen, J.D. Meiss and I. Percival, *Physica D* **29**, 143 (1987).
- [13.4] find Helleman et all Fourier series methods
- [13.5] J.M. Greene, *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 1183 (1979)
- [13.6] P.H. Richter, H.-J. Scholz and A. Wittek, “A breathing chaos,” *Nonlinearity* **1**, 45 (1990).
- [13.7] H.E. Nusse and J. Yorke, “A procedure for finding numerical trajectories on chaotic saddles” *Physica D* **36**, 137 (1989).
- [13.8] D.P. Lathrop and E.J. Kostelich, “Characterization of an experimental strange attractor by periodic orbits” *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 4028 (1989).
- [13.9] T. E. Huston, K.T.R. Davies and M. Baranger *Chaos* **2**, 215 (1991).
- [13.10] M. Brack, R. K. Bhaduri, J. Law and M. V. N. Murthy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 568 (1993).
- [13.11] J. J. Crofts and R. L. Davidchack, “Efficient detection of periodic orbits in chaotic systems by stabilising transformations;” arXiv:nlin.CD/0502013.
- [13.12] J. J. Crofts and R. L. Davidchack, “On the use of stabilizing transformations for detecting unstable periodic orbits in high-dimensional flows,” *Chaos* **19** (2009).
- [13.13] C. Polymilis, G. Servizi, Ch. Skokos, G. Turchetti, and M. N. Vrahatis, “Locating periodic orbits by Topological Degree theory;” arXiv:nlin.CD/0211044.
- [13.14] B. Doyon and L. J. Dubé, “On Jacobian matrices for flows,” *CHAOS* **15**, 013108 (2005).
- [13.15] S.C. Farantos, “Exploring Molecular Vibrational Motions with Periodic Orbits,” *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **15**, 345 (1996); tccc.iesl.forth.gr/~farantos, tccc.iesl.forth.gr/articles/review/review1.ps.gz.
- [13.16] S.C. Farantos, “POMULT: A Program for Computing Periodic Orbits in Hamiltonian Systems Based on Multiple Shooting Algorithms,” *Computer Phys. Comm.* **108**, 240 (1998); esperia.iesl.forth.gr/~farantos/articles/po_cpc/po_ccp.ps.

- [13.17] M. Baranger, K.T.R. Davies and J.H. Mahoney, "The calculation of periodic trajectories," *Ann. Phys.* **186**, 95 (1988).
- [13.18] K.T.R. Davies, T.E. Huston and M. Baranger, "Calculations of periodic trajectories for the Henon-Heiles Hamiltonian using the monodromy method," *CHAOS* **2**, 215 (1992).
- [13.19] N.S. Simonović, "Calculations of periodic orbits: The monodromy method and application to regularized systems," *CHAOS* **9**, 854 (1999).
- [13.20] N.S. Simonović, "Calculations of Periodic Orbits for Hamiltonian Systems with Regularizable Singularities," *Few-Body-Systems* **32**, 183 (2003).
- [13.21] Z. Gills, C. Iwata, R. Roy, I.B. Schwartz and I. Triandaf, "Tracking Unstable Steady States: Extending the Stability Regime of a Multimode Laser System," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3169 (1992).
- [13.22] N.J. Balmforth, P. Cvitanović, G.R. Ierley, E.A. Spiegel and G. Vattay, "Advection of vector fields by chaotic flows," *Stochastic Processes in Astrophysics, Annals of New York Academy of Sciences* **706**, 148 (1993); preprint.
- [13.23] A. Endler and J.A.C. Gallas, "Rational reductions of sums of orbital coordinates for a Hamiltonian repeller," (2005).