


**Figure 11.1:** Binary labeling of trajectories of the symmetric 3-disk pinball; a bounce in which the trajectory returns to the preceding disk is labeled 0, and a bounce which results in continuation to the third disk is labeled 1.



## Chapter 11

# Qualitative dynamics, for cyclists

**1.1. Introduction to conjugacy problems for diffeomorphisms.** This is a survey article on the area of global analysis defined by differentiable dynamical systems or equivalently the action (differentiable) of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$ . Here  $\text{Diff}(M)$  is the group of all diffeomorphisms of  $M$  and a diffeomorphism is a differentiable map with a differentiable inverse. (...) Our problem is to study the global structure, i.e., all of the orbits of  $M$ .

—Stephen Smale, *Differentiable Dynamical Systems*

**I**N SECTS. 14.1 AND 10.1 we introduced the concept of partitioning the state space, in any way you please. In chapter 5 we established that stability eigenvalues of periodic orbits are invariants of a given flow. The invariance of stabilities of a periodic orbit is a local property of the flow.

For the Rössler flow of example 3.4, we have learned that the attractor is very thin, but otherwise the return maps that we found were disquieting – figure 3.6 did not appear to be a one-to-one map. This apparent loss of invertibility is an artifact of projection of higher-dimensional return maps onto lower-dimensional subspaces. As the choice of lower-dimensional subspace is arbitrary, the resulting snapshots of return maps look rather arbitrary, too. Other projections might look even less suggestive.

Such observations beg a question: Does there exist a “natural,” intrinsically optimal coordinate system in which we should plot of a return map?

As we shall now argue (see also sect. 12.1), the answer is yes: The intrinsic coordinates are given by the stable/unstable manifolds, and a return map should be plotted as a map from the unstable manifold back onto the immediate neighborhood of the unstable manifold.

In this chapter we show that every equilibrium point and every periodic orbit carries with it stable and unstable manifolds which provide a topologically invariant *global* foliation of the state space. This qualitative dynamics of stretching and

mixing enables us to partition the state space and assign symbolic dynamics itineraries to trajectories.

Given an itinerary, the topology of stretching and folding fixes the relative spatial ordering of trajectories, and separates the admissible and inadmissible itineraries. The level is distinctly cyclist, in distinction to the pedestrian tempo of the preceding chapter. Skip this chapter unless you really need to get into nitty-gritty details of symbolic dynamics.



fast track:  
chapter 13, p. 212

### 11.1 Recoding, symmetries, tilings

In chapter 9 we made a claim that if there is a symmetry of dynamics, we must use it. So let’s take the old pinball game and “quotient the state space by the symmetry or “desymmetrize.”

Though a useful tool, Markov partitioning is not without drawbacks. One glaring shortcoming is that Markov partitions are not unique: any of many different partitions might do the job. The 3-disk system offers a simple illustration of different Markov partitioning strategies for the same dynamical system.

The  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  symbolic dynamics for 3-disk system is neither unique, nor necessarily the smartest one - before proceeding it pays to exploit the symmetries of the pinball in order to obtain a more efficient description. In chapter 19 we shall be handsomely rewarded for our labors.


As the three disks are equidistantly spaced, our game of pinball has a sixfold symmetry. For instance, the cycles  $\overline{12}$ ,  $\overline{23}$ , and  $\overline{13}$  are related to each other by rotation by  $\pm 2\pi/3$  or, equivalently, by a relabeling of the disks. The disk labels are arbitrary; what is important is how a trajectory evolves as it hits subsequent disks, not what label the starting disk had. We exploit this symmetry by *recoding*, in this case replacing the absolute disk labels by relative symbols, indicating the type of the collision. For the 3-disk game of pinball there are two topologically distinct kinds of collisions, figure 11.1:

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & : \text{ pinball returns to the disk it came from} \\ 1 & : \text{ pinball continues to the third disk.} \end{cases} \quad (11.1)$$

[exercise 10.1]  
[exercise 9.1]

This *binary* symbolic dynamics has two immediate advantages over the ternary one; the prohibition of self-bounces is automatic, and the coding utilizes the

**Figure 11.2:** The 3-disk game of pinball with the disk radius : center separation ratio  $a:R = 1:2.5$ . (a) 2-cycles  $\overline{12}$ ,  $\overline{13}$ ,  $\overline{23}$ , and 3-cycles  $\overline{123}$  and  $\overline{132}$  (not drawn). (b) The fundamental domain, i.e., the small  $1/6$ th wedge indicated in (a), consisting of a section of a disk, two segments of symmetry axes acting as straight mirror walls, and an escape gap. The above five cycles restricted to the fundamental domain are the two fixed points  $\overline{0}$ ,  $\overline{1}$ . See figure 9.4 for cycle  $\overline{10}$  and further examples.



symmetry of the 3-disk pinball game in elegant manner. If the disks are sufficiently far apart there are no further restrictions on symbols, the symbolic dynamics is complete, and *all* binary sequences (see table 10.1) are admissible itineraries. [exercise 10.2]

**Example 11.1 Recoding ternary symbolic dynamics in binary:** Given a ternary sequence and labels of 2 preceding disks, rule (11.1) fixes the subsequent binary symbols. Here we list an arbitrary ternary itinerary, and the corresponding binary sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ternary} &: 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 3 \\ \text{binary} &: \cdot \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

The first 2 disks initialize the trajectory and its direction;  $3 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto \dots$ . Due to the 3-disk symmetry the six distinct 3-disk sequences initialized by 12, 13, 21, 23, 31, 32 respectively have the same weights, the same size partitions, and are coded by a single binary sequence. For periodic orbits, the equivalent ternary cycles reduce to binary cycles of  $1/3$ ,  $1/2$  or the same length. How this works is best understood by inspection of table 11.1, figure 11.2 and figure 9.5.

The 3-disk game of pinball is tiled by six copies of the *fundamental domain*, a one-sixth slice of the full 3-disk system, with the symmetry axes acting as reflecting mirrors, see figure 11.2 (b). Every global 3-disk trajectory has a corresponding fundamental domain mirror trajectory obtained by replacing every crossing of a symmetry axis by a reflection. Depending on the symmetry of the full state space trajectory, a repeating binary symbols block corresponds either to the full periodic orbit or to a relative periodic orbit (examples are shown in figure 11.2 and table 11.1). An irreducible segment corresponds to a periodic orbit in the fundamental domain. Table 11.1 lists some of the shortest binary periodic orbits, together with the corresponding full 3-disk symbol sequences and orbit symmetries. For a number of deep reasons that will be elucidated in chapter 19, life is much simpler in the fundamental domain than in the full system, so whenever possible our computations will be carried out in the fundamental domain.

**Example 11.2  $C_2$  recoded:** As the simplest example of implementing the above scheme consider the  $C_2$  symmetry of example 9.4. For our purposes, all that we need to know here is that each orbit or configuration is uniquely labeled by an infinite string  $\{s_i\}$ ,  $s_i = +, -$  and that the dynamics is invariant under the  $+ \leftrightarrow -$  interchange, i.e., it is  $C_2$  symmetric. The  $C_2$  symmetry cycles separate into two classes, the self-dual [exercise 9.2]

**Table 11.1:**  $C_{3v}$  correspondence between the binary labeled fundamental domain prime cycles  $\bar{p}$  and the full 3-disk ternary labeled cycles  $p$ , together with the  $C_{3v}$  transformation that maps the end point of the  $\bar{p}$  cycle into the irreducible segment of the  $p$  cycle, see sect. 9.3. Breaks in the above ternary sequences mark repeats of the irreducible domain. The multiplicity of  $p$  cycle is  $m_p = 6n_{\bar{p}}/n_p$ . The shortest pair of the fundamental domain cycles related by time reversal (but no spatial symmetry) are the 6-cycles  $\overline{001011}$  and  $\overline{001101}$ .

$\bar{p}$	$p$	$g_{\bar{p}}$	$\bar{p}$	$p$	$g_{\bar{p}}$
0	12	$\sigma_{12}$	000001	121212131313	$\sigma_{23}$
1	123	$C$	000011	121212313131232323	$C^2$
01	1213	$\sigma_{23}$	000101	121213	$e$
001	121232313	$C$	000111	121213212123	$\sigma_{12}$
011	121323	$\sigma_{13}$	001011	121232131323	$\sigma_{23}$
0001	12121313	$\sigma_{23}$	001101	121231323213	$\sigma_{13}$
0011	121231312323	$C^2$	001111	121231232312313123	$C$
0111	12132123	$\sigma_{12}$	010111	121312313232123	$C^2$
00001	12121232323231313	$C$	011111	121321323123	$\sigma_{13}$
00011	1212132323	$\sigma_{13}$	0000001	12121212323232313131313	$C$
00101	1212321213	$\sigma_{12}$	0000011	12121213232323	$\sigma_{13}$
00111	12123	$e$	0000101	12121232121213	$\sigma_{12}$
01011	121312321231323	$C$	0000111	1212123	$e$
01111	1213213123	$\sigma_{23}$	...	...	...

configurations  $++$ ,  $+-$ ,  $++--$ ,  $+++-$ ,  $+-+-$ ,  $+-+$ ,  $\dots$ , with multiplicity  $m_p = 1$ , and the asymmetric pairs  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $+-$ ,  $--$ ,  $\dots$ , with multiplicity  $m_p = 2$ . For example, as there is no absolute distinction between the “up” and the “down” spins, or the “left” or the “right” lobe,  $\Lambda_+ = \Lambda_-$ ,  $\Lambda_{+-} = \Lambda_{-+}$ , and so on. [exercise 19.4]

The symmetry reduced labeling  $\rho_i \in \{0, 1\}$  is related to the standard  $s_i \in \{+, -\}$  Ising spin labeling by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } s_i &= s_{i-1} \text{ then } \rho_i = 1 \\ \text{If } s_i &\neq s_{i-1} \text{ then } \rho_i = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{11.3}$$

For example,  $\overline{+} = \dots + + + \dots$  maps into  $\dots 111 \dots = \overline{1}$  (and so does  $\overline{-}$ ),  $\overline{+-} = \dots - + - + \dots$  maps into  $\dots 000 \dots = \overline{0}$ ,  $\overline{++-} = \dots - - + - - + \dots$  maps into  $\dots 0101 \dots = \overline{01}$ , and so forth. A list of such reductions is given in table 11.2.

**Example 11.3  $C_{3v}$  recoded - 3-disk game of pinball:**

The  $C_{3v}$  recoding can be worked out by a glance at figure 11.2 (a) (continuation of example 9.5). For the symmetric 3-disk game of pinball the fundamental domain is bounded by a disk segment and the two adjacent sections of the symmetry axes that act as mirrors (see figure 11.2 (b)). The three symmetry axes divide the space into six copies of the fundamental domain. Any trajectory on the full space can be pieced together from bounces in the fundamental domain, with symmetry axes replaced by flat mirror reflections. The binary  $\{0, 1\}$  reduction of the ternary three disk  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  labels has a simple geometric interpretation: a collision of type 0 reflects the projectile to the disk it comes from (back-scatter), whereas after a collision of type 1 projectile continues to the third disk. For example,  $\overline{23} = \dots 232323 \dots$  maps into  $\dots 000 \dots = \overline{0}$  (and so do  $\overline{12}$  and  $\overline{13}$ ),  $\overline{123} = \dots 12312 \dots$  maps into  $\dots 111 \dots = \overline{1}$  (and so does  $\overline{132}$ ), and so forth. A list of such reductions for short cycles is given in table 11.1, figure 11.2 and figure 9.5.

**Table 11.2:** Correspondence between the  $C_2$  symmetry reduced cycles  $\tilde{p}$  and the standard Ising model periodic configurations  $p$ , together with their multiplicities  $m_p$ . Also listed are the two shortest cycles (length 6) related by time reversal, but distinct under  $C_2$ .

$\tilde{p}$	$p$	$m_p$
1	+	2
0	++	1
01	-- ++	1
001	+++	2
011	--- +++	1
0001	-+-- +-++	1
0011	-+++	2
0111	---- ++++	1
00001	-+--+ -	2
00011	-+---- +-+++	1
00101	-+---- +-+++	1
00111	-+---- +-+++	1
01011	--+++	2
01111	---- +++++	1
001011	-+--- - +---+++	1
001101	-+--- - +---+++	1

### 11.2 Going global: Stable/unstable manifolds

In the linear approximation, the fundamental matrix  $M^t$  describes the shearing of an infinitesimal neighborhood in after a finite time  $t$ . Its eigenvalues and eigendirections describe deformation of an initial infinitesimal sphere of neighboring trajectories into an ellipsoid time  $t$  later. Nearby trajectories separate exponentially along the unstable directions, approach each other along the stable directions, and maintain their distance along the marginal directions.

The fixed or periodic point  $x^*$  fundamental matrix  $M_p(x^*)$  eigenvectors (5.12) form a rectilinear coordinate frame in which the flow into, out of, or encircling the fixed point is linear in the sense of sect. 4.2. These eigendirections are numerically continued into global curvilinear invariant manifolds as follows.

The global continuations of the local stable, unstable eigendirections are called the *stable*, respectively *unstable manifolds*. They consist of all points which march into the fixed point forward, respectively backward in time

$$\begin{aligned} W^s &= \{x \in M : f^t(x) - x^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\} \\ W^u &= \{x \in M : f^{-t}(x) - x^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.4}$$

The stable/unstable manifolds of a flow are rather hard to visualize, so as long as we are not worried about a global property such as the number of times they wind around a periodic trajectory before completing a par-course, we might just as well look at their Poincaré section return maps. Stable, unstable manifolds for maps are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W^s &= \{x \in \mathcal{P} : f^n(x) - x^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\} \\ W^u &= \{x \in \mathcal{P} : f^{-n}(x) - x^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}. \end{aligned} \tag{11.5}$$

Eigenvectors (real or complex pairs) of fundamental matrix  $M_p(x^*)$  play a special role - on them the action of the dynamics is the linear multiplication by  $\Lambda_i$  (for a real eigenvector) along 1- $d$  invariant curve  $W_{(i)}^{u,s}$  or spiral in/out action in a 2- $D$  surface (for a complex pair). For  $n \rightarrow \infty$  a finite segment on  $W_{(e)}^s$ , respectively  $W_{(e)}^u$  converges to the linearized map eigenvector  $e^{(e)}$ , respectively  $e^{(e)}$ . In this sense each eigenvector defines a (curvilinear) axis of the stable, respectively unstable manifold.

Conversely, we can use an arbitrarily small segment of a fixed point eigenvector to construct a finite segment of the associated manifold. Precise construction depends on the type of the eigenvalue(s).

**Expanding real and positive eigendirection.** Consider  $i$ th expanding eigenvalue, eigenvector pair  $(\Lambda_i, e_i)$  computed from  $J$  evaluated at a cycle point,

$$J(x)e_i(x) = \Lambda_i e_i(x), \quad x \in p, \quad \Lambda_i > 1. \tag{11.6}$$

Take an infinitesimal eigenvector  $\epsilon e_i(x)$ ,  $\epsilon \ll 1$ , and its image  $J_p(x)\epsilon e_i(x) = \Lambda_i \epsilon e_i(x)$ . Sprinkle the interval  $|\Lambda_i - 1|\epsilon$  with a large number of points  $x_m$ , equidistantly spaced on logarithmic scale  $\ln |\Lambda_i - 1| + \ln \epsilon$ . The successive images of these points  $f(x_j), f^2(x_j), \dots, f^m(x_j)$  trace out the curvilinear unstable manifold in direction  $e_i$ . Repeat for  $-\epsilon e_i(x)$ .

**Contracting real, positive eigendirection.** Reverse the action of the map backwards in time. This turns a contracting direction into an expanding one, tracing out the curvilinear stable manifold in continuation of  $\epsilon e_j$ .

**Expanding/contracting real negative eigendirection.** As above, but every even iterate  $f^2(x_j), f^4(x_j), f^6(x_j)$  continues in the direction  $e_i$ , every odd one in the direction  $-e_i$ .

**Complex eigenvalue pair.** Construct an orthonormal pair of eigenvectors spanning the plane  $\{\epsilon e_j, \epsilon e_{j+1}\}$ . Iteration of the annulus between an infinitesimal circle and its image by  $J$  spans the spiralling/circle unstable manifold of the complex eigenvalue pair  $\{\Lambda_i, \Lambda_{i+1} = \Lambda_i^*\}$ .

### 11.3 Horseshoes

If a flow is locally unstable but globally bounded, any open ball of initial points will be stretched out and then folded back. An example is a 3-dimensional invertible flow sketched in figure 10.5 which returns an area of a Poincaré section of the flow stretched and folded into a ‘‘horseshoe,’’ such that the initial area is intersected at most twice (see exercise 11.4, the first Figure). Run backwards, the flow generates the backward horseshoe which intersects the forward horseshoe at most 4 times, and so forth. Such flows exist, and are easily constructed—an example is the Rössler flow, discussed in example 3.4.

[exercise 11.1]

Now we shall construct an example of a locally unstable but globally bounded mapping which returns an initial area stretched and folded into a “horseshoe,” such that the initial area is intersected at most twice. We shall refer to such mappings with at most  $2^n$  transverse self-intersections at the  $n$ th iteration as the *once-folding* maps.

As an example is afforded by the 2-dimensional Hénon map

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= 1 - ax_n^2 + by_n \\ y_{n+1} &= x_n. \end{aligned} \tag{11.7}$$

[exercise 3.5]

The Hénon map models qualitatively the Poincaré section return map of figure 10.5. For  $b = 0$  the Hénon map reduces to the parabola (10.7), and, as shown in sects. 3.3 and 27.1, for  $b \neq 0$  it is kind of a fattened parabola; by construction, it takes a rectangular initial area and returns it bent as a horseshoe.


For definitiveness, fix the parameter values to  $a = 6, b = -1$ . The map is quadratic, so it has 2 fixed points  $x_0 = f(x_0), x_1 = f(x_1)$  indicated in figure 11.3 (a). For the parameter values at hand, they are both unstable. If you start with a small ball of initial points centered around  $x_1$ , and iterate the map, the ball will be stretched and squashed along the line  $W_1^u$ . Similarly, a small ball of initial points centered around the other fixed point  $x_0$  iterated backward in time,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n-1} &= y_n \\ y_{n-1} &= -\frac{1}{b}(1 - ay_n^2 - x_n), \end{aligned} \tag{11.8}$$

traces out the line  $W_0^s$ .  $W_0^s$  is the stable manifold of  $x_0$  fixed point, and  $W_1^u$  is the unstable manifold of  $x_1$  fixed point, defined in sect. 11.2. Their intersections enclose the crosshatched region  $\mathcal{M}$ . Any point outside  $W_1^u$  border of  $\mathcal{M}$  escapes to infinity forward in time, while any point outside  $W_0^s$  border escapes to infinity backwards in time. In this way the unstable - stable manifolds define topologically, invariant and optimal  $\mathcal{M}$  initial region; all orbits that stay confined for all times are confined to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Iterated one step forward, the region  $\mathcal{M}$  is stretched and folded into a *smale* horseshoe drawn in figure 11.3 (b). The horseshoe fattened parabola shape is the consequence of the quadratic form  $x^2$  in (11.7). Parameter  $a$  controls the amount of stretching, while the parameter  $b$  controls the amount of compression of the folded horseshoe. The case  $a = 6, b = 0.9$  considered here corresponds to strong stretching and weak compression. Label the two forward intersections  $f(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_s$ , with  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ , figure 11.3 (b). The horseshoe consists of the two strips  $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1$ , and the bent segment that lies entirely outside the  $W_1^u$  line. As all points in this segment escape to infinity under forward iteration, this region can safely be cut out and thrown away.

Iterated one step backwards, the region  $\mathcal{M}$  is again stretched and folded into a horseshoe, figure 11.3 (c). As stability and instability are interchanged under



**Figure 11.3:** The Hénon map for  $a = 6, b = -1$ : fixed points  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}$ , with segments of the  $W_0^s$  stable manifold,  $W_1^u$  unstable manifold. (a) Their intersection bounds the region  $\mathcal{M}$  which contains the non-wandering set  $\Omega$ . (b) The intersection of the forward image  $f(\mathcal{M})$  with the backward backward  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$  is a four-region cover of  $\Omega$ . (c) The intersection of the twice-folded forward horseshoe  $f^2(\mathcal{M})$  with backward horseshoe  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ . (d) The intersection of  $f^2(\mathcal{M})$  with  $f^{-2}(\mathcal{M})$  is a 16-region cover of  $\Omega$ . Iteration yields the complete Smale horseshoe non-wandering set  $\Omega$ , i.e., the union of all non-wandering points of  $f$ , with every forward fold intersecting every backward fold. (Y. Matsuoka)

time reversal, this horseshoe is transverse to the forward one. Again the points in the horseshoe bend wonder off to infinity as  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ , and we are left with the two (backward) strips  $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1$ . Iterating two steps forward we obtain the four strips  $\mathcal{M}_{11}, \mathcal{M}_{01}, \mathcal{M}_{00}, \mathcal{M}_{10}$ , and iterating backwards we obtain the four strips  $\mathcal{M}_{00}, \mathcal{M}_{01}, \mathcal{M}_{11}, \mathcal{M}_{10}$  transverse to the forward ones just as for 3-disk pinball game figure 10.3. Iterating three steps forward we get an 8 strips, and so on *ad infinitum*.

What is the significance of the subscript  $_{011}$  which labels the  $\mathcal{M}_{011}$  backward strip? The two strips  $\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1$  partition the state space into two regions labeled by the two-letter alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$ .  $S^+ = .011$  is the *future itinerary* for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{011}$ . Likewise, for the forward strips all  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{s_{-m} \dots s_{-1} s_0}$  have the *past itinerary*  $S^- = s_{-m} \dots s_{-1} s_0$ . Which partition we use to present pictorially the regions that do not escape in  $m$  iterations is a matter of taste, as the backward strips are the preimages of the forward ones

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = f(\mathcal{M}_0), \quad \mathcal{M}_1 = f(\mathcal{M}_1).$$

$\Omega$ , the non-wandering set (2.2) of  $\mathcal{M}$ , is the union of all points whose forward and backward trajectories remain trapped for all time. given by the intersections of all images and preimages of  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\Omega = \left\{ x : x \in \lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} f^m(\mathcal{M}) \cap f^{-n}(\mathcal{M}) \right\}. \tag{11.9}$$

Two important properties of the Smale horseshoe are that it has a *complete binary symbolic dynamics* and that it is *structurally stable*.

For a *complete* Smale horseshoe every forward fold  $f^n(M)$  intersects transversally every backward fold  $f^{-m}(M)$ , so a unique bi-infinite binary sequence can be associated to every element of the non-wandering set. A point  $x \in \Omega$  is labeled by the intersection of its past and future itineraries  $S(x) = \cdots s_{-2}s_{-1}s_0.s_1s_2 \cdots$ , where  $s_n = s$  if  $f^n(x) \in M_s$ ,  $s \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For sufficiently separated disks, the 3-disk game of pinball figure 10.3, is another example of a complete Smale horseshoe; in this case the “folding” region of the horseshoe is cut out of the picture by allowing the pinballs that fly between the disks to fall off the table and escape.

The system is said to be *structurally stable* if all intersections of forward and backward iterates of  $M$  remain transverse for sufficiently small perturbations  $f \rightarrow f + \delta$  of the flow, for example, for slight displacements of the disks, or sufficiently small variations of the Hénon map parameters  $a, b$  while structural stability is exceedingly desirable, it is also exceedingly rare. About this, more later.

### 11.4 Spatial ordering

Consider a system for which you have succeeded in constructing a covering symbolic dynamics, such as a well-separated 3-disk system. Now start moving the disks toward each other. At some critical separation a disk will start blocking families of trajectories traversing the other two disks. The order in which trajectories disappear is determined by their relative ordering in space; the ones closest to the intervening disk will be pruned first. Determining inadmissible itineraries requires that we relate the spatial ordering of trajectories to their time ordered itineraries. [exercise 11.8]

So far we have rules that, given a state space partition, generate a *temporally* ordered itinerary for a given trajectory. Our next task is the reverse: given a set of itineraries, what is the *spatial* ordering of corresponding points along the trajectories? In answering this question we will be aided by Smale’s visualization of the relation between the topology of a flow and its symbolic dynamics by means of “horseshoes.”

#### 11.4.1 Symbol square

For a better visualization of 2-dimensional non-wandering sets, fatten the intersection regions until they completely cover a unit square, as in figure 11.4. We shall refer to such a “map” of the topology of a given “stretch & fold” dynamical system as the *symbol square*. The symbol square is a topologically accurate representation of the non-wandering set and serves as a street map for labeling its pieces. Finite memory of  $m$  steps and finite foresight of  $n$  steps partitions the symbol square into *rectangles*  $[s_{-m+1} \cdots s_0.s_1s_2 \cdots s_n]$ . In the binary dynamics symbol square the size of such rectangle is  $2^{-m} \times 2^{-n}$ ; it corresponds to a region of the dynamical state space which contains all points that share common  $n$  future and  $m$  past symbols. This region maps in a nontrivial way in the state space, but in the symbol square its dynamics is exceedingly simple; all of its points are mapped by the decimal point shift (10.18) [exercise 11.2]




Figure 11.4: Kneading Danish pastry: symbol square representation of an orientation reversing once-folding map obtained by fattening the Smale horseshoe intersections of figure 11.3 into a unit square. In the symbol square the dynamics maps rectangles into rectangles by a decimal point shift.

FIG. 4. Iterative construction of the symbol plane.

$$\sigma(\cdots s_{-2}s_{-1}s_0.s_1s_2s_3 \cdots) = \cdots s_{-2}s_{-1}s_0s_1.s_2s_3 \cdots, \tag{11.10}$$

For example, the square  $[01.01]$  gets mapped into the rectangle  $\sigma[01.01] = [010.1]$ , see exercise 11.4, the first Figure (b). [exercise 11.3]

As the horseshoe mapping is a simple repetitive operation, we expect a simple relation between the symbolic dynamics labeling of the horseshoe strips, and their relative placement. The symbol square points  $\gamma(S^+)$  with future itinerary  $S^+$  are constructed by converting the sequence of  $s_n$ 's into a binary number by the algorithm (10.9). This follows by inspection from figure 11.4. In order to understand this relation between the topology of horseshoes and their symbolic dynamics, it might be helpful to backtrack to sect. 10.2.2 and work through and understand first the symbolic dynamics of 1-dimensional unimodal mappings. [exercise 11.4]

Under backward iteration the roles of 0 and 1 symbols are interchanged;  $M_0^{-1}$  has the same orientation as  $M$ , while  $M_1^{-1}$  has the opposite orientation. We assign to an *orientation preserving* once-folding map the *past topological coordinate*  $\delta = \delta(S^-)$  by the algorithm: [exercise 11.5]

$$w_{n-1} = \begin{cases} w_n & \text{if } s_n = 0 \\ 1 - w_n & \text{if } s_n = 1 \end{cases}, \quad w_0 = s_0$$

$$\delta(S^-) = 0.w_0w_{-1}w_{-2} \cdots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{1-n}/2^n. \tag{11.11}$$

Such formulas are best derived by quiet contemplation of the action of a folding map, in the same way we derived the future topological coordinate (10.9).

The coordinate pair  $(\delta, \gamma)$  maps a point  $(x, y)$  in the state space Cantor set of figure 11.3 into a point in the symbol square of figure 11.4, preserving the

topological ordering;  $(\delta, \gamma)$  serves as a topologically faithful representation of the non-wandering set of any once-folding map, and aids us in partitioning the set and ordering the partitions for any flow of this type.

## 11.5 Pruning

The complexity of this figure will be striking, and I shall not even try to draw it.

— H. Poincaré, on his discovery of homoclinic tangles, *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*

In general, not all possible itineraries are realized as physical trajectories. Trying to get from “here” to “there” we might find that a short path is excluded by some obstacle, such as a disk that blocks the path, or a potential ridge. To count correctly, we need to *prune* the inadmissible trajectories, i.e., specify the grammar of the admissible itineraries.

While the complete Smale horseshoe dynamics discussed so far is rather straightforward, we had to get through it in order to be able to approach a situation that resembles more the real life: adjust the parameters of a once-folding map so that the intersection of the backward and forward folds is still transverse, but no longer complete, as in figure 13.2 (a). The utility of the symbol square lies in the fact that the surviving, admissible itineraries still maintain the same relative spatial ordering as for the complete case.

In the example of figure 13.2 (a) the rectangles [10.1], [11.1] have been pruned, and consequently *any* trajectory containing blocks  $b_1 = 101$ ,  $b_2 = 111$  is pruned. We refer to the border of this primary pruned region as the *pruning front*; another example of a pruning front is drawn in figure 13.2 (d). We call it a “front” as it can be visualized as a border between admissible and inadmissible; any trajectory whose periodic point would fall to the right of the front in figure 13.2 is inadmissible, i.e., pruned. The pruning front is a complete description of the symbolic dynamics of once-folding maps. For now we need this only as a concrete illustration of how pruning rules arise.

In the example at hand there are total of two forbidden blocks 101, 111, so the symbol dynamics is a subshift of finite type (10.22). For now we concentrate on this kind of pruning because it is particularly clean and simple. Unfortunately, for a generic dynamical system a subshift of finite type is the exception rather than the rule. Only some repelling sets (like our game of pinball) and a few purely mathematical constructs (called Anosov flows) are structurally stable - for most systems of interest an infinitesimal perturbation of the flow destroys and/or creates an infinity of trajectories, and specification of the grammar requires determination of pruning blocks of arbitrary length. The repercussions are dramatic and counterintuitive; for example, due to the lack of structural stability the transport coefficients such as the deterministic diffusion constant of sect. 24.2 are emphatically *not* smooth functions of the system parameters. This generic lack of structural stability is what makes nonlinear dynamics so hard.

The conceptually simpler finite subshift Smale horseshoes suffice to motivate most of the key concepts that we shall need for time being.

### 11.5.1 Converting pruning blocks into Markov graphs

The complete binary symbolic dynamics is too simple to be illuminating, so we turn next to the simplest example of pruned symbolic dynamics, the finite subshift obtained by prohibition of repeats of one of the symbols, let us say  $\_00\_\_$ .

This situation arises, for example, in studies of the circle maps, where this kind of symbolic dynamics describes “golden mean” rotations. Now the admissible itineraries are enumerated by the pruned binary tree of figure 10.13 (a), or the corresponding Markov graph figure 10.13 (b). We recognize this as the Markov graph example of figure 10.14.

[exercise 13.7]

[exercise 13.8]

So we can already see the main ingredients of a general algorithm: (1) Markov graph encodes self-similarities of the tree of all itineraries, and (2) if we have a pruning block of length  $M$ , we need to descend  $M$  levels before we can start identifying the self-similar sub-trees.

Suppose now that, by hook or crook, you have been so lucky fishing for pruning rules that you now know the grammar (10.21) in terms of a finite set of pruning blocks  $\mathcal{G} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$ , of lengths  $n_{b_m} \leq M$ . Our task is to generate all admissible itineraries. What to do?

#### A Markov graph algorithm.

1. Starting with the root of the tree, delineate all branches that correspond to all pruning blocks; implement the pruning by removing the last node in each pruning block.
2. Label all nodes internal to pruning blocks by the itinerary connecting the root point to the internal node. Why? So far we have pruned forbidden branches by looking  $n_b$  steps into future for all pruning blocks. into future for pruning block  $b = 10010$ . However, the blocks with a right combination of past and future [1.0110], [10.110], [101.10] and [1011.0] are also pruned. In other words, any node whose near past coincides with the beginning of a pruning block is potentially dangerous - a branch further down the tree might get pruned.
3. Add to each internal node all remaining branches allowed by the alphabet, and label them. Why? Each one of them is the beginning point of an infinite tree, a tree that should be similar to another one originating closer to the root of the whole tree.
4. Pick one of the free external nodes closest to the root of the entire tree, forget the most distant symbol in its past. Does the truncated itinerary correspond to an internal node? If yes, identify the two nodes. If not, forget the next symbol in the past, repeat. If no such truncated past corresponds to any internal node, identify with the root of the tree.

This is a little bit abstract, so let's say the free external node in question is [1010.]. Three time steps back the past is [010.]. That is not dangerous, as no pruning block in this example starts with 0. Now forget the third step in the past: [10.] is dangerous, as that is the start of the pruning block [10.110]. Hence the free external node [1010.] should be identified with the internal node [10.].

5. Repeat until all free nodes have been tied back into the internal nodes.
6. Clean up: check whether every node can be reached from every other node. Remove the transient nodes, i.e., the nodes to which dynamics never returns.
7. The result is a Markov diagram. There is no guarantee that this is the smartest, most compact Markov diagram possible for given pruning (if you have a better algorithm, teach us), but walks around it do generate all admissible itineraries, and nothing else.

### Heavy pruning.

We complete this training by examples by implementing the pruning of figure 13.2 (d). The pruning blocks are

$$[100.10], [10.1], [010.01], [011.01], [11.1], [101.10]. \quad (11.12)$$

Blocks 01101, 10110 contain the forbidden block 101, so they are redundant as pruning rules. Draw the *pruning tree* as a section of a binary tree with 0 and 1 branches and label each internal node by the sequence of 0's and 1's connecting it to the root of the tree (figure 13.3 (a)). These nodes are the potentially dangerous nodes - beginnings of blocks that might end up pruned. Add the side branches to those nodes (figure 13.3 (b)). As we continue down such branches we have to check whether the pruning imposes constraints on the sequences so generated: we do this by knocking off the leading bits and checking whether the shortened strings coincide with any of the internal pruning tree nodes:  $00 \rightarrow 0$ ;  $110 \rightarrow 10$ ;  $011 \rightarrow 11$ ;  $0101 \rightarrow 101$  (pruned);  $1000 \rightarrow 00 \rightarrow 00 \rightarrow 0$ ;  $10011 \rightarrow 0011 \rightarrow 011 \rightarrow 11$ ;  $01000 \rightarrow 0$ .

As in the previous two examples, the trees originating in identified nodes are identical, so the tree is "self-similar." Now connect the side branches to the corresponding nodes, figure 13.3 (d). Nodes "." and 1 are transient nodes; no sequence returns to them, and as you are interested here only in infinitely recurrent sequences, delete them. The result is the finite Markov graph of figure 13.3 (d); the admissible bi-infinite symbol sequences are generated as all possible walks along this graph.

### Résumé

Given a partition  $\mathcal{A}$  of the state space  $\mathcal{M}$ , a dynamical system  $(\mathcal{M}, f)$  induces topological dynamics  $(\Sigma, \sigma)$  on the space  $\Sigma$  of all admissible bi-infinite itineraries.

The itinerary describes the time evolution of an orbit, while (for 2- $d$  hyperbolic maps) the symbol square describes the spatial ordering of points along the orbit. The rule that everything to one side of the pruning front is forbidden might (in hindsight) seem obvious, but if you have ever tried to work out symbolic dynamics of some "generic" dynamical system, you should be struck by its simplicity: instead of pruning a Cantor set embedded within some larger Cantor set, the pruning front cleanly cuts out a *compact* region in the symbol square and that is all - there are no additional pruning rules.

The symbol square is a useful tool in transforming topological pruning into pruning rules for inadmissible sequences; those are implemented by constructing transition matrices and/or Markov graphs. These matrices are the simplest examples of evolution operators prerequisite to developing a theory of averaging over chaotic flows.

Importance of symbolic dynamics is often grossly unappreciated; as we shall see in chapters 21 and 18, coupled with uniform hyperbolicity, the existence of a finite grammar is the crucial prerequisite for construction of zeta functions with nice analyticity properties.

## Commentary

**Remark 11.1** Stable/unstable manifolds. For pretty pictures of invariant manifolds other than Lorenz, see Abraham and Shaw [26].

**Remark 11.2** Smale horseshoe. S. Smale understood clearly that the crucial ingredient in the description of a chaotic flow is the topology of its non-wandering set, and he provided us with the simplest visualization of such sets as intersections of Smale horseshoes. In retrospect, much of the material covered here can already be found in Smale's fundamental paper [23], but a physicist who has run into a chaotic time series in his laboratory might not know that he is investigating the action (differentiable) of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$ , and that the Lefschetz trace formula is the way to go. If you find yourself mystified by Smale's article abstract about "the action (differentiable) of a Lie group  $G$  on a manifold  $M$ ," quoted on page 179, rereading chapter 14 might help; for example, the Liouville operators form a Lie group (of symplectic, or canonical transformations) acting on the manifold  $(p, q)$ .

**Remark 11.3** Kneading theory. The admissible itineraries are studied in refs. [12, 14, 16, 17], as well as many others. We follow here the Milnor-Thurston exposition [13]. They study the topological zeta function for piecewise monotone maps of the interval, and show that for the finite subshift case it can be expressed in terms of a finite dimensional *kneading determinant*. As the kneading determinant is essentially the topological zeta function that we introduce in (13.4), we shall not discuss it here. Baladi and Ruelle have reworked this theory in a series of papers [15, 16, 17] and in ref. [18] replaced it by a power series manipulation. The kneading theory is covered here in P. Dahlqvist's appendix D.1.

**Remark 11.4** Pruning fronts. The notion of a pruning front was introduced in ref. [19], and developed by K.T. Hansen for a number of dynamical systems in his Ph.D. thesis [8] and a series of papers [26]-[30]. Detailed studies of pruning fronts are carried out in refs. [20, 22, 21]; ref. [5] is the most detailed study carried out so far. The rigorous theory of pruning fronts has been developed by Y. Ishii [23, 24] for the Lozi map, and A. de Carvalho [25] in a very general setting.

**Remark 11.5** The unbearable growth of Markov graphs. A construction of finite Markov partitions is described in refs. [10, 11], as well as in the innumerably many other references.

If two regions in a Markov partition are not disjoint but share a boundary, the boundary trajectories require special treatment in order to avoid overcounting, see sect. 19.3.1. If the image of a trial partition region cuts across only a part of another trial region and thus violates the Markov partition condition (10.4), a further refinement of the partition is needed to distinguish distinct trajectories - figure 13.2 is an example of such refinements.

The finite Markov graph construction sketched above is not necessarily the minimal one; for example, the Markov graph of figure 13.3 does not generate only the "fundamental" cycles (see chapter 18), but shadowed cycles as well, such as  $t_{00011}$  in (13.17). For methods of reduction to a minimal graph, consult refs. [6, 51, 7]. Furthermore, when one

implements the time reversed dynamics by the same algorithm, one usually gets a graph of very different topology even though both graphs generate the same admissible sequences, and have the same determinant. The algorithm described here makes some sense for 1- $d$  dynamics, but is unnatural for 2- $d$  maps whose dynamics it treats as 1-dimensional. In practice, generic pruning grows longer and longer, and more plentiful pruning rules. For generic flows the refinements might never stop, and almost always we might have to deal with infinite Markov partitions, such as those that will be discussed in sect. 13.6. Not only do the Markov graphs get more and more unwieldy, they have the unpleasant property that every time we add a new rule, the graph has to be constructed from scratch, and it might look very different from the previous one, even though it leads to a minute modification of the topological entropy. The most determined effort to construct such graphs may be the one of ref. [20]. Still, this seems to be the best technology available, unless the reader alerts us to something superior.



Exercises

11.1. **A Smale horseshoe.** The Hénon map

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - ax^2 + y \\ bx \end{bmatrix} \quad (11.13)$$

maps the  $(x,y)$  plane into itself - it was constructed by Hénon [2] in order to mimic the Poincaré section of once-folding map induced by a flow like the one sketched in figure 10.5. For definitiveness fix the parameters to  $a = 6, b = -1$ .

- a) Draw a rectangle in the  $(x,y)$  plane such that its  $n$ th iterate by the Hénon map intersects the rectangle  $2^n$  times.
- b) Construct the inverse of the (11.13).
- c) Iterate the rectangle back in the time; how many intersections are there between the  $n$  forward and  $m$  backward iterates of the rectangle?
- d) Use the above information about the intersections to guess the  $(x,y)$  coordinates for the two fixed points, a 2-cycle point, and points on the two distinct 3-cycles from table 10.1. The exact cycle points are computed in exercise 12.10.

11.2. **Kneading Danish pastry.** Write down the  $(x,y) \rightarrow (x,y)$  mapping that implements the baker's map of figure 11.4, together with the inverse mapping. Sketch a few rectangles in symbol square and their forward and backward images. (Hint: the mapping is very much like the tent map (10.6)).

11.3. **Kneading Danish without flipping.** The baker's map of figure 11.4 includes a flip - a map of this type is called an orientation reversing once-folding map. Write down the  $(x,y) \rightarrow (x,y)$  mapping that implements an orientation preserving baker's map (no flip; Jacobian determinant = 1). Sketch and label the first few folds of the symbol square.

11.4. **Fix this manuscript.** Check whether the layers of the baker's map of figure 11.4 are indeed ordered as the branches of the alternating binary tree of figure 10.9. (They might not be - we have not rechecked them). Draw the correct binary trees that order both the future and past itineraries.

For once-folding maps there are four topologically distinct ways of laying out the stretched and folded image of the starting region,

- (a) orientation preserving: stretch, fold upward, as in figure ??.

- b) orientation preserving: stretch, fold downward, as in figure 13.2
- c) orientation reversing: stretch, fold upward, flip, as in figure ??.
- d) orientation reversing: stretch, fold downward, flip, as in figure 11.4,

with the corresponding four distinct binary-labeled symbol squares. For  $n$ -fold "stretch & fold" flows the labeling would be  $n$ -ary. The intersection  $M_0$  for the orientation preserving Smale horseshoe, the first Figure (a) above, is oriented the same way as  $M$ , while  $M_1$  is oriented opposite to  $M$ . Brief contemplation of figure 11.4 indicates that the forward iteration strips are ordered relative to each other as the branches of the alternating binary tree in figure 10.9.

Check the labeling for all four cases.

11.5. **Orientation reversing once-folding map.** By adding a reflection around the vertical axis to the horseshoe map  $g$  we get the orientation reversing map  $\tilde{g}$  shown in the second Figure above.  $\tilde{Q}_0$  and  $\tilde{Q}_1$  are oriented as  $Q_0$  and  $Q_1$ , so the definition of the future topological coordinate  $\gamma$  is identical to the  $\gamma$  for the orientation preserving horseshoe. The inverse intersections  $\tilde{Q}_0^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{Q}_1^{-1}$  are oriented so that  $\tilde{Q}_0^{-1}$  is opposite to  $Q$ , while  $\tilde{Q}_1^{-1}$  has the same orientation as  $Q$ . Check that the past topological coordinate  $\delta$  is given by

$$w_{n-1} = \begin{cases} 1 - w_n & \text{if } s_n = 0 \\ w_n & \text{if } s_n = 1 \end{cases}, \quad w_0 = s_0$$

$$\delta(x) = 0.w_0w_{-1}w_{-2}\dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_{1-n}/2^n \quad (11.14)$$

11.6. **Infinite symbolic dynamics.** Let  $\sigma$  be a function that returns zero or one for every infinite binary string:  $\sigma : \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ . Its value is represented by  $\sigma(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)$  where the  $\epsilon_i$  are either 0 or 1. We will now define an operator  $\mathcal{T}$  that acts on observables on the space of binary strings. A function  $a$  is an observable if it has bounded variation, that is, if

$$\|a\| = \sup_{\{\epsilon_i\}} |a(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)| < \infty.$$

For these functions


$$\mathcal{T}a(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots) = a(0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)\sigma(0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots) + a(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots)\sigma(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots).$$

- (a) (easy) Consider a finite version  $T_n$  of the operator  $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$T_n a(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{1,n}) = a(0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1})\sigma(0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}) + a(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1})\sigma(1, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1}).$$

Show that  $T_n$  is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix. Show that its trace is bounded by a number independent of  $n$ .

- b) (medium) With the operator norm induced by the function norm, show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a bounded operator.
  - c) (hard) Show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is not trace class.
- 11.7. **Time reversibility.\*\*** Hamiltonian flows are time reversible. Does that mean that their Markov graphs are symmetric in all node  $\rightarrow$  node links, their transition matrices are adjacency matrices, symmetric and diagonalizable, and that they have only real eigenvalues?
- 11.8. **3-disk pruning** (Not easy) Show that for 3-disk game of pinball the pruning of orbits starts at  $R : a = 2.04821419 \dots$



References

[11.1] E. Hopf, *Ergodentheorie* (Chelsea Publ. Co., New York 1948).

[11.2] T. Bedford, M.S. Keane and C. Series, eds., *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991).

[11.3] M.S. Keane, *Ergodic theory and subshifts of finite type*, in ref. [2].

[11.4] B. Kitchens, "Symbolic dynamics, group automorphisms and Markov partition," in *Real and Complex Dynamical Systems*, B. Branner and P. Hjorth, ed. (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995).

[11.5] R. Bowen, "Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms," *Amer. J. Math.* **92**, 725 (1970).

[11.6] D. Ruelle, *Transactions of the A.M.S.* **185**, 237 (197?).

[11.7] R. Bowen, *Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows*, *Amer. J. Math.* **94**, 1-30 (1972).

[11.8] R. Bowen, *Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows*, *Amer. J. Math.* **95**, 429-460 (1973).

(Kai T. Hansen)

11.9. **Alphabet {0,1}, prune .1000., .00100., .01100.** This example is motivated by the pruning front description of the symbolic dynamics for the Hénon-type maps.

**step 1.** .1000. prunes all cycles with a .000. subsequence with the exception of the fixed point  $\bar{0}$ ; hence we factor out  $(1 - t_0)$  explicitly, and prune .000. from the rest. This means that  $x_0$  is an isolated fixed point - no cycle stays in its vicinity for more than 2 iterations. In the notation of sect. 11.5.1, the alphabet is  $\{1, 2, 3; \bar{0}\}$ , and the remaining pruning rules have to be rewritten in terms of symbols  $2=10, 3=100$ :

**step 2.** alphabet  $\{1, 2, 3; \bar{0}\}$ , prune .33., .213., .313.. This means that the 3-cycle  $\bar{3} = \overline{100}$  is pruned and no long cycles stay close enough to it for a single .100. repeat. As in example 1?!, prohibition of .33. is implemented by dropping the symbol "3" and extending the alphabet by the allowed blocks 13, 23:

**step 3.** alphabet  $\{1, 2, \underline{13}, \underline{23}; \bar{0}\}$ , prune .213., .2313., .1313., where  $\underline{13} = 13, \underline{23} = 23$  are now used as single letters. Pruning of the repetitions  $\underline{13}\underline{13}$ . (the 4-cycle  $\underline{13} = \overline{1100}$  is pruned) yields the

**result:** alphabet  $\{1, 2, \underline{23}, \underline{113}; \bar{0}\}$ , unrestricted 4-ary dynamics. The other remaining possible blocks  $\underline{213}$ ,  $\underline{2313}$ . are forbidden by the rules of step 3. (continued as exercise 13.20)

- [11.9] R. Bowen and O.E. Lanford, "Zeta functions of restrictions," pp. 43-49 in *Proceeding of the Global Analysis* (A.M.S., Providence 1968).
- [11.10] V.M. Alekseev and M.V. Jakobson, *Symbolic dynamics and hyperbolic dynamical systems*, *Phys. Reports* **75**, 287 (1981).
- [11.11] A. Manning, "Axiom A diffeomorphisms have rational zeta function," *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **3**, 215 (1971).
- [11.12] A.N. Sarkovskii, "Coexistence of cycles of a continuous map of a line into itself," *Ukrainian Math. J.* **16**, 61 (1964).
- [11.13] J. Milnor and W. Thurston, "On iterated maps of the interval," in A. Dold and B. Eckmann, eds., *Dynamical Systems, Proceedings, U. of Maryland 1986-87, Lec. Notes in Math.* **1342**, 465 (Springer, Berlin 1988).
- [11.14] W. Thurston, "On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19**, 417 (1988).
- [11.15] V. Baladi and D. Ruelle, "An extension of the theorem of Milnor and Thurston on the zeta functions of interval maps," *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **14**, 621 (1994).
- [11.16] V. Baladi, "Infinite kneading matrices and weighted zeta functions of interval maps," *J. Functional Analysis* **128**, 226 (1995).
- [11.17] D. Ruelle, "Sharp determinants for smooth interval maps," in F. Ledrappier, J. Lewowicz, and S. Newhouse, eds., *Proceedings of Montevideo Conference 1995* (Addison-Wesley, Harlow 1996).
- [11.18] V. Baladi and D. Ruelle, "Sharp determinants," *Invent. Math.* **123**, 553 (1996).
- [11.19] P. Cvitanović, G.H. Gunaratne and I. Procaccia, *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 1503 (1988).
- [11.20] G. D'Alessandro, P. Grassberger, S. Isola and A. Politi, "On the topology of the Hénon Map," *J. Phys. A* **23**, 5285 (1990).
- [11.21] F. Giovannini and A. Politi, "Generating partitions in Hénon-type maps," *Phys. Lett. A* **161**, 333 (1992);
- [11.22] G. D'Alessandro, S. Isola and A. Politi, "Geometric properties of the pruning front," *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **86**, 1149 (1991).
- [11.23] Y. Ishii, "Towards the kneading theory for Lozi attractors. I. Critical sets and pruning fronts," Kyoto Univ. Math. Dept. preprint (Feb. 1994).
- [11.24] Y. Ishii, "Towards a kneading theory for Lozi mappings. II. A solution of the pruning front conjecture and the first tangency problem," *Nonlinearity* **10**, 731 (1997).
- [11.25] A. de Carvalho, Ph.D. thesis, CUNY New York 1995; "Pruning fronts and the formation of horseshoes," preprint (1997).

- [11.26] K.T. Hansen, *CHAOS* **2**, 71 (1992).
- [11.27] K.T. Hansen, *Nonlinearity* **5**
- [11.28] K.T. Hansen, *Nonlinearity* **5**
- [11.29] K.T. Hansen, *Symbolic dynamics III, The stadium billiard*, to be submitted to *Nonlinearity*
- [11.30] K.T. Hansen, *Symbolic dynamics IV; a unique partition of maps of Hénon type*, in preparation.
- [11.31] Fa-Geng Xie and Bai-Lin Hao, "Counting the number of periods in one-dimensional maps with multiple critical points," *Physica A* **202**, 237 (1994).
- [11.32] M. Benedicks and L. Carleson, *Ann. of Math.*, **122**, 1 (1985).
- [11.33] M. Benedicks and L. Carleson, *IXth Int. Congr. on Mathematical Physics*, B. Simon *et al.*, eds., p.489, (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1989).
- [11.34] M. Benedicks and L. Carleson, *Ann. of Math.* **133**, 73 (1991).
- [11.35] G. D'Alessandro and A. Politi, "Hierarchical approach to complexity ...," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1609 (1990).
- [11.36] F. Christiansen and A. Politi, "A generating partition for the standard map," *Phys. Rev. E*, **51**, 3811 (1995); [arXiv:chaos-dyn/9411005](https://arxiv.org/abs/chaos-dyn/9411005)
- [11.37] F. Christiansen and A. Politi, "Guidelines for the construction of a generating partition in the standard map," *Physica D* **109**, 32 (1997).
- [11.38] F. Christiansen and A. Politi, "Symbolic encoding in symplectic maps," *Nonlinearity* **9**, 1623 (1996).
- [11.39] F. Christiansen and A. Politi, "Guidelines for the construction of a generating partition in the standard map," *Physica D* **109**, 32 (1997).
- [11.40] T. Hall, "Fat one-dimensional representatives of pseudo-Anosov isotopy classes with minimal periodic orbit structure," *Nonlinearity* **7**, 367 (1994).
- [11.41] P. Cvitanović and K.T. Hansen, "Symbolic dynamics of the wedge billiard," Niels Bohr Inst. preprint (Nov. 1992)
- [11.42] P. Cvitanović and K.T. Hansen, "Bifurcation structures in maps of Hénon type," *Nonlinearity* **11**, 1233 (1998).
- [11.43] R.W. Easton, "Trellises formed by stable and unstable manifolds in plane," *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **294**, 2 (1986).
- [11.44] V. Rom-Kedar, "Transport rates of a class of two-dimensional maps and flows," *Physica D* **43**, 229 (1990);
- [11.45] V. Daniels, M. Vallières and J-M. Yuan, "Chaotic scattering on a double well: Periodic orbits, symbolic dynamics, and scaling," *Chaos*, **3**, 475, (1993).