# QUANTUM FIELD THEORY a cyclist tour

## Predrag Cvitanović



#### What reviewers say:

N. Bohr: "The most important work since that Schrödinger killed the cat." · · · R.P. Feynman: "Great doorstop!"

Incomplete notes for a quantum field theory course

## Contents

Thin	igs fall apart	1
Ack	nowledgments	2
Latt	ice field theory	3
1.1	Wanderings of a drunken snail	4
1.2	Lattice derivatives	10
1.3	Periodic lattices	14
1.4	Discrete Fourier transforms	15
1.5	Lattice action	23
1.6	Information	24
1.7	Continuum field theory	28
1.8	Collective excitations: from particles to fields	28
com	mentary 30	
1.9	Exercises	31
exerc	cises 31	
Refe	erences	33
Path	i integral formulation of quantum mechanics	35
2.1	Quantum mechanics: a brief review	36
2.2	Matrix-valued functions	38
2.3	Short time propagation	40
2.4	Path integral	41
Refe	rences	43
2.5	*	43
exerc	cises 43	
Gen	erating functionals	54
Refe	erences	54
3.1	*	54
exerc	cises 54	
Path	n integrals	57
4.1	Bell polynomials	57
4.2	Legendre transforms	57
4.3	Complex actions	59
Refe	rences	59
4.4	Exercises	59
exer	cises 59	
	Thin Acki Latt 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 com 1.9 exerc Refe 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 Refe 2.5 exerc Gen Refe 3.1 exerc 4.1 4.2 4.3 Refe 4.4 exerc	Things fall apart         Acknowledgments         Lattice field theory         1.1       Wanderings of a drunken snail         1.2       Lattice derivatives         1.3       Periodic lattices         1.4       Discrete Fourier transforms         1.5       Lattice action         1.6       Information         1.7       Continuum field theory         1.8       Collective excitations: from particles to fields         commentary 30       1.9         1.9       Exercises         exercises 31       References         References

5	Field theory path integrals	63
	5.1 Field theory - setting up the notation	65
	5.2 Free propagation	67
	5.3 Free field theory	67
	5.4 Feynman diagrams	68
	5.5 Saddle-point expansions	69
	5.6 Saddle-point expansions are asymptotic	72
	commentary 74	
	References	74
	57 *	75
	exercises 75	10
	Chartie field theory	76
0	Chaotic field theory	70
		/8
	6.2 Temporal Bernoulli	79
	6.3 Determining periodic states	80
	6.4 Orbit stability	81
	6.5 Hill determinants	82
	6.6 Periodic orbit theory	84
	6.7 Reciprocal lattice	84
	6.8 Shadowing	86
	6.9 Bernoulli as a first-order difference equation	86
	6.10 *	88
	exercises 88	
	References	89
7	WKB quantization	91
	7.1 WKB ansatz	92
	7.2 Method of stationary phase	94
	7.3 WKB quantization	94
	7.4 Bevond the quadratic saddle point	96
	résumé 98 commentary 98	
	References	99
	75 *	100
	exercises 100	100
Q	Shin	102
0	9.1 Direct comparing	102
		102
		103
	exercises 103	103
Δ1	Group theory	108
<b>A1</b>	A1.1 Invariants and reducibility	100
	Deferences	100
	A1 2 *	111
	exercises 112	112

## Index

#### Preface

Ben Mottelson was the only physicist I personally knew who thought equally clearly quantum-mechanically and classically, the rest of us are not so lucky. Still, I have never understood why my colleagues say that "while we understand classical mechanics," quantum mechanics is mysterious. I never got the memo: to me it is equally magical that both classical and quantum mechanics follow variational principles.

The partition sum that accounts for all things allowed by quantum and statistical physics,

$$e^{\Gamma[\Phi]+J\cdot\Phi} = \int [d\phi] e^{S[\phi]+J\cdot\phi}, \qquad (1)$$

expresses the quantum action  $\Gamma[\Phi]$  of mid-20th century quantum field theory as a 'path integral' weighted by the work  $S[\phi]$  of the late 17th century classical mechanics. That's The Law. All else - Hamilton's equations, Maxwell's equations, Schrödinger equation - is nipping at the edges. If you now join a hedge fund, steal a gazillion dollars, destroy the planet, go hide on Mars, and decide to reconstruct all of physics at your leisure, this is *all* you have to remember. This is the whole of physics; the rest is commentary. Isn't that aaaa-maaaa-zing?

Almost every single thing we learn about quantum mechanics and thus come to believe is quantum mechanics –operators, commutators, complex amplitudes, unitary evolution operators, Green's functions, Hilbert spaces, spectra, path integrals, spins, angular momenta– under a closer inspection has nothing quantum mechanical to it. It is machinery equally suited to classical, statistical and stochastic mechanics, which in ChaosBook.org are thought of together. The common theme of the three theories is that things fall apart, and infinitely many fragments have to be pieced together to craft a theory. In the end it is only the  $i/\hbar$  granularity of phase space that is the mystery of quantum mechanics; and why, a century later, quantum mechanics is still a theory that refuses to fail?

Over the years I have watched study group after study group of graduate students grovel in orgies of spacetime, spin and color indices, and have tried desperately to deprogram them through my ChaosBook.org/FieldTheory book [1], but all in vain: students *want* Quantum Field Theory to be mysterious and accessed only by pages of index summations. Or two-forms. Or Borcher classes. These notes are yet another attempt to demystify most of field theory, inspired by young Feynman driving yet younger Dyson across the continent to Los Alamos, hands off the steering wheel and gesticulating: "Path integrals are everything!" These lectures are about "everything." The theory is developed here at not quite the pedestrian level, perhaps at a cyclist level. We start out on a finite lattice, without any functional voodoo; all we have to know is how to manipulate finite dimensional vectors and matrices. Then we restart on a more familiar ground, by reformulating the old fashioned Schrödinger quantum mechanics as Feynman path integral in chapter 2. More of such stuff can be found in ref. [1].

This version of field theory presupposes some prior exposure to statistical mechanics, electromagnetism and quantum mechanics.

Acknowledgments. These notes owe their existence to the 1980's Niels Bohr Institute's and Nordita's hospitable and nurturing environment, and the private, national and cross-national foundations that have supported this research

heroes!unsung unsung!heroes

over a span of many decades. I am indebted to Benny Lautrup both for my first introduction to lattice field theory, and for the sect. 1.3 interpretation of the Fourier transform as the spectrum of the shift operator. I have learned much about spectra of lattice operators from Han Liang. And last but not least– profound thanks to all the unsung heroes–students and colleagues, too numerous to list here–who have supported this project over many years in many ways, by surviving courses based on these notes, by providing invaluable insights, by teaching us, by inspiring us. I thank the Carlsberg Foundation and Glen P. Robinson for partial support, and Dorte Glass, Tzatzilha Torres Guadarrama and Raenell Soller for typing parts of the manuscript.

Who is the 3-legged dog reappearing throughout the book? Long ago, when I was innocent and knew not Borel measurable  $\alpha$  to  $\Omega$  sets, I asked V. Baladi a question about dynamical zeta functions, who then asked J.-P. Eckmann, who then asked D. Ruelle. The answer was transmitted back: "The master says: 'It is holomorphic in a strip'." Hence His Master's Voice (H.M.V.) logo, and the 3-legged dog is us, still eager to fetch the bone, or at least a missing figure, if a reader is kind enough to draw one for us. What is depicted on the cover? Roberto Artuso found the smørrebrød at the Niels Bohr Institute indigestible, so he digested H.M.V.'s wisdom on a strict diet of two Carlsbergs and two pieces of Danish pastry for lunch every day. Frequent trips down to Milano's ancestral home is what kept him alive.

## Chapter 1

# Lattice field theory

#### Be wise, discretize.

#### — Mark Kac

1.1	Wanderings of a drunken snail					
	1.1.1	Fields	7			
	1.1.2	Periodic field configurations	8			
	1.1.3	Orbits	9			
1.2	Lattic	e derivatives	10			
	1.2.1	Lattice Laplacian	11			
	1.2.2	Integration by parts	13			
	1.2.3	Inverting the Laplacian	13			
1.3	Periodic lattices 1					
	1.3.1	A 2-point lattice diagonalized	14			
1.4	Discre	te Fourier transforms	15			
	1.4.1	Reciprocal primitive cell	15			
	1.4.2	Projection operators	16			
	1.4.3	Eigenvectors of the translation operator	17			
	1.4.4	Cyclic group projection operators AKA discrete Fourier transform	18			
	1.4.5	Discrete Fourier transform operator	19			
	1.4.6	'Configuration-momentum' Fourier space duality	20			
	1.4.7	Lattice Laplacian diagonalized	21			
	1.4.8	Boundary conditions	23			
1.5	Lattic	e action	23			
1.6	Inform	nation	24			
	1.6.1	Information blog	27			
1.7	Continuum field theory 28					
1.8	Collective excitations: from particles to fields 28					
1.9	<b>Exercises</b>					
<b>References</b>						

We motivate path integrals to come by formulating the simplest example of a propagator, Green's function for the random walk on a lattice, as a sum over paths. In order to set the stage for the continuum formulation, we then describe lattice derivatives and lattice Laplacians, and explain how symmetry under translations enables us to diagonalize the free propagator by means of a discrete Fourier transform.

## 1.1 Wanderings of a drunken snail

Statistical mechanics is formulated in a Euclidean world in which there is no time, just space. Q. What do we mean by 'propagation' in such a space?

We have no idea what the structure of our space on distances much shorter than interatomic might be. The very space-time might be discrete rather than continuous, or it might have geometry different from the one we observe at the accessible distance scales. The formalism we use should reflect this ignorance. We deal with this problem by coarse-graining the space into small cells and requiring that our theory be insensitive to distances comparable to or smaller than the cell sizes.

Our next problem is that we have no idea why there are "particles," and why or how they propagate. The most we can say is that there is some probability that a particle steps from one cell to another cell. At the beginning of the century, the discovery of Brownian motion showed that matter was not continuous but was made up of atoms. In quantum physics we have no experimental indication of having reached the distance scales in which any new space-time structure is being sensed: hence for us this stepping probability has no direct physical significance. It is a phenomenological parameter which - in the continuum limit - is related to the "mass" of the particle.

Assume that the state of a particle is given by its position  $i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ , and that the particle is a 'scalar', i.e., it has no further internal degrees of freedom, such as spin or color. What is it like to be free? A free particle exists only in itself and for itself; it neither sees nor feels the others; it is, in this chilly sense, free. But if it is not at once paralyzed by the vast possibilities opened to it, it soon becomes perplexed by the problems of realizing any of them alone. Born free, it is constrained by the very lack of constraint. Sitting in its cell, it is faced by a choice of doing nothing (s = stopping probability) or stepping into any of the 2d neighboring cells (h = stepping probability):



The number of neighboring cells defines the dimension of the space. The stepping and stopping probabilities are related by the probability conservation:

stepping operator operator!stepping shift!operator operator!shift

$$1 = s + 2dh$$
,  $d =$  the dimension of spacetime . (1.1)

Taking the stepping probability to be the same in all directions means that we have assumed that the space is *isotropic*.

Our next assumption is that the space is *homogeneous*, i.e., that the stepping probability does not depend on the location of the cell; otherwise the propagation is not free, but is constrained by some external geometry. This can either mean that the space is infinite, or that it is compact and periodic (a torus; a Lie group manifold). That is again something beyond our ken - we proceed in the hope that the predictions of our theory will be insensitive to very large distances.

The isotropy and homogeneity assumptions imply that at distances much larger than the lattice spacing, our theory should be invariant under rotations and translations. A theory that is insensitive to the very short and very long distances is said to be well behaved in the 'ultraviolet' and 'infrared' limits.

While counting continuum Brownian paths might be tricky, counting them in a discretized space is a breeze. Divade the space into little *d*-dimensional hypercubes ('cells', 'tiles'). Let the snail start in the cell z and hop along until it stops in the cell z'.



The probability of this path is  $h^{\ell}s$ , where  $\ell$  is the number of steps. The total probability that a particle wanders from the *z*th cell and stops in the *z*'th cell is the sum of probabilities associated with all distinct paths between them:

$$\Delta_{zz'} = s \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} h^{\ell} T_{zz'}(\ell) , \qquad (1.2)$$

where  $T_{zz'}(\ell)$  is the number of all paths of  $\ell$  steps connecting lattice sites z and z'. In order to compute  $T_{zz'}(\ell)$ , define a shift operator, and its inverse (also its transpose)

$$(\mathbf{r}_{j})_{zz'} = \delta_{z+\mathbf{e}_{j,z'}}, \qquad (\mathbf{r}_{j}^{-1})_{zz'} = \delta_{z,z'+\mathbf{e}_{j}},$$
(1.3)

where  $\mathbf{e}_j$  is a unit step in direction *j*. If a particle is introduced into the *z*'th cell by a source  $J_z = \delta_{z'z}$ , the shift operator moves it into a neighboring cell:

$$(\mathbf{r}_j J)_z = \delta_{z' + \mathbf{e}_j, z} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \qquad z' \sim \mathbf{r}_{z' + \mathbf{e}_j}$$
(1.4)

The hopping operator

$$T_{zz'} = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (\mathbf{r}_j + \mathbf{r}_j^{-1})_{zz'}$$
(1.5)

lattFT - 10jan2024

generates all steps of length 1:

$$TJ = \begin{array}{c} 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & 1 \end{array}, \tag{1.6}$$

(the examples are drawn in two dimensions, so the lattice does not look square as this is meant to be a sidewise view from above the lattice). The paths of length 2 are counted by



There are 4 ways of returning, 2 ways of reaching a diagonal corner, and so on. Note –and this is the key observation– that the *z*th component of the vector  $T^{\ell}J$  counts the number of paths of length  $\ell$  connecting the *z*th and the *z*'th cells. The probability that the particle stops in the *z*th cell is given by the 'path sum'

$$\phi_z = s \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} h^{\ell} (T^{\ell} J)_z, \qquad (1.8)$$

the sum of all paths connecting the two cells. The value of the field  $\phi_z$  is the probability that the particle introduced into the cell z' by the source J ends in the cell z. According to (1.6), (1.7), the numbers of paths originating in cell z' are

$$\sum_{z} (TJ)_{z} = 2d, \quad \sum_{z} (T^{2}J)_{z} = (2d)^{2}, \quad \cdots$$

so, by the stepwise probability conservation (1.1),  $\phi_z$  is a correctly normalized probability field (probability density on lattice site *z*),

$$\sum_{z} \phi_{z} = s(1 + 2dh + (2d)^{2}h^{2} + \dots) = \frac{s}{1 - 2dh} = 1.$$
(1.9)

Actually, the powers of the hopping operator (1.5) also form a geometric series, which we can sum up like we would sum up a matrix series,

$$\phi_z = \Delta J, \qquad \Delta_{zz'} = \left(\frac{s}{1 - hT}\right)_{zz'}, \qquad (1.10)$$

yielding an explicit formula for the Euclidean free scalar particle propagator (1.2).

2024-01-07 For pedagogical reasons we have placed a single initial particle into the z'th cell by picking  $J_z = \delta_{z'z}$ . As the relation (1.8) between sources and fields is linear, particle probabilities add, and we can determine  $\phi$  for any source distribution J by solving the inhomogeneous linear equation

$$\Delta^{-1}\phi = J. \tag{1.11}$$

1

<sup>1</sup>Predrag: 2024-01-07 and, with an eye to field theory (1.41) yet to come, define the "free field action" of a given state  $\phi$  as

$$S[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \Delta^{-1} \cdot \phi \,. \tag{1.12}$$



**Figure 1.1:** Discretization of a field over two-dimensional spacetime. (a) A periodic scalar field configuration  $\phi(x)$  over a primitive cell of spatial period *L*, temporal period *T*, plotted as a function of continuous coordinates  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . (b) The corresponding discretized field configuration (1.15) over  $[10\times7]_0$  primitive cell, with the field value  $\phi_z$  at the lattice site  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  indicated by a dot. (Han Liang [7].)

A. The probability  $\Delta_{zz'}$  that a drunken snail starting out in the cell z ends up in the cell z' is given by the sum of all paths starting in z' and ending in z.

#### 1.1.1 Fields

Let us set up some 'lattice field theory' notation, conventional in solid state physics and quantum field theory. We'll return to the evaluation of the Euclidean free massive scalar particle propagator (1.10) in sect. 1.4.7.

In a *d*-dimensional hypercubic discretization of a Euclidean space, the *d* continuous Euclidean coordinates  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are replaced by a hypercubic integer lattice

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j \mathbf{e}_j \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}, \qquad \mathbf{e}_j \in \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_d\}, \qquad (1.13)$$

spanned by a set of orthogonal unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_j$ , with lattice spacing  $a_j = |\mathbf{e}_j| = \Delta x_j$ along the direction of unit vector  $\mathbf{e}_j$ . We shall use lattice units, always setting  $a_j = 1$ . A field  $\phi(x)$  over *d* continuous coordinates  $x_j$  is represented by a discrete array of field values over lattice sites

$$\phi_z = \phi(x), \quad x_j = a_j z_j = \text{lattice site}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$
 (1.14)

as sketched in figure 1.1. A lattice *field configuration* is a *d*-dimensional array of field values (in what follows, illustrative examples will be presented in one or two spatiotemporal dimensions)

A field configuration is a *point* in system's *state space* 

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ \Phi \mid \phi_z \in \mathbb{R} \, , \, z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\} \,, \tag{1.16}$$

the totality of states  $\Phi$ , given by all possible values of site fields, where  $\phi_z$  can be a single scalar field, or a multiplet of real or complex fields.

While we refer here to such discretization as a lattice field theory, the lattice might arise naturally from a many-body setting with the nearest neighbors interactions, such as many-body quantum chaos models, with a multiplet of fields at every site.

**1.1.2 Periodic field configurations** 

Q. What are these 'operators', such as the shift operator (1.3)? The hypercubic lattice  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is infinite in all directions, so these are not matrices, they are infinite-dimensional objects. Our bridge to this infinity will be to tile the infinite lattice by repeats of finite tiles.

To get a grip on these 'operators', let's start small, and make the lattice 1dimensional and finite, a chain of *L* lattice sites. For a hyper-cubic lattice the translations in different directions commute,  $r_j r_i = r_i r_j$ , so it is sufficient to understand the action of a shift on a 1-dimensional lattice. So we can write down r (1.3) in its full [*L*×*L*] matrix glory. Writing the finite lattice shift operator as an 'upper shift' matrix

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

is no good, as r so defined is nilpotent, and after L steps the particle marches off the lattice edge, and nothing is left,  $r^L = 0$ . The smart way to approximate an infinite lattice by a finite one is to insist that the discretization preserve the translational invariance, and represent the *periodic* lattice operator. On a *periodic lattice* every point is equally far from the 'boundary' L/2 steps away, the 'surface' effects are equal for all points, and the shift operator  $r_{zz'} = \delta_{z+1,z'}$  acts as a cyclic permutation matrix

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(1.17)

with '1' in the lower left corner assuring periodicity. We will (perhaps) briefly discuss other boundary conditions in sect. 1.4.8. Now, lets go back to the infinite lattice, by tiling the infinite lattice by repeats of a finite periodic field configuration.

A lattice field configuration is  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}}$ -periodic if

$$\phi_{z+\mathsf{r}} = \phi_z \tag{1.18}$$

for any discrete translation  $\mathbf{r} = n_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{a}_2 + \cdots + n_d \mathbf{a}_d$  in the *Bravais lattice* 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} n_j \mathbf{a}_j \mid n_j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},\tag{1.19}$$

shift!operator operator!shift cyclic!permutation matrix



**Figure 1.2:** (a) The intersection points *z* of the light grey lines form the integer square lattice (1.14). The primitive vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (3, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2 = (1, 2)$  form the primitive cell  $\mathbb{A} = [3 \times 2]_1$  (see (1.19)), whose translations tile the Bravais lattice  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}}$  (red points). (b) The intersection points *k* of the light grey lines form the reciprocal square lattice. Translations of reciprocal primitive vectors  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_2$  generate the reciprocal lattice  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}}$  (red points). (Shaded) The reciprocal primitive cell  $\mathbb{A}$ . A wave vector outside this region is equivalent to a wave vector within it by a reciprocal lattice translation. Note that the number of lattice sites within the reciprocal primitive cell  $\mathbb{A}$  equals the number of sites within the spatiotemporal primitive cell  $\mathbb{A}$ . (Han Liang [7].)

where the  $[d \times d]$  matrix  $\mathbb{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_d]$  formed from primitive lattice vectors  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$  defines a *d*-dimensional *primitive cell* [2, 6] (see figure 1.2 (a)).

Primitive cell A field configuration lattice-site fields (1.15) take values in the  $V_A$ -dimensional state space

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}} = \{ \Phi \mid \phi_z \in \mathbb{R} \, , \, z \in \mathbb{A} \} \, . \tag{1.20}$$

The *volume* of Bravais lattice  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{A}}$  equals the number of lattice sites  $z \in \mathbb{A}$  within the primitive cell (see figure 1.2 (a)):

$$V_{\mathbb{A}} = |\text{Det }\mathbb{A}|. \tag{1.21}$$

For example, repeats of the  $V_{\mathbb{A}} = 15$ -dimensional [5×3] primitive cell field configuration

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{-2,1} & \phi_{-1,1} & \phi_{0,1} & \phi_{1,1} & \phi_{2,1} \\ \phi_{-2,0} & \phi_{-1,0} & \phi_{0,0} & \phi_{1,0} & \phi_{2,0} \\ \phi_{-2,-1} & \phi_{-1,-1} & \phi_{0,-1} & \phi_{1,-1} & \phi_{2,-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.22)

tile periodically the doubly-infinite state space (1.15).

A. While an operator, such as the shift operator (1.3), is an infinitedimensional object, periodic tilings of spacetime enable us to treat operators as though they were finite-dimensional matrices.

#### 1.1.3 Orbits

These periodic field configurations are described by a finite number  $V_{\mathbb{A}}$  of field values.

Q. Does the way they are laid out on the lattice matter?

Consider a one-dimensional primitive cell A, defined by a single primitive vector  $\mathbf{a}_1 = n$  in (1.19). One-lattice-spacing shift operator (6.13) is a cyclic permutation operator that translates a field configuration by one lattice site,

$$\Phi = [\phi_{0} \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \phi_{3} \cdots \phi_{n-1}]$$

$$r\Phi = [\phi_{1} \phi_{2} \phi_{3} \cdots \phi_{n-1} \phi_{0}], \text{ or } (r\Phi)_{z} = \phi_{z+1},$$

$$\cdots$$

$$r^{n-1}\Phi = [\phi_{n-1} \phi_{0} \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \cdots \phi_{3}],$$

$$r^{n}\Phi = [\phi_{0} \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \phi_{3} \cdots \phi_{n-1}], \text{ so } r^{n}\Phi = \Phi.$$
(1.23)

While each field configuration  $r^{j}\Phi$  might be a distinct point in the primitive cell's state space (1.20), they are equivalent, in the sense that all consist of the same set of lattice site fields { $\phi_z$ }, up to a cyclic relabelling of lattice sites.

In this way actions of a group of relabelling permutations  $g \in G$  on field configurations over a multi-periodic primitive cell  $\mathbb{A}$  foliate the state space into a union

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}} = \{\Phi\} = \cup_p \mathcal{M}_p \tag{1.24}$$

of orbits,

$$\mathcal{M}_p = \{g \, \Phi_p \mid g \in G\} \tag{1.25}$$

each a set of equivalent field configurations, labelled p, or perhaps by  $\Phi_p$ , one of the configurations in the set. Each orbit is a fixed point of G, as any element  $g\mathcal{M}_p = \mathcal{M}_p$  simply permutes the configurations within the set. The number of distinct field configurations in the orbit is known as the *index* of orbit  $\mathcal{M}_p$ . It can be as large as |G|, the number of elements in G, or as small as 1, if the field configuration is  $\phi_z = \phi$ , a constant (or a 'steady state').

A. While an operator, such as the shift operator (1.3), is an infinitedimensional object, periodic tilings of spacetime enable us to treat operators as finite-dimensional matrices.

## **1.2 Lattice derivatives**

In order to measure spatial variations of fields, we need to define *lattice derivatives*.

Consider a function  $\phi(x)$  discretized on a *d*-dimensional lattice (1.14). Each set of field values  $\phi$  -a vector  $\phi_z$ - is a possible *field configuration*.

Assume the lattice is a hypercubic integer lattice (1.13), and let  $\{\mathbf{e}_j\}$  be the unit lattice cell vectors pointing along the *d* positive directions. The forward *lattice derivative* is then

$$(\partial_j \phi)_z = \frac{\phi(x + a\mathbf{e}_j) - \phi(x)}{a} = \frac{\phi_{z+\mathbf{e}_j} - \phi_z}{a}.$$
 (1.26)

The backward *lattice derivative* is its transpose

$$\left(\partial_{j}\phi\right)_{z}^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{\phi(x - a\mathbf{e}_{j}) - \phi(x)}{a} = \frac{\phi_{z-\mathbf{e}_{j}} - \phi_{z}}{a}.$$
(1.27)

lattice!state

lattice!configuration

lattice!derivative

derivative, lattice lattice!derivative, forward lattice!derivative, backward Anything else with the correct  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit would do, but this is the simplest choice. We can rewrite the lattice derivative as a linear operator, by introducing the *shift operator* (or *stepping operator*) (1.3) in the direction *j*. The partial lattice derivative (1.26) can now be written as a multiplication by the operator:

 $\partial_j \phi_z = \frac{1}{a} \left( \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{1} \right)_{zz'} \phi_{z'} \,.$ 

In the 1-dimensional case the  $[L \times L]$  matrix representation of the lattice derivative is:

$$\partial = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & \\ & -1 & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & 1 & & \\ & & & -1 & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1.28)

To belabor the obvious: On a finite lattice of L points a derivative is simply a finite  $[L \times L]$  matrix. Continuum field theory is a world in which the lattice is so fine that it looks smooth to us. Whenever someone calls something an "operator," think "matrix." For finite-dimensional spaces a linear operator *is* a matrix; things get subtler for infinite-dimensional spaces.

Looking forward, the continuum limit of this operator will be related to what field theorists call particle 'momentum', so we find it convenient to refer to the forward lattice difference operator in *j*th lattice direction as the 'lattice momentum' operator

$$\mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{1}, \tag{1.29}$$

where the lattice spacing is set to a = 1 (easily reinstated, if needed).

#### **1.2.1** Lattice Laplacian

I'm the field  $\phi_z$  on lattice site *z*. Q. What's up with my neighbors?

In lattice field theory 'locality' means that a field at site z interacts only with its neighbors. To keep the exposition as simple as possible, we shall -for time being- treat the spatial and temporal directions on equal footing, with the graph Laplace operator [4, 9, 15, 19]

$$\Box \phi_{z} = \sum_{z'}^{\|z'-z\|=1} (\phi_{z'} - \phi_{z}) \quad \text{for all } z, z' \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$$
(1.30)

comparing the field on lattice site z to its 2d nearest neighbors.

On the *d*-dimensional hyper-cubic lattice, Laplacian is the centered, reflection symmetric second lattice derivative,

$$\Box = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (\mathbf{r}_j - 2 \,\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r}_j^{-1}) \,. \tag{1.31}$$

operator!just a matrix

lattice!Laplacian

Laplacian!lattice

For example, the two-dimensional square lattice Laplace operator is given by

projection operators!\Cnn

$$\Box = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 - 4 \,\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{r}_2^{-1} + \mathbf{r}_1^{-1} \,, \tag{1.32}$$

and in the 1-dimensional finite periodic chain case the  $[L \times L]$  matrix representation of the lattice Laplacian is

$$\Box = \frac{1}{a^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & 1\\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1\\ 1 & & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1.33)

The lattice Laplacian measures the second variation of a field  $\phi_z$  across three neighboring sites: it is spatially *non-local*. You can easily check that it does what the second derivative is supposed to do by applying it to a parabola restricted to the lattice,  $\phi_z = \phi(az)$ , where  $\phi(az)$  is defined by the value of the continuum function  $\phi(x) = \phi^2$  at the lattice point x = az.

We can write it in terms of the 'lattice momentum' operator (1.29), and relate it to *T*, the hopping operator (1.5),

$$\Box = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathbf{p}_{j}^{\top} \mathbf{p}_{j} = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \left( \mathbf{r}_{j}^{-1} - \mathbf{1} \right) \left( \mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{a^{2}} \left( T - 2d\mathbf{1} \right) .$$
(1.34)

The Euclidean free scalar particle propagator (1.10) can thus be written as

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{h}{s}a^2\Box} \,. \tag{1.35}$$

In what follows it will be convenient to reinterpret and rescale this drunken-walk propagator  $\Delta$  (1.4) together with the probability density fields  $\phi_z$  in (1.10), and consider instead the "free field action" (??) of form

$$S[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \mathcal{J}^{-1} \cdot \phi \,. \tag{1.36}$$

where the "free" or "bare" massive scalar propagator  $\mathcal J$  is parametrized as

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{p^2 + \mu^2} \,. \tag{1.37}$$

What this parametrization says is that the mass squared  $m^2$  of the Euclidean scalar particle is proportional to  $m^2 \sim s/h$ : the heavier the particle, the less likely it is to hop, the more likely is it to stop.

A. Laplacian measures local curvature, how much I stick out among my neighbors.

Jorge L. deLyra "[...] the operator  $\Box$  has a null eigenvector, or a zero mode, on the torus."

#### **1.2.2** Integration by parts

(Please skip this and all other bits marked 'cyclist' on the first reading - not essential at this time.)

In the continuum, integration by parts moves  $\partial$  around,

$$\int [dx] \phi^{\top} \partial^2 \phi \to - \int [dx] \partial \phi^{\top} \cdot \partial \phi;$$

on a lattice this amounts to a matrix transposition

$$\left[\left(\mathsf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{1}\right)\phi\right]^{\top}\cdot\left[\left(\mathsf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{1}\right)\phi\right]=\phi^{\top}\cdot\left(\mathsf{r}_{j}^{-1}-\mathbf{1}\right)\left(\mathsf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{1}\right)\phi.$$

If you are wondering where the "integration by parts" minus sign is, it is there in discrete case at well. It comes from the identity

$$\partial^{\top} = \frac{1}{a} \left( \mathbf{r}^{-1} - \mathbf{1} \right) = -\mathbf{r}^{-1} \frac{1}{a} \left( \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{1} \right) = -\mathbf{r}^{-1} \partial.$$

Integrating by parts is now "summing by parts." Let  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  be *n*-periodic vectors, and  $(\partial a)_i = a_i - a_{i-1}$  be the difference operator. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial a)_{i} b_{i} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} (\partial b)_{i+1} .$$
(1.38)

#### **1.2.3** Inverting the Laplacian

Evaluation of perturbative corrections to be undertaken in (5.29) requires that we come to grips with the "free" or "bare" propagator  $\mathcal{J}$ . While the Laplacian is a simple difference operator (1.33), the propagator is a messier object. A way to compute is to start expanding the propagator  $\mathcal{J}$  as a power series in the Laplacian

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1}{p^2 + \mu^2} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{2k}} \Box^k \,. \tag{1.39}$$

As  $\Box$  is a finite matrix, the expansion is convergent for sufficiently large  $m^2$ . To get a feeling for what is involved in evaluating such series, evaluate  $\Box^2$  in the 1-dimensional case:

$$\Box^{2} = \frac{1}{a^{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -4 & 1 & 1 & -4 \\ -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\ 1 & & & 6 & -4 \\ -4 & 1 & & 1 & -4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1.40)

What  $\Box^3$ ,  $\Box^4$ ,  $\cdots$  contributions look like is now clear; as we include higher and higher powers of the Laplacian, the propagator matrix fills up; while the *inverse* propagator is differential operator connecting only the nearest neighbors, the propagator is integral, *non-local* operator, connecting every lattice site to any other lattice site. Due to the periodicity, these are all Toeplitz matrices, meaning that

15

projection operator each successive row is a one-step cyclic shift of the preceding one. In statistical mechanics,  $\mathcal{J}$  is the (bare) 2-point correlation. In quantum field theory, it is called a propagator, for reasons explained in sect. 1.1.

These matrices can be evaluated as is, on the lattice, and sometime it is evaluated this way, but in case at hand a wonderful simplification follows from the observation that the lattice action is translationally invariant. We show how this works in sect. 1.3.

#### 1.3 **Periodic lattices**

Our task now is to transform  $\mathcal{J}$  into a form suitable to evaluation of Feynman diagrams. The theory we will develop in this section is applicable only to translationally invariant saddle point configurations; i.e., if no translation invariance, no diagonalization by Fourier transforms, and even a propagator might be hard to evaluate.

Consider the effect of a lattice translation  $\phi \rightarrow r\phi$  on the matrix polynomial

$$S[\mathbf{r}\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \left(\mathbf{r}^{\top} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathbf{r}\right)\phi.$$

As  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$  is constructed from r and its inverse,  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$  and r commute, and  $S[\phi]$  is invariant under translations.

$$S[\mathbf{r}\phi] = S[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \cdot \phi.$$
(1.41)

If a function defined on a vector space commutes with a linear operator r, then the eigenvalues of r can be used to decompose the  $\phi$  vector space into invariant subspaces. For a hyper-cubic lattice the translations in different directions commute,  $\mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{r}_i$ , so it is sufficient to understand the spectrum of the 1-dimensional shift operator (6.13).

To develop a feeling for how this reduction to invariant subspaces works in practice, let us proceed cautiously, by expanding the scope of our deliberations to a lattice consisting of 2 points.

#### 1.3.1 A 2-point lattice diagonalized

The action of the shift operator r (6.13) on a 2-point lattice  $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi_1)$  is to permute the two lattice sites

$$\mathsf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

As exchange repeated twice brings us back to the original state,  $r^2 = 1$ , the characteristic polynomial of r is

(r+1)(r-1) = 0,

with eigenvalues  $\omega_0 = 1, \omega_1 = -1$ . The symmetrization, antisymmetrization projection operators are

$$P_0 = \frac{\mathbf{r} - \omega_1 \mathbf{1}}{\omega_0 - \omega_1} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.42)

$$P_1 = \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{1}}{-1 - 1} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.43)

lattFT - 10jan2024

exercise 6.6

16

Noting that  $P_0 + P_1 = \mathbf{1}$ , we can project a lattice state  $\phi$  onto the two normalized eigenvectors of r:

$$\phi = \mathbf{1}\phi = P_0 \cdot \phi + P_1 \cdot \phi,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(\phi_0 + \phi_1)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{(\phi_0 - \phi_1)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.44)

$$= \tilde{\phi}_0 \varphi_0 + \tilde{\phi}_1 \varphi_1 \,. \tag{1.45}$$

As  $P_0P_1 = 0$ , the symmetric and the antisymmetric states transform separately under any linear transformation constructed from r and its powers.

In this way the characteristic equation  $r^2 = 1$  enables us to reduce the 2dimensional lattice state to two 1-dimensional ones, on which the value of the shift operator r is a number,  $\omega_j \in \{1, -1\}$ , and the normalized eigenvectors are  $\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1), \varphi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -1)$ . As we shall now see,  $(\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{\phi}_1)$  is the 2-site periodic lattice discrete Fourier transform of the field  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ .

## **1.4 Discrete Fourier transforms**

Let us generalize this reduction to a 1-dimensional periodic lattice with L sites.

#### **1.4.1** Reciprocal primitive cell

Translation invariance of orbits suggests reformulating the theory in a discrete Fourier basis, a discretization approach that goes all the way back to Hill's 1886 paper [10].

The *n* consecutive shifts (1.23) return a period-*n* field configuration to itself, so acting on an one-dimensional periodic primitive cell, shift operator satisfies the characteristic equation

$$\mathbf{r}^{n} - \mathbf{1} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (\mathbf{r} - e^{\mathbf{i}k} \mathbf{1}) = 0, \qquad (1.46)$$

with the *n*-th roots of unity eigenvalues  $\{e^{ik}\}$  indexed by integers *m* 

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{n}m, \quad m = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1,$$
(1.47)

and *n* eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{r}\,\varphi(k) = e^{\mathbf{i}k}\varphi(k)\,,\quad [\varphi(k)]_z = e^{\mathbf{i}\,kz}\,.\tag{1.48}$$

The shift (1.23)

$$[\mathbf{r}\varphi(k)]_{z} = [\varphi(k)]_{z+1} = e^{ik(z+1)} = e^{ik}[\varphi(k)]_{z}$$

acts by rotating the eigenvector's overall phase.

Wave numbers k form a one-dimensional reciprocal lattice,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathbb{A}}} = \left\{ m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 = 2\pi,$$

with the primitive reciprocal lattice vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_1 = 2\pi/n$ , and the reciprocal primitive cell –the interval [0,  $2\pi$ )– that contains *n* distinct wave numbers (1.47).

transform discrete!Fourier transform Fourier!transform, discrete

lattice!Fourier

Each application of r translates the lattice one step; in L steps the lattice is projection operator projection

operator!complete, orthonormal

back in the original state  

$$\mathbf{r}^{L} = \mathbf{1}$$

$$\mathbf{r}^{k} \circ \mathbf{0} \circ \mathbf{0}^{N-1}, \qquad (1.49)$$

so the eigenvalues of r are the L distinct Lth roots of unity

$$\mathbf{r}^{L} - \mathbf{1} = \prod_{k=0}^{L-1} (\mathbf{r} - \omega^{k} \mathbf{1}) = 0, \qquad \omega = e^{i2\pi/L}.$$
 (1.50)

As the eigenvalues are all distinct and L in number, the space is decomposed into L 1-dimensional subspaces.

#### **1.4.2 Projection operators**

(Please skip this and all other bits marked 'cyclist' on the first reading - not essential at this time.)

The general theory (expounded in appendix A1.1) associates with the *k*th eigenvalue of r a projection operator that projects a state  $\phi$  onto *k*th eigenvector of r,

$$P_k = \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \omega^j \mathbf{1}}{\omega^k - \omega^j}.$$
(1.51)

A factor  $(\mathbf{r} - \omega^j \mathbf{1})$  kills the *j*th eigenvector  $\varphi_j$  component of an arbitrary vector in expansion  $\phi = \cdots + \tilde{\phi}_j \varphi_j + \cdots$ . The above product kills everything but the eigen-direction  $\varphi_k$ , and the factor  $\prod_{j \neq k} (\omega^k - \omega^j)$  ensures that  $P_k$  is normalized as a projection operator. The set of the projection operators is complete,

$$\sum_{k} P_k = \mathbf{1}, \tag{1.52}$$

and orthonormal

$$P_k P_j = \delta_{kj} P_k \qquad \text{(no sum on } k\text{)}\,. \tag{1.53}$$

In the case of discrete translational invariance, or cyclic group  $C_L$ , it is customary to write out the projection operator (1.51) as a character-weighted sum, see example 1.4.4.

As any matrix function  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r})$  of the translation generator **r** takes a scalar value on the *k*th subspace,

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r}) P_k = \mathcal{J}(\omega^k) P_k, \qquad (1.54)$$

the projection operators *diagonalize* the matrix  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $P_i \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r}) P_k = \mathcal{J}(\omega^k) P_k \delta_{ik}$ .

The  $[L \times L]$  projection operator matrix elements can be expressed in terms of the eigenvectors (1.57), (1.58) as

$$(P_k)_{\ell\ell'} = (\varphi_k)_{\ell} (\varphi_k^{\dagger})_{\ell'} = \frac{1}{L} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L}(\ell-\ell')k} , \qquad \text{(no sum on } k\text{)}.$$
(1.55)



lattFT - 10jan2024

The completeness (1.52) follows from (1.60), and the orthonormality (1.53) from (1.59).

 $\tilde{\phi}_k$ , the projection of the *L*-dimensional state (i.e., vector)  $\phi$  on the *k*th subspace is given by

$$(P_k \cdot \phi)_{\ell} = \tilde{\phi}_k (\varphi_k)_{\ell}, \qquad \text{(no sum on } k)$$
  
$$\tilde{\phi}_k = \varphi_k^{\dagger} \cdot \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{L}k\ell} \phi_\ell \qquad (1.56)$$

#### 1.4.3 Eigenvectors of the translation operator

While constructing explicit eigenvectors is usually not a the best way to fritter one's youth away, as choice of basis is largely arbitrary, and all of the content of the theory is in the projection operators (see appendix A1.1), in case at hand the eigenvectors are so simple that we can construct and verify the solutions of the eigenvalue condition

$$\mathbf{r}\,\varphi_k \quad = \quad \omega^k \varphi_k \tag{1.57}$$

by hand:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^k \\ \omega^{2k} \\ \omega^{3k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{(L-1)k} \end{bmatrix} = \omega^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \omega^k \\ \omega^{2k} \\ \omega^{3k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega^{(L-1)k} \end{bmatrix}$$

In words: the cyclic translation generator r shifts all components by one, and the original vector is recovered by factoring out the common factor  $\omega^k$ . The  $1/\sqrt{L}$  factor normalizes  $\varphi_k$  to a complex unit vector,

$$\varphi_{k}^{\dagger} \cdot \varphi_{k} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} 1 = 1, \quad (\text{no sum on } k)$$
$$\varphi_{k}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \left( 1, \omega^{-k}, \omega^{-2k}, \cdots, \omega^{-(L-1)k} \right). \quad (1.58)$$

The eigenvectors are orthonormal

$$\varphi_k^{\dagger} \cdot \varphi_j = \delta_{kj}, \qquad (1.59)$$

as the explicit evaluation of  $\varphi_k^{\dagger} \cdot \varphi_j$  yields the *Kronecker* (*circular*) delta function for a periodic lattice

$$\delta_{kj} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{L}(k-j)\ell} \,. \tag{1.60}$$

lattFT - 10jan2024

The sum is over the L unit vectors pointing at a uniform distribution of points on projection the complex unit circle,

operators!\Cnn



they cancel each other unless  $k = i \pmod{L}$ , in which case each term in the sum equals 1.

By the eigenvector condition (1.57), any matrix function  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r})$  of the translation generator r takes a scalar value on the kth subspace,

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{r})\varphi_k = \mathcal{J}(\omega^k)\varphi_k, \qquad (1.61)$$

i.e., in the eigenvector basis,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a diagonal matrix.

The L-dimensional vector  $\tilde{\phi}$  of "wavenumbers" (discretized spatial coordinates), or "frequencies," "eigen-energies" (discretized time evolution steps)  $\tilde{\phi}_k$  is the discrete Fourier transform of state (vector)  $\phi$ . Hopefully rediscovering it this way helps you a little toward understanding why Fourier transforms are full of  $e^{ix \cdot p}$  factors (they are eigenvalues of generators of translations; r for a discrete lattice,  $\partial / \partial x$  for continuum), and that they are the natural set of basis functions when a theory is translationally invariant.

#### Cyclic group projection operators AKA discrete Fourier trans-1.4.4 form

(It's OK to skip this example on the first reading - the explicit Fourier eigenvectors and eigenvalues (1.57) are all that we need to carry out discrete Fourier transforms.)

Consider a cyclic group

$$C_N = \{e, g, g^2, \cdots g^{N-1}\}, \quad g^N = e.$$

If M = D(g) is a  $[d \times d]$  matrix representation of the one-step shift g, it must satisfy  $M^{N} - \mathbf{1} = 0$ , with eigenvalues given by the zeros of the characteristic polynomial

$$G(x) = x^{N} - 1 = (x - \lambda_{0})(x - \lambda_{1})(x - \lambda_{2}) \cdots (x - \lambda_{N-1}).$$
(1.62)

For the cyclic group the N distinct eigenvalues are the Nth roots of unity  $\lambda_n = \omega^n$ ,  $\omega = \exp(i 2\pi/N), n = 0, \dots N - 1.$ 

In the projection operator formulation (A1.3), they split the *d*-dimensional space into d/N-dimensional subspaces by means of projection operators

$$P_n = \prod_{m \neq n} \frac{M - \omega^m I}{\omega^n - \omega^m} = \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^{N-1} (1 - \omega^m)} \prod_{m=1}^{N-1} (\omega^{-n} M - \omega^m I), \qquad (1.63)$$

where we have multiplied all denominators and numerators by  $\omega^{-n}$ .



The denominator is a polynomial of form  $G(x)/(x - \lambda_0)$ , with the zeroth root  $(x - \omega^0) = (x - 1)$  quotiented out from the characteristic polynomial,

$$\frac{x^N-1}{x-1} = (x-\omega)(x-\omega^2)\cdots(x-\omega^{N-1})\,.$$

Consider a sum of the first *N* terms of a geometric series, multiplied by (x-1)/(x-1):

$$1 + x + \dots + x^{N-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x^m = \frac{1}{x-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} (x-1) x^m = \frac{x^N - 1}{x-1}.$$
 (1.64)

So, the products in (1.63) can be written as sums

$$(x - \omega)(x - \omega^2) \cdots (x - \omega^{N-1}) = 1 + x + \dots + x^{N-1}.$$
 (1.65)

The  $P_n$  projection operator (1.63) denominator is evaluated by substituting  $x \to 1$  into (1.65); that adds up to N. The numerator is evaluated by substituting  $x \to \omega^{-n}M$ . We obtain the projection operator as a discrete Fourier weighted sum of matrices  $M^m$ ,

$$P_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}nm} M^m, \qquad (1.66)$$

instead of the product form (1.63).

This is the simplest example of the key group theory tool, the projection operator expressed as a sum over characters,

$$P_n = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{\chi}_n(g) D(g) \,.$$

As  $C_N$  irreps are all 1-dimensional, for the discrete Fourier transform all characters are simply  $\bar{\chi}_n(g^m) = \omega^{-nm}$ , the *N*th complex roots of unity.

(B. Gutkin and P. Cvitanović)

#### 1.4.5 Discrete Fourier transform operator

The  $[L \times L]$  matrix  $\mathcal{F}_{jk} = L^{-\frac{1}{2}} \omega^{jk}$ ,  $j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L-1$ , formed from column eigenvectors (1.57),

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \dots & \omega^{L-2} & \omega^{L-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^k & \omega^{2k} & \dots & \omega^{(L-2)k} & \omega^{(L-1)k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{L-2} & \omega^{2(L-2)} & \dots & \omega^{(L-2)(L-2)} & \omega^{(L-1)(L-2)} \\ 1 & \omega^{L-1} & \omega^{2(L-1)} & \dots & \omega^{(L-2)(L-1)} & \omega^{(L-1)(L-1)} \end{bmatrix},$$
(1.67)

is the *discrete Fourier transform operator* (remember, in the discretized world 'operator' is a synonym for 'matrix'). From the orthogonality of eigenvectors (1.59) it follows that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a unitary matrix, with det  $\mathcal{F} = 1$ , and

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{1}. \tag{1.68}$$

The operator  $\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}$  is thus the *inverse Fourier transform*. The discrete Fourier transform, form (1.56) of a state (vector)  $\phi$  is given by

$$\tilde{\phi} = \mathcal{F}^{\dagger}\phi, \qquad (1.69)$$

i.e., Fourier transformation rearranges components of vector  $\phi$  into averages over all components (1.56), weighted by complex phases  $\exp(i2\pi\ell/L)$  in all possible ways.

#### 1.4.6 'Configuration-momentum' Fourier space duality

What does a projection on the *k*th Fourier subspace mean? The discrete Fourier transform (1.66) of a state (vector)  $\phi$  rearranges components of vector  $\phi$  into averages over all its components, weighted by complex phases  $\exp(i2\pi\ell/L)$  in all possible ways.

Consider first the projection on the 0th Fourier mode

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=0}^{L-1} r^m \,.$$

Applied to a lattice state  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_L)$ , the shift matrix r translates the state by one site,  $r\phi = (\phi_2, \phi_3, \dots, \phi_L, \phi_1)$ , and so on for all powers  $r^m$ . The result is the space average (here correctly normalized, so that  $\langle 1 \rangle = 1$ ) over all values of the periodic lattice field  $\phi_m$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\tilde{\phi}_0 = \frac{1}{L}\sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1}\phi_\ell = \langle \phi \rangle,$$

see (1.49) and (1.60). Every finite discrete group has such fully-symmetric representation, and in statistical mechanics and quantum mechanics this is often the most important state (the 'ground' state).

 $\tilde{\phi}_1$  is the average weighted by one oscillation over the *L*-periodic lattice, and  $\tilde{\phi}_k$ , the projection of the *L*-dimensional state (i.e., vector)  $\phi$  on the *k*th subspace

$$\tilde{\phi}_k = P_k \cdot \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \omega^{k\ell} \phi_\ell , \qquad (1.70)$$

is the average weighted by complex rotating phase  $\omega^{km}$  which advances by  $\omega^k$ in every step, and pulls out oscillating feature  $\tilde{\phi}_k$  out of the field  $\phi$ . For large *L*, modes  $\tilde{\phi}_k$  with  $k \ll L$  (or  $(L - k) \ll L$ , that is just a counter-rotation)) are called *hydrodynamic modes*, corresponding to "configuration" lattice fields  $\phi$  which vary slowly and smoothly over many lattice spacings. Modes with  $k \simeq L/2$  are suspect, they are lattice discretization artifacts.

If the lattice state is  $\phi$  is localized, its Fourier transform will be global, and vice versa for a localized Fourier state  $\tilde{\phi}$ . For example, if the field  $\phi$  is concentrated on the first site,  $\phi_0 = 1$ , rest zero, its Fourier transform will be uniformly distributed over all Fourier modes,  $\tilde{\phi}_k = 1/\sqrt{L}$ .

The complex function  $\tilde{\phi}$  is can sometimes be interpreted as an 'amplitude function', with the square of its magnitude  $(\tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{\phi})$  then interpreted as the corresponding 'total probability'

$$\phi^{\dagger} \cdot \phi = \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{\phi} \,. \tag{1.71}$$

The fact that this is the same if evaluated with  $\phi$  or with its Fourier transform  $\tilde{\phi}$  is known as the "Parseval's identity."

Furthermore, by (1.61), discrete Fourier transform diagonalizes every translationally invariant matrix function  $\mathcal{J}$ , i.e., any matrix that commutes with the translation operator,  $[r, \mathcal{J}] = 0$ . To show that, sandwich  $\mathcal{J}$  with the identity  $\mathbf{1} = \mathcal{FF}^{\dagger}$ :

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{1}\mathcal{J}\mathbf{1} = \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{F}\right)\mathcal{F}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{F}\tilde{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}.$$

The matrix

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{F} \tag{1.72}$$

is the Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{J}$ .<sup>2</sup> No need to stop here - the terms in the action (1.41) that couple four (and, in general, 3, 4,  $\cdots$ ) fields also have the Fourier space representations

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\cdots\ell_{n}} \phi_{\ell_{1}}\phi_{\ell_{2}}\cdots\phi_{\ell_{n}} &= \tilde{\gamma}_{k_{1}k_{2}\cdotsk_{n}} \tilde{\phi}_{k_{1}}\tilde{\phi}_{k_{2}}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_{k_{n}}, \\ \tilde{\gamma}_{k_{1}k_{2}\cdotsk_{n}} &= \gamma_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\cdots\ell_{n}}(\varphi_{k_{1}})_{\ell_{1}}(\varphi_{k_{2}})_{\ell_{2}}\cdots(\varphi_{k_{n}})_{\ell_{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{L^{n/2}}\sum_{\ell_{1}\cdots\ell_{n}}\gamma_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\cdots\ell_{n}} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{L}(k_{1}\ell_{1}+\cdots+k_{n}\ell_{n})}. \end{aligned}$$
(1.73)

The form of any translation-invariant function, such as (1.71), or the path integral (5.8) does not change under  $\phi \rightarrow \tilde{\phi}$  transformation, and it does not matter whether we compute in the Fourier space, or in the configuration space that we started out with. For example, the trace of  $\mathcal{J}$  is the same in either representation

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{J} = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} = \operatorname{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F} = \operatorname{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$$

but, if  $\mathcal{J}$  commutes with the translation operator r, the Fourier transform tr  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$  is diagonal and trivial to compute. By same reasoning it follows that tr  $\mathcal{J}^n =$  tr  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^n$ , and from the tr ln = ln tr relation that det  $\mathcal{J} = \det \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ . In fact, any scalar combination of  $\phi$ 's,  $\mathcal{J}$ 's and couplings, such as the partition function  $Z[\mathcal{J}]$ , has exactly the same form in the configuration and the Fourier space.

Suppose you have two translationally invariant matrices A, B. Evaluating their product AB is a matrix computation. However, evaluating the product in the Fourier space is a simple scalar multiplication of their diagonal elements:

$$(\tilde{AB})_{kk'} = (\mathcal{F}^{\dagger}A B \mathcal{F})_{kk'} = \tilde{A}_k \tilde{B}_k \delta_{kk'}$$
(1.74)

The continuum Fourier transform version of this relation is called the "convolution theorem."

OK. But what's the payback?

#### 1.4.7 Lattice Laplacian diagonalized

We can now use the Fourier transform (1.72) to convert matrix functions of the r matrix into scalars. As  $\mathcal{J}$  commutes with r, then  $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}})_{kk'} = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_k \delta_{kk'}$  is a diagonal matrix, where the matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  acts as a multiplication by the scalar  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_k$  on the *k*th subspace. For example, for the 1-dimensional version of the lattice Laplacian

23

Parseval's identity lattice!Laplacian, diagonalized Laplacian!diagonalized, lattice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Predrag: 2018-09-03 move this to a separate chapter?

matrix (1.34), the eigenvalue condition (1.57) yields the diagonalized Laplacian in the Fourier space,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{A}}\varphi_{k} = (-\Box + \mu^{2} \mathbb{1})\varphi_{k} = (p^{2} + \mu^{2})\varphi_{k}$$
  

$$p = 2\sin(k/2), \qquad k = \frac{2\pi}{n}m, \quad m = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1,$$
(1.75)

expresses the Fourier-diagonalized lattice Laplacian as the square of the lattice momentum p,

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{A}})_{mm'} = (\mathsf{p}^2 + \mu^2)\,\delta_{mm'}\,,\tag{1.76}$$

with *n* eigenvalues  $\Lambda_m = p^2 + \mu^2$  indexed by the integer *m*. The 'cord function'  $\operatorname{crd}(\theta) = 2\sin(\theta/2)$  was used already by Hipparchus cc. 130 BC in the same context, as a discretization of a circle by approximating *n* arcs by *n* cords [3, 24].

In the *k*th subspace the bare propagator is simply a number, and, in contrast to the mess generated by the configuration space inversion (1.39), there is nothing to inverting  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ :

$$(\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathcal{J}^{-1} \cdot \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'}) = \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}}{p^2 + \mu^2}, \qquad (1.77)$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d)$  is a *d*-dimensional vector in the  $L^d$ -dimensional dual lattice, i.e., the discretized "momentum" or "frequency" space.

#### Example: Orbit Jacobian matrix eigenvalues for primitive cell of period 3.

The wave-number range in (1.75) is  $k \in (0, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3)$ . The Laplacian part of the reciprocal lattice orbit Jacobian matrix (1.76) takes values (up to a sign)

$$p(0) = 0, \ p(2\pi/3) = \sqrt{3},$$

A typical reciprocal lattice site evaluation of  $p^2$ :

$$p_1^2 = p(2\pi/3)^2 = 3$$
.

The eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $p^2$  in (1.76), evaluated on the  $V_{\mathbb{A}} = 3$  lattice sites of the reciprocal primitive cell, indexed by integer *m*, are

$$p_0^2 + p_1^2 + p_2^2 = 0 + 3 + 3 , \qquad (1.78)$$

so, for example, the  $(\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathbb{A}})_1$  eigenvalue is

$$\Lambda_2 = 3 + \mu^2 \,,$$

and so on.

Going back to the partition function (5.29) and sticking in the factors of **1** into the bilinear part of the interaction, we replace the spatial source field J by its Fourier transform  $\tilde{J}$ , and the spatial propagator  $\mathcal{J}$  by the diagonalized Fourier transformed  $\tilde{G}_0$ 

$$J^{\dagger} \cdot \mathcal{J} \cdot J = J^{\dagger} \cdot \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\dagger} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{F}\right) \mathcal{F}^{\dagger} \cdot J = \tilde{J}^{\dagger} \cdot \tilde{G}_{0} \cdot \tilde{J}.$$
(1.79)

What's the price? The interaction term  $S_I[\phi]$  (which in (5.29) was local in the configuration space) now has a more challenging *k* dependence in the Fourier transform version (1.73). For example, the locality of the quartic term leads to the 4-vertex *momentum conservation* in the Fourier space

admissible!periodic points periodic!point!admissible

$$S_{I}[\phi] = \frac{1}{4!} \gamma_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell_{3}\ell_{4}} \phi_{\ell_{1}}\phi_{\ell_{2}}\phi_{\ell_{3}}\phi_{\ell_{4}} = -\beta u \sum_{\ell=1}^{L^{d}} (\phi_{\ell})^{4} \Rightarrow$$
$$= -\beta u \frac{1}{L^{d}} \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}_{i}\}}^{L} \delta_{0,\mathbf{k}_{1}+\mathbf{k}_{2}+\mathbf{k}_{3}+\mathbf{k}_{4}} \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \tilde{\phi}_{\mathbf{k}_{4}}.$$
(1.80)

#### **1.4.8 Boundary conditions**

(B.J. Bjorken)

### 1.5 Lattice action

3

The number of *admissible periodic points* of period *n*, i.e., points on loops, or walks that return to the starting lattice point is given by tr  $T^n$ . By spatial translations invariance, all *L* sites of a periodic lattice  $(L_1L_2 \cdots L_d \text{ for spatial dimension } d)$  are equivalent, so one should study walks that start in a given site and return to it ("rooted lattice loop?"), i.e., in one spatial dimension  $N_n = \text{tr } T^n/\text{tr } \mathbf{1} = \frac{1}{L}\text{tr } T^n$ . For example, in one spatial dimension we can enumerate all distinct walks by treating hopping matrices (1.5) as free (not using the inverse = transpose)<sup>4</sup>

$$T^{2} = (\sigma + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}})^{2} = \sigma^{2} + \sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}$$

$$T^{4} = (\sigma^{2} + \sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}})^{2}$$

$$= \sigma^{4} + (\sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}})^{2} + (\sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma)^{2} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{4}}$$

$$+ \sigma^{3}\sigma^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{2}\sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma + \sigma^{2}\sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}$$

$$+ \sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma^{2} + \sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}\sigma + \sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{3}}$$

$$+ \sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma^{3} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma^{2}\sigma^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}}\sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}$$

$$+ \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}\sigma^{2} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{2}}\sigma\sigma^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{\mathsf{T}^{3}}\sigma.$$
(1.81)

The returning walks (red) have equal numbers of left and right steps, so they multiply out to 1 (clearly, that can be counted combinatorially), and

$$N_2 = \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{tr} T^2 = 2, \quad N_4 = \frac{1}{L} \operatorname{tr} T^4 = 4 + N_2, \quad (1.82)$$

where  $N_4$  includes 2 repeats of 2-cycles, and 4 prime 4-cycles.

tr  $T^{n_p}$  picks up contributions from all repeats of prime cycles, with each cycle contributing  $n_p$  periodic points, so  $N_n$ , the total number of periodic points of period *n* is given by

$$z^{n}N_{n} = z^{n} \operatorname{tr} T^{n} = \sum_{n_{p}|n} n_{p} t_{p}^{n/n_{p}} = \sum_{p} n_{p} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \delta_{n_{p}r,n} t_{p}^{r}.$$
(1.83)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Predrag: to be filled in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Predrag: 2018-10-09 I might be wrong, and counting directed walks, while undirected are maybe the thing...

Here m|n means that *m* is a divisor of *n*. In order to get rid of the awkward divisibility constraint  $n = n_p r$  in the above sum, we introduce the generating function for numbers of periodic points (only cycles of even length can close into loops): <sup>5</sup>

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{2n} N_{2n} = \operatorname{tr} \frac{z^2 T^2}{1 - z^2 T^2} \,. \tag{1.84}$$

where we maybe should have used  $T = a^2 \Box - 21$  from (1.34).

The right hand side is the geometric series sum of  $N_n = \text{tr } T^n$ . Substituting (1.83) into the left hand side, and replacing the right hand side by the eigenvalue sum tr  $T^n = \sum \omega_{\alpha}^n$ , we obtain our first example of a trace formula, the *topological trace formula* 

$$\sum_{\alpha=0} \frac{z\omega_{\alpha}}{1-z\omega_{\alpha}} = \sum_{p} \frac{n_{p}t_{p}}{1-t_{p}} .$$
(1.85)

the free, non-interacting partition function

$$Z = \det\left(\Box - m_0^2 \mathbf{1}\right)^{-1/2} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\,\ln(\Box - m_0^2 \mathbf{1})}$$
(1.86)

is the sum over all loops (returning walks), i.e., related to the trace of the propagator (1.2).

## **1.6 Information**

A proposal: use the smallest Kullback-Leibler divergence (relative information) as a measure of 'distance' between a pair of periodic states orbits.

In information theory, the *entropy* of a fluctuating field is the average level of "information", and is distributed according to  $p: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ :

$$h(\Phi) := -\sum_{\Phi} \in \mathcal{M}p(\Phi) \log p(\Phi), \qquad (1.87)$$

where the sum over all field configurations.

For Gaussians, we have the explicit formula (??), in terms of periodic states  $\Phi_p$  and  $\Phi_p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{KL}(p||q) &= \int p(x) \log\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) \mathrm{d}x = \int p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{q(x)}\right) \mathrm{d}x - \int p(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= H(P,Q) - H(P), \end{aligned}$$

where H(P,Q) is the cross-entropy of P and Q, and H(P) is the entropy of P, or the cross-entropy of P with itself.

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Predrag: 2018-10-09 Include words: hops, links, steps, monomers, ..., say that they are weighted by fugacity  $z = h = \exp(-\mu)$ .

Kullback-Leibler (hereafter: KL) divergence between two univariate Gaussians:

$$\mathrm{KL}(p||q) = \frac{(\mu_q - \mu_p)^2}{2\sigma_p^2} - \frac{1}{2} \Big(\log\frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2} + 1 - \frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2}\Big)$$

note that

$$\log\left[1-\left(1-\frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2}\right)\right] = -\left(1-\frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2}\right)^2 + \cdots,$$

so the leading covariances comparison term is of order  $\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_q^2}{\sigma_p^2}\right)^2$ .

KL divergence between two multivariate Gaussians

$$\mathrm{KL}(p||q) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_p - \mu_q)^T \Sigma_p^{-1}(\mu_p - \mu_q) + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \ln \Sigma_p^{-1} \Sigma_q + \{\Sigma_p^{-1} \Sigma_q - \mathbf{I}\} \right],$$

where we have used the log det = tr log matrix identity, and

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right).$$

The trace term is interesting. For p, q close, the ratio of covariances is close to 1, so expanding the log around 1,

$$\ln(\mathbb{1} - (\mathbb{1} - \Sigma_p^{-1}\Sigma_q)) = -\frac{1}{\Sigma_p}(\Sigma_p - \Sigma_q) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\Sigma_p}(\Sigma_p - \Sigma_q)\right)^2 + \cdots$$

The linear terms cancel, must be a consequence of some variational extremum. Where was it imposed? Everybody derives the above KL divergence, but nobody interprets the Tr terms.

The Kullback-Leibler divergence makes more sense to me than the *ad hoc L2* norm distance between periodic states:

$$|\Phi - \Phi'|^2 = \frac{1}{V_{\mathbb{A}}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{A}} (\phi'_z - \phi_z)^2 \,. \tag{1.88}$$

Instead, weigh the 'distances' by periodic state's weights

$$\frac{1}{\left|\operatorname{Det}\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{A},c}\right|} = e^{-V_{\mathbb{A}}\langle\lambda\rangle_{\mathbb{A},c}} \,. \tag{1.89}$$

I think we have to work in the reciprocal lattice, using  $\Phi_c$  in

$$\langle \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathbb{A}}[0]} \sum_{c} \sum_{j=1}^{V_{\mathbb{A}}} \langle \lambda_j \rangle_c \, e^{-V_{\mathbb{A}} \langle \lambda_j \rangle_c} \,. \tag{1.90}$$

as the reference periodic state probability,

$$\rho[\Phi_c] = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathbb{A}}[0]} \sum_{j=1}^{V_{\mathbb{A}}} e^{-V_{\mathbb{A}}\langle\lambda_j\rangle_c}, \qquad (1.91)$$

when measuring the  $\Phi_c$ ,  $\Phi_d$  'distance'. Here *j* sum is over the orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{A},c}$  stability exponents. In that case, the information distance between the probabilities of periodic states  $\Phi_c$  and  $\Phi_d$  is something like (have to get rid of  $V_{\mathbb{A}}$ 's?)

$$\mathcal{S}(\rho_c \| \rho_d) = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathbb{A}}[0]} \sum_{j=1}^{V_{\mathbb{A}}} (\langle \lambda_j \rangle_c - \langle \lambda_j \rangle_d) e^{-V_{\mathbb{A}} \langle \lambda_j \rangle_c}, \qquad (1.92)$$

where the observable is the *j*th eigen-exponent  $\langle \lambda_j \rangle_c - \langle \lambda_j \rangle_d$ . Just like *L*2 norm (1.88), this is an average over the *pointwise* 'distance' on the reciprocal lattice, zero when  $\Phi_c = \Phi_d$ , and weighted by  $\rho[\Phi_c]$  when  $\Phi_c \neq \Phi_d$ .

However, unlike field moments & correlations often computed in field theory and stat mech, relative information is very appealing to us, because it is invariant under general, smooth nonlinear field transformations, such as the 'normalizing flow' transformations from field  $\phi$  to field  $\psi(\phi)$ . The proof is elementary, see wiki sect. *Properties*, essentially as in ChaosBook for the time evolution Jacobian/Floquet matrices, but now for the orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{A},c}$  stability exponents.

What *et al.* [8] call multivariate Gaussian covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is our orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}$ . In our case the Kullback-Leibler divergence (1.95) is

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}[p[\Phi_{\mathsf{M}}] \| p[\Phi_{\mathsf{M}'}]]_{\mathbb{A}}$$
(1.93)  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \Big( (\Phi_{\mathsf{M}} - \Phi_{\mathsf{M}'})^T \mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}} (\Phi_{\mathsf{M}} - \Phi_{\mathsf{M}'}) + \operatorname{Tr} (\mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}'}^{-1} - 1) - \ln |\mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}} \mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}'}^{-1}| \Big).$ 

The  $D_{\text{KL}}[\dots \| \dots]$  square brackets indicate that in the continuum limit this is a field-theoretic functional. The divergence  $D_{\text{KL}}$  vanishes when  $\Phi_{\text{M}} = \Phi_{\text{M}'}$ . To insure that, we must minimize it by running through the translational and discrete reflections orbit of the reference periodic state  $\Phi_{\text{M}}$ , or section and slice the state space before selecting the closest pair of periodic states.  $\Phi_{\text{M}}$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{M}'}$ .

 $(\Phi_{M} - \Phi_{M'})^{T} \mathcal{J}_{M}(\Phi_{M} - \Phi_{M'})$  is the 'square' of the distance between centers of  $\Phi_{k}$ ,  $\Phi_{M}$ , asymmetrically weighted by the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{J}_{M}$ , The state space vector  $\Phi_{M} - \Phi_{M'}$  vanishes when  $\Phi_{M} = \Phi_{M'}$ , and the relative entropy  $\ln |\mathcal{J}_{M}\mathcal{J}_{M'}^{-1}|$ also vanishes when  $\Phi_{M} = \Phi_{M'}$ . Fourier-diagonalize everything.

Current  $D_{\text{KL}}$  is not correctly normalized for the  $V_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow$  large limit, clearly must divide by the lattice volume, so tentatively define KL divergence per lattice site as a 'little' divergence

$$d_{\mathrm{KL}}[\cdots\|\cdots] = \frac{1}{V_{\mathbb{A}}} D_{\mathrm{KL}}[\cdots\|\cdots]_{\mathbb{A}}$$
(1.94)

As  $\mathcal{J}$  is symmetric, its eigenvalues must be real, if unstable then strictly nonzero, but can be of either sign (see figure **??** (b)). We want to keep signs in (1.93). They cancel in the rations of eigenvalues (if not, that signals something we would want to take a closer look at), and we do not want the solutions close in an eigenvalue magnitude, but of opposite sign to be misidentified as 'close'.

The calculation is for the Gaussian field theory, so square roots of Hill determinants rather than Hill determinants as probabilities. Should be easily fixable? Or not, maybe for Dirac functions the center to center separation is infinite in standard deviation units? Note that the divergence  $D_{\text{KL}}[p_{\text{M}'}||p_0]_{\mathbb{A}}$  requires sitewise evaluation, cannot be simply a function of Hill determinants.  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{M}}, \mathcal{J}_{\text{M}'}$  can be simultaneously diagonalized by discrete Fourier transforms (ignoring the discrete point group for a while).

Dangling bits: define *pseudo-orbits*. The shadowing / curvature expansions need fleshing out.

#### **Punchline**:

Given a set of N periodic states over a primitive cell,  $d_{\text{KL}}[\Phi \| \Phi']$  is an asymmetric  $[N \times N]$  matrix of pairwise KL divergences. For each curvature expansion of orbits and pseudo-orbits there is the minimum one. When they fall below a given cutoff, we are done - further, larger volume periodic states give no additional information.

#### **1.6.1 Information blog**

- **2023-10-13 Predrag** The proof that relative information is invariant under general, smooth nonlinear field transformations answers, in a way, the question posed in the **2021-09-07 Sidney** post: we need the answer for Hill determinant, not the individual eigenvalus, anyway. I still do not know whether it applies to individual eigenvalus.
- **2023-10-13 Predrag** The '*Basic example*' in the Kullback-Leibler wiki is pretty clear.
- **2023-10-13 Predrag** Why is this a divergence? Fedele says it is obvious if you have information fluxes between modes, than the total relative information is an integral over divergences of these fluxes.
- **2023-10-18 Predrag** Floerchinger are a very interesting information-theoretic reformulation of Euclidean field theory.

Floerchinger Information geometry of Euclidean quantum fields, arXiv:2303.04081:

Euclidean quantum field theories have a probability interpretation, or can be seen as classical statistical field theories with one more spatial dimension, from an information theoretic point of view. [...] concepts can be taken from classical information geometry, going from functions to functionals.

**2023-10-18 Sara to Predrag** Focus on eq (2) of Ali Farshchian, Juan A. Gallego, Joseph P. Cohen, Yoshua Bengio, Lee E. Miller and Sara A. Solla *Adversarial domain adaptation for stable brain-machine interfaces*, arXiv:1810.00045 and OpenReview, write:

**Kullback-Leibler divergence minimization (KLDM)**. For the unsupervised approach, we seek to match the probability distribution of the latent variables of day-*k* to that of day-0 [...]. We use the fixed AE trained on day-0 data to map the neural data of day-0 and day-*k* onto two sets of *l*-dimensional latent variables,  $\{\mathbf{z}_0\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{z}_k\}$ , respectively. We then compute the mean and covariance matrices for each of these two empirical distributions, and capture their first and second order statistics by approximating these two distributions by multivariate Gaussians:  $p_0(\mathbf{z}_0) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}_0; \mu_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0)$  and  $p_k(\mathbf{z}_k) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}_k; \mu_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$ . We then minimize the KL divergence between

them,

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(p_{k}(\mathbf{z}_{k})||p_{0}(\mathbf{z}_{0}))$$
(1.95)  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \Big( \mathrm{Tr} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{k} - \mathbf{I} \right) + (\mu_{0} - \mu_{k})^{T} \frac{1}{\mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}} (\mu_{0} - \mu_{k}) - \ln |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{0}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{k}| \Big).$ 

**2023-10-20 Predrag** The last sect. of John Duchy *Derivations for Linear Algebra and Optimization* notes gives the derivation of the KL divergence between two multivariate Gaussians (1.93). So does Rishabh Gupta's website.

## **1.7** Continuum field theory

Now let us rewrite the lattice Fourier transform in form suitable for taking the  $L \rightarrow \infty$  continuum limit with either the lattice spacing *a* constant, or the lattice size *La* constant.

In the infinite lattice limit we can take  $a \rightarrow 0$ , while keeping *La* constant. The *k* are now restricted to the Brilliuon zone.

Take continuum limit, replace lattice size by  $2\pi$ .<sup>6</sup>

The lattice Laplacian *k*th Fourier component (??) is  $^7$ 

$$\tilde{\Box}_{kk} = \frac{2}{a^2} \left( \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}k\right) - 1 \right) = -\left(\frac{2\pi}{aL}\right)^2 k^2 + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{2\pi}{aL}\right)^4 a^2 k^4 - O(k^6).$$
(1.96)

The quartic term can be neglected for low wave numbers  $k \ll L$ , i.e., low momenta,  $p_{\mu} = 2\pi k_{\mu}/LL$ , where aL = LL is the lattice size.

In the continuum limit the probability to land in the *k*th cell is replaced by a probability density,  $\phi_k = a^d \phi(x_k) \rightarrow (dx)^d \phi(x)$ . After rescaling the wave-number *k* into momentum *p*, we obtain the continuum version of the scalar propagator

$$\Delta(x,y) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}}{m^2 + p^2} \,. \tag{1.97}$$

8

## **1.8** Collective excitations: from particles to fields

One-dimensional harmonic chain is discussed by Ben Simons, in Lecture I: *Collective Excitations: From Particles to Fields Free Scalar Field Theory: Phonons* of his online course.

exercise 6.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Predrag: 2018-09-04 I like PHYS-7147-18/...../HW08LiHan.tex, include it here or as an appendix

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Predrag: sign wrong?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Predrag: scan Exer 5.A.1 Continuum propagator

In Lecture 23 of his MIT course, Mehran Kardar [13] discusses elastodynamic equilibria of two-dimensional solids. Consider a perfect solid at T = 0. The equilibrium configuration of atoms forms a lattice,

$$\vec{x}_{mn}^{0} = m \, \vec{e}_1 + n \, \vec{e}_2 \,,$$

where  $\vec{e}_1$  and  $\vec{e}_2$  are basis vectors,  $a_j = |\vec{e}_j|$  is the lattice spacing along the *j*th direction, and  $\{m, n\}$  are integers. At finite temperatures, the atoms fluctuate away from their equilibrium position, moving to

$$\vec{x}_{mn} = \vec{x}_{mn}^0 + \vec{u}_{mn} \,,$$

As the low temperature (small kinetic energy) displacements do not vary substantially over nearby atoms, one can define a coarse-grained displacement field  $\vec{u}(\vec{x})$ , where  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  is treated as continuous, with an implicit short distance cutoff of the lattice spacing *a*. Due to translational symmetry, the elastic energy depends only on the strain matrix,

$$u_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i \right) \,.$$

Kardar picks the triangular lattice, as its elastic energy is isotropic, (invariant under lattice rotations, see Landau and Lifshitz [14]). In terms of the Lamé coefficients  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ ,

$$\beta H = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 \vec{x} (2\mu \, u_{ij} u_{ij} + \lambda \, u_{ii} u_{jj})$$
  
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 \vec{x} \, u_i [2\mu \Box \, \delta_{ij} + (\mu + \lambda) \, \partial_i \partial_j] \, u_j \,. \qquad (1.98)$$

(here we have assumed either infinite or doubly periodic lattice, so no boundary terms from integration by parts), with the equations of motion something like (FIX!)

$$\partial_i^2 u_i = [2\mu \Box \delta_{ij} + (\mu + \lambda) \partial_i \partial_j] u_j.$$
(1.99)

In general, the number of independent elastic constants depends on the dimensionality and rotational symmetry of the lattice in question. The symmetry of a square lattice permits an additional term proportional to  $\partial_x^2 u_x^2 + \partial_y^2 u_y^2$ . Thus in two dimensions, square lattices have three independent elastic constants, but triangular lattices are "elastically isotropic" (i.e., elastic properties are independent of direction and thus are characterized by only two Lamé coefficients [14]).

The Goldstone modes associated with the broken  $^{9}$  translational symmetry are *phonons*, the normal modes of vibrations. Eq. (1.99) supports two types of lattice normal modes, transverse and longitudinal.

The order parameter describing broken translational symmetry is

$$\rho_{\vec{G}}(\vec{x}) = e^{i\vec{G}\cdot\vec{r}(\vec{x})} = e^{i\vec{G}\cdot\vec{u}(\vec{x})},$$

where  $\vec{G}$  is any reciprocal lattice vector, where we have used that, by definition,  $\vec{G} \cdot \vec{r_0}$  is an integer multiple of  $2\pi$ .  $\rho_{\vec{G}} = 1$  at zero temperature. Due to the fluctuations,

$$\langle \rho_{\vec{c}}(\vec{x}) \rangle = \langle e^{i\vec{G}\cdot\vec{u}(\vec{x})} \rangle$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Predrag: 2018-08-23 Why "broken"?

decreases at finite temperatures, and its correlations decay as  $\langle \rho_{\vec{G}}(\vec{x})\rho_{\vec{G}^*}(\vec{0})\rangle$ . <sup>10</sup> Kardar computes this in Fourier space by approximating  $\vec{G} \cdot \vec{q}$  with its angular average  $G^2 q^2/2$ , ignoring the rotationally symmetry-breaking term  $\cos \vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}$ , and getting only the asymptotics of the correlations right (the decay is algebraic).

The translational correlations are measured in diffraction experiments. The scattering amplitude is the Fourier transform of  $\rho_{\vec{G}}$ , and the scattered intensity at a wave-vector q is proportional to the structure factor. At zero temperature, the structure factor is a set of delta-functions (Bragg peaks) at the reciprocal lattice vectors.

The orientational order parameter that characterizes the broken rotational symmetry of the crystal can be defined as

$$\Psi(\vec{x}) = e^{6i\theta(\vec{x})} \, .$$

where  $\theta(\vec{x})$  is the angle between local lattice bonds and a reference axis. The factor of 6 accounts for the equivalence of the 6 possible  $C_{3\nu}$  orientations of the triangular lattice. (Kardar says the appropriate choice for a square lattice is  $\exp(4i\theta(\vec{x}))$  shouldn't the factor be 8, the order of  $C_{4\nu}$ ?) The order parameter has unit magnitude at T = 0, and is expected to decrease due to fluctuations at finite temperature. The displacement  $u(\vec{x})$  leads to a change in bond angle given by

$$\theta(\vec{x}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_x u_y - \partial_y u_x \right)$$

11 12

## 13

## Commentary

**Remark 1.1.** Collective excitations: from particles to fields. One-dimensional harmonic chain is discussed by Altland and Simons [1] *Condensed Matter Field Theory*: see Chapter 1 *Collective Excitations: From Particles to Fields* (click here). In Lecture 23 of his MIT course, Mehran Kardar discusses elastodynamic equilibria of two-dimensional solids. For taking the infinite lattice limit (the first Brillouin zone, etc.) of (1.77), see Kadanoff [12] derivation of the *Sect. 3.4 Lattice Green Function*, eq. (3.20), available online here.

**Remark 1.2.** Lattice field theory. In his 1983 *Six Lectures on Lattice Field Theory* Michael Stone explains that the free, non-interacting partition function (1.86) is the sum over all loop (returning walks), i.e., related to the trace of the propagator (1.2). <sup>14</sup> This goes back to Symanzik, and is probably explained at length in Federico Camia *Brownian Loops and Conformal Fields*, arXiv:1501.04861.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Predrag: 2018-08-23 This is the order parameter ChaosBook and Gaspard use in deriving formulas for deterministic diffusion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Predrag: 2018-08-23 This seems to be dimensionally wrong? For detailed calculations, see the above Kardar lecture notes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Predrag: 2018-08-23 So, we may perhaps think of the spatiotemporal cat map catlatt equation (??) as a linearization of the equilibrium condition for an unstable 2D solid, with "inverseharmonic" springs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Predrag: 2018-09-13 Create Toeplitz matrices remarks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Predrag: 2018-10-07 Incorporate Stone explanation, with hops weighted by fugacity  $h = \exp(-\mu)$ .

Check Rosenfelder <i>Path Integrals in Quantum Physics</i> , arXiv:1209.1315. Meyer [16] <i>Lattice QCD: A brief introduction</i> . Jansen [11] <i>Lattice field theory</i> .	Euclidean!free scalar particle propagator propagator!free scalar particle
Check out also online Simons, Lecture I: Simons courses Collective Excitations:	Euclidean!free scalar
From Particles to Fields Free Scalar Field Theory: Phonons; and Quantum Condensed	particle propagator,
Matter Field Theory; as well as Piers Coleman [5] Introduction to Many-Body Physics	Fourier rep.
(click here) + (click here).	propagator!free scalar
Further reading on lattice field theories: Sommer [22] <i>Introduction to Lattice Gauge</i>	particle, Fourier
<i>Theories</i> ; Wiese [23] <i>An Introduction to Lattice Field Theory</i> ; Rothe [20] <i>Lattice Gauge</i>	rep.
Theories; Smit [21] Introduction to Quantum Fields on a Lattice; Münster and M. Walzl [18 Lattice gauge theory - A short primer, arXiv:hep-lat/0012005; Montvay and G. Mün- ster [17] Quantum Fields on a Lattice; Jorge L. deLyra The Gaussian Model: An Explo-	Euclidean!free scalar particle propagator, Fourier rep. propagator!free scalar

particle, Fourier rep.

## **1.9 Exercises**

ration into the Foundations of Quantum Field Theory;

- 1.1. Euclidean free scalar particle propagator. Derive the Euclidean free scalar particle propagator  $\frac{1.10}{1.10}$ .
- 1.2. Scalar propagator, discrete Fourier representation. Derive Fourier transform representation (1.77) of free scalar particle propagator, but with prefactors correct for starting with (1.10). (The notes probably have wrong prefactors).
- 1.3.  $\frac{\text{Scalar propagator, continuum configuration space}}{\text{of the propagator (1.77) in the Fourier representation, with prefactors correct for starting with (1.10).}$
- 1.4. 1*D* lattice Laplacian for period-8 periodic state. Compute the eigenvalues of the period-8 1*D* lattice Laplacian

(2)	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1)
-1	2	-1	0	0	0	0	0
0	-1	2	-1	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	2	-1	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	2	-1	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	2	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	2	-1
( -1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	2)

1.5. **Propagators in the configuration space.** Define the finite difference operator by f(x) + f(x -a)

 $\partial f(x)$  2 a where a is the lattice spacing. Show that

1 d h (So' + Sri) 2d+a'3'

P if ji,

where  $\partial^2 = 911\partial 11$  is the finite difference Laplacian. Show that the Euclidean scalar lattice propagator (1.10) is given by

-1 ha' 2 ij s

The mass in the continuum propagator (5.6) is related to the hopping parameter by s

(5.9)

If the particle does not like hopping  $(h \rightarrow O)$ , the mass is infinite and there is no propagation. If the particle does not like stopping (s -0), the mass is zero and the particle zips all over the space. Diagonalize 32 by Fourier transforming and derive (5.6).

## **Chapter 1** solutions: Lattice field theory

**Solution 1.1 - Euclidean free scalar particle propagator.** From (1.34) the *d*-dimensional lattice Laplacian is given by  $\Box = (T - 2d\mathbf{1})/a^2$ , so  $T = a^2\Box + 2d\mathbf{1}$ , and

$$1 - hT = 1 - a^{2}h\Box - 2dh\mathbf{1} = (1 - 2dh)\mathbf{1} - a^{2}h\Box.$$

By conservation of probability, s + 2dh = 1 and hence s = 1 - 2dh. Substituting into the Euclidean free scalar particle propagator (1.10) we get the expression in terms of the Laplacian,

$$\Delta = \frac{s}{1 - hT} = \frac{s}{s1 - a^2 h\Box} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{h}{s}a^2\Box},$$

suitable for taking the  $a \rightarrow 0$  continuum limit.

**Solution 1.2 - Scalar propagator, discrete Fourier representation.** Derive Fourier transform representation (1.77) of free scalar particle propagator, but with prefactors correct for starting with (1.10). In order to diagonalize  $\Delta = 1/(1 - \frac{a^2h}{s}\Box)$ , focus on first diagonalizing the operator  $\Delta^{-1}$ . Inverting the result to obtain  $\Delta$  turns out to be a simple matter. Since  $a^2\Box = \sum_{\mu=1}^d (\sigma_\mu + \sigma_\mu^{\dagger} - 2d\mathbf{1})$ , the eigenvectors of  $\Box$  are the same as the eigenvectors of the stepping operator  $\sigma_\mu$  (and  $\sigma_\mu^{\dagger}$ ). For *N* lattice sites in dimension d = 1, with periodic boundary conditions, there are *N* eigenvectors { $\phi_k$ },  $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Taking  $\omega^k = e^{2\pi i k/N}$  and  $\phi_k^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}(1, \omega^{-k}, \omega^{-2k}, \dots, \omega^{-(N-1)k})$ , the eigenvalue equation yields

$$\Delta^{-1}\phi_k = \left(1 - \frac{a^2h}{s}\Box\right)\phi_k = \left(1 - \frac{h}{s}\left(\omega^k + \omega^{-k} - 2\right)\right)\phi_k$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{h}{s}\left(2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{N}\right) - 2\right)\right)\phi_k$$

Assembling the eigenvectors into  $[N \times N]$  unitary matrix  $\mathbf{Q} = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N)$ , we implement the discrete Fourier transform by

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{-1} = \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag} \left[ 1 - \frac{2h}{s} \left( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) - 1 \right) \right] \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

so in the discrete Fourier basis  $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  the scalar propagator is diagonalized

$$\mathbf{\Delta} = \mathbf{Q} \operatorname{diag} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{2h}{s} \left( \cos \left( \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) - 1 \right) \right)^{-1} \right] \mathbf{Q}^{\dagger}.$$

For dimension d > 1, we include the contribution of each dimension to the eigenvalues (these terms come from  $\Box$ )

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{\Delta}}_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{k}}}{1 - \frac{2h}{s}\sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left(\cos\left(\frac{2\pi k_{\mu}}{N}\right) - 1\right)}, \qquad \mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^d .$$

Solution 1.3 - Scalar propagator, continuum configuration space. In the continuum limit the eigenvectors become eigenfunctions, with the discrete periodic lattice site label traded in for a continuum "index"  $\mathbf{x}$  on *d*-torus,

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \qquad 0 \le x_{\mu} < L_{\mu}, \ \mu = 1, 2, \cdots, d, \ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}.$$

In the  $N \to \infty$  limit the eigenvalues of  $\widetilde{\Delta}_c^{-1}$  become  $\left(1 + \frac{4\pi^2 h}{s} \sum_{\mu=1}^d k_{\mu}^2\right)$  and

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_{c}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\delta(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{k})}{1+\frac{h}{s}4\pi^{2}\mathbf{k}^{2}}$$

soluLattFT - 21aug2018

Euclidean!free scalar particle propagator propagator!free scalar particle Euclidean!free scalar particle propagator, Fourier rep. propagator!free scalar particle, Fourier rep. Euclidean!free scalar particle propagator, Fourier rep. propagator!free scalar particle, Fourier rep.

where  $|\mathbf{k}|^2 = \sum_{\mu=1}^d |k_{\mu}|^2$  is the norm-squared of **k** and given in appropriate non-dimensional units (length scale is given by *a*).

This solution is still messed up, but once it is fixed we'll see that the mass squared  $m^2$  of Euclidean scalar particle is proportional to  $m^2 \sim s/h$ : the heavier the particle, the less likely it is to hop, the more likely is it to stop.

**Solution 1.4 -** 1*D* **lattice Laplacian for period-8 periodic state.** The eigenvalues of the 8-sites periodic lattice Laplacian are

$$\left\{4, 2 + \sqrt{2}, 2 + \sqrt{2}, 2, 2, 2 - \sqrt{2}, 2 - \sqrt{2}, 0\right\}.$$

Solution 6.6 - Propagators in the configuration space. none available

## References

- [1] A. Altland and B. D. Simons, *Condensed Matter Field Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2009).
- [2] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1976).
- [3] E. H. Berger, Die geographischen Fragmente des Hipparch (Teubner, 1869).
- [4] D. Cimasoni, "The critical Ising model via Kac-Ward matrices", Commun. Math. Phys. **316**, 99–126 (2012).
- [5] P. Coleman, *Introduction to Many-Body Physics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2015).
- [6] M. M. P. Couchman, D. J. Evans, and J. W. M. Bush, "The stability of a hydrodynamic Bravais lattice", Symmetry 14, 1524 (2022).
- [7] P. Cvitanović and H. Liang, Spatiotemporal cat: An exact classical chaotic field theory, In preparation, 2019.
- [8] A. Farshchian, J. A. Gallego, J. P. Cohen, Y. Bengio, L. E. Miller, and S. A. Solla, Adversarial domain adaptation for stable brain-machine interfaces, in International Conference on Learning Representations (2019), pp. 1–14.
- [9] C. Godsil and G. F. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory* (Springer, New York, 2013).
- [10] G. W. Hill, "On the part of the motion of the lunar perigee which is a function of the mean motions of the sun and moon", Acta Math. 8, 1–36 (1886).
- [11] K. Jansen, "Lattice field theory", Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 16, 2638–2679 (2007).
- [12] L. P. Kadanoff, *Statistical Physics: Statics, Dynamics and Renormalization* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).
- [13] M. Kardar, "Two dimensional solids, two dimensional melting", in 8.334 Statistical Mechanics II: Statistical Physics of Fields (MIT OpenCourse-Ware, Cambridge MA, 2014).
- [14] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1970).
- [15] D. A. Lind and B. Marcus, An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 1995).
- [16] H. B. Meyer, "Lattice QCD: A brief introduction", in *Lattice QCD for Nuclear Physics*, edited by H.-W. Lin and H. B. Meyer (Springer, York New, 2014), pp. 1–34.
- [17] I. Montvay and G. Münster, *Quantum Fields on a Lattice* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 1994).
- [18] G. Münster and M. Walzl, Lattice gauge theory A short primer, 2000.
- [19] M. Pollicott, Dynamical zeta functions, in Smooth Ergodic Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 69, edited by A. Katok, R. de la Llave, Y. Pesin, and H. Weiss (2001), pp. 409–428.
- [20] H. J. Rothe, *Lattice Gauge Theories An Introduction* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2005).
- [21] J. Smit, *Introduction to Quantum Fields on a Lattice* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2002).
- [22] R. Sommer, Introduction to Lattice Gauge Theories, tech. rep. (Humboldt Univ., 2015).
- [23] U.-J. Wiese, An Introduction to Lattice Field Theory, tech. rep. (Univ. Bern, 2009).
- [24] Wikipedia contributors, Chord (geometry) Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2023.

# Chapter 2

# Path integral formulation of quantum mechanics

2.1	Quantum mechanics: a brief review	36	
2.2	Matrix-valued functions	38	
2.3	Short time propagation	40	
2.4	Path integral	41	
References			
2.5	*	43	

We introduce Feynman path integral and construct semiclassical approximations to quantum propagators and Green's functions.

**Have:** the Schrödinger equation, i.e., the (infinitesimal time) evolution law for any quantum wavefunction:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(t) = \hat{H}\psi(t).$$
(2.1)

**Want:**  $\psi(t)$  at any finite time, given the initial wave function  $\psi(0)$ .

As the Schrödinger equation (2.1) is a linear equation, the solution can be written down immediately: <sup>1</sup>

$$\psi(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}\psi(0), \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Fine, but what does this mean? We can be a little more explicit; using the configuration representation  $\psi(q, t) = \langle q | \psi(t) \rangle$  and the configuration representation completness relation

$$\mathbf{1} = \int dq^D |q\rangle\langle q| \tag{2.2}$$

we have

$$\psi(q,t) = \langle q | \psi(t) \rangle = \int dq' \, \langle q | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t} | q' \rangle \langle q' | \psi(0) \rangle \,, \qquad t \ge 0 \,. \tag{2.3}$$
<sup>1</sup>Wojcik: why  $t \ge 0$ ?

In sect. 2.1 we will solve the problem and give the explicit formula (2.9) for the propagator. However, this solution is useless - it requires knowing all quantum eigenfunctions, i.e., it is a solution which we can implement provided that we have already solved the quantum problem. In sect. 2.4 we shall derive Feynman's path integral formula for  $K(q, q', t) = \langle q | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{H} t} | q' \rangle$ .

# 2.1 Quantum mechanics: a brief review

We start with a review of standard quantum mechanical concepts prerequisite to the derivation of the semiclassical trace formula: Schrödinger equation, propagator, Green's function, density of states.

In coordinate representation the time evolution of a quantum mechanical wave function is governed by the Schrödinger equation (2.1)

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(q,t) = \hat{H}(q,\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q})\psi(q,t), \qquad (2.4)$$

where the Hamilton operator  $\hat{H}(q, -i\hbar\partial_q)$  is obtained from the classical Hamiltonian by substitution  $p \rightarrow -i\hbar\partial_q$ . Most of the Hamiltonians we shall consider here are of form

$$H(q, p) = T(p) + V(q), \qquad T(p) = \frac{p^2}{2m},$$
 (2.5)

appropriate to a particle in a *D*-dimensional potential V(q). If, as is often the case, a Hamiltonian has mixed terms such as  $\dot{q} p$ , consult any book on quantum mechanics. We are interested in finding stationary solutions

$$\psi(q,t) = e^{-iE_nt/\hbar}\phi_n(q) = \langle q|e^{-iHt/\hbar}|n\rangle,$$

of the time independent Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}\psi(q) = E\psi(q), \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $E_n$ ,  $|n\rangle$  are the eigenenergies, respectively eigenfunctions of the system. For bound systems the spectrum is discrete and the eigenfunctions form an orthonormal

$$\int dq^D \phi_n^*(q) \phi_m(q) = \int dq^D \langle n|q \rangle \langle q|m \rangle = \delta_{nm}$$
(2.7)

and complete

$$\sum_{n} \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(q') = \delta(q - q'), \qquad \sum_{n} |n\rangle \langle n| = \mathbf{1}$$
(2.8)

set of Hilbert space functions. <sup>2</sup> For simplicity we will assume that the system is bound, although most of the results will be applicable to open systems, where one has complex resonances instead of real energies, and the spectrum has continuous components.

quantum!mechanics

mechanics!quantum

Hamiltonian

eigenfunction Hilbert space

Schr\"odinger!equation

Schr\"odinger!equation

38

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Predrag: define Hilbert space



**Figure 2.1:** Path integral receives contributions from all paths propagating from q' to q in time t = t' + t'', first from q' to q'' for time t', followed by propagation from q'' to q in time t''.

A given wave function can be expanded in the energy eigenbasis

$$\psi(q,t) = \sum_{n} c_n e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \phi_n(q) \,,$$

where the expansion coefficient  $c_n$  is given by the projection of the initial wave function onto the *n*th eigenstate

$$c_n = \int dq^D \phi_n^*(q) \psi(q,0) = \langle n | \psi(0) \rangle.$$

The evolution of the wave function is then given by

$$\psi(q,t) = \sum_{n} \phi_{n}(q) e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar} \int dq'^{D} \phi_{n}^{*}(q') \psi(q',0).$$

We can write this as

$$\psi(q,t) = \int dq'^{D} K(q,q',t) \psi(q',0),$$

$$K(q,q',t) = \sum_{n} \phi_{n}(q) e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar} \phi_{n}^{*}(q')$$

$$= \langle q|e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}|q'\rangle = \sum_{n} \langle q|n\rangle e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar} \langle n|q'\rangle, \qquad (2.9)$$

where the kernel K(q, q', t) is called the quantum evolution operator, or the *propagator*. Applied twice, first for time  $t_1$  and then for time  $t_2$ , it propagates the initial wave function from q' to q'', and then from q'' to q

$$K(q,q',t_1+t_2) = \int dq'' K(q,q'',t_2) K(q'',q',t_1)$$
(2.10)

forward in time, hence the name "propagator", see figure 2.1. In non-relativistic quantum mechanics the range of q'' is infinite, meaning that the wave can propagate at any speed; in relativistic quantum mechanics this is rectified by restricting the forward propagation to the forward light cone.

Since the propagator is a linear combination of the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation, the propagator itself also satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}K(q,q',t) = \hat{H}(q,\frac{i}{\hbar}\frac{\partial}{\partial q})K(q,q',t).$$
(2.11)

qmechanics - 4feb2005

The propagator is a wave function defined for  $t \ge 0$  which starts out at t = 0 as a delta function concentrated on  $q'^3$ 

$$\lim_{t \to 0_+} K(q, q', t) = \delta(q - q') \,. \tag{2.12}$$

This follows from the completeness relation (2.8).

The time scales of atomic, nuclear and subnuclear processes are too short for direct observation of time evolution of a quantum state. For this reason, in most physical applications one is interested in the long time behavior of a quantum system.

In the  $t \to \infty$  limit the sharp, well defined quantity is the energy *E* (or frequency), extracted from the quantum propagator via its Laplace transform, the energy dependent Green's function

$$G(q,q',E+i\epsilon) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Et - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar}t} K(q,q',t) = \sum_n \frac{\phi_n(q)\phi_n^*(q')}{E - E_n + i\epsilon} \,.$$
(2.13)

Here  $\epsilon$  is a small positive number, ensuring that the propagation is forward in time.

This completes our lightning review of quantum mechanics.

Feynman arrived to his formulation of quantum mechanics by thinking of figure 2.1 as a "multi-slit" experiment, with an infinitesimal "slit" placed at every q' point. The Feynman path integral follows from two observations:

- 1. Sect. 2.3: For short time the propagator can be expressed in terms of classical functions (Dirac).
- 2. Sect. 2.4: The group property (2.10) enables us to represent finite time evolution as a product of many short time evolution steps (Feynman).

# 2.2 Matrix-valued functions

How are we to think of the quantum operator

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}, \qquad \hat{T} = \hat{p}^2/2m, \qquad \hat{V} = V(\hat{q}),$$
(2.14)

corresponding to the classical Hamiltonian (2.5)?

Whenever you are confused about an "operator", think "matrix". Expressed in terms of basis functions, the propagator is an infinite-dimensional matrix; if we happen to know the eigenbasis of the Hamiltonian, (2.9) is the propagator diagonalized. Of course, if we knew the eigenbasis the problem would have been solved already. In real life we have to guess that some complete basis set is good starting point for solving the problem, and go from there. In practice we truncate such matrix representations to finite-dimensional basis set, so it pays to recapitulate a few relevant facts about matrix algebra.

The derivative of a (finite-dimensional) matrix is a matrix with elements

$$A'(x) = \frac{dA(x)}{dx}, \qquad A'_{ij}(x) = \frac{d}{dx}A_{ij}(x).$$
 (2.15)

 a delta function!Dirac
 Green's function!energy domain
 Laplace!transform
 Green's function!trace
 Hamiltonian!operator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Wojcik: discuss this

41

chain rule!matrix

Derivatives of products of matrices are evaluated by the chain rule

$$\frac{d}{dx}(AB) = \frac{dA}{dx}B + A\frac{dB}{dx}.$$
(2.16)

A matrix and its derivative matrix in general do not commute

$$\frac{d}{dx}A^2 = \frac{dA}{dx}A + A\frac{dA}{dx}.$$
(2.17)

The derivative of the inverse of a matrix follows from  $\frac{d}{dx}(AA^{-1}) = 0$ :

$$\frac{d}{dx}A^{-1} = -\frac{1}{A}\frac{dA}{dx}\frac{1}{A}.$$
(2.18)

As a single matrix commutes with itself, any function of a single variable that can be expressed in terms of additions and multiplications generalizes to a matrix-valued function by replacing the variable by the matrix.

In particular, the exponential of a constant matrix can be defined either by its series expansion, or as a limit of an infinite product:

$$e^{A} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^{k}, \qquad A^{0} = \mathbf{1}$$
 (2.19)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{N} A \right)^N \tag{2.20}$$

The first equation follows from the second one by the binomial theorem, so these indeed are equivalent definitions. For finite *N* the two expressions differ by order  $O(N^{-2})$ . That the terms of order  $O(N^{-2})$  or smaller do not matter is easy to establish for  $A \rightarrow x$ , the scalar case. This follows from the bound

$$\left(1+\frac{x-\epsilon}{N}\right)^N < \left(1+\frac{x+\delta x_N}{N}\right)^N < \left(1+\frac{x+\epsilon}{N}\right)^N,$$

where  $|\delta x_N| < \epsilon$  accounts for extra terms in the binomial expansion of (2.20). If  $\lim \delta x_N \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ , the extra terms do not contribute. I do not have equally simple proof for matrices - would probably have to define the norm of a matrix (and a norm of an operator acting on a Banach space) first.

The logarithm of a matrix is defined by the power series

$$\ln(1-B) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^k}{k}.$$
(2.21)

Consider now the trace

$$\operatorname{tr} \ln(1-B) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(B^k)}{k}$$

To the leading order in 1/N

det 
$$(1 + A/N) = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} A + O(N^{-2}).$$

qmechanics - 4feb2005

hence

$$\det e^{A} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} A + O(N^{-2}) \right)^{N} = e^{\operatorname{tr} A}$$
(2.22) Schr\"odinger!picture  
Trotter product  
formula

Defining  $M = e^A$  we can write this as

$$\ln \det M = \operatorname{tr} \ln M \,. \tag{2.23}$$

Due to non-commutativity of matrices, generalization of a function of several variables to a function is not as straightforward. Expression involving several matrices depend on their commutation relations. For example, the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff commutator expansion

$$e^{tA}Be^{-tA} = B + t[A, B] + \frac{t^2}{2}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{t^3}{3!}[A, [A, [A, B]]] + \cdots$$
 (2.24)

sometimes used to establish the equivalence of the Heisenberg and Schrödinger pictures of quantum mechanics, follows by recursive evaluation of t derivaties

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{tA}Be^{-tA}\right) = e^{tA}[A,B]e^{-tA}.$$

Expanding  $\exp(A + B)$ ,  $\exp A$ ,  $\exp B$  to first few orders using (2.19) yields

$$e^{(A+B)/N} = e^{A/N} e^{B/N} - \frac{1}{2N^2} [A, B] + O(N^{-3}), \qquad (2.25)$$

and the *Trotter product formula*: if B, C and A = B + C are matrices, then

$$e^{A} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( e^{B/N} e^{C/N} \right)^{N} . \tag{2.26}$$

#### Short time propagation 2.3

Split the Hamiltonian into the kinetic and potential terms  $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}$  and consider the short time propagator

$$K(q,q',\Delta t) = \langle q|e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\Delta t}|q'\rangle = \langle q|e^{-\hat{T}\lambda}e^{-\hat{V}\lambda}|q'\rangle + O(\Delta t^2).$$
(2.27)

where  $\lambda = \frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta t$ . The error estimate follows from (2.25). In the coordinate representation the operator

$$e^{-\hat{V}\lambda}|q\rangle = e^{-V(q)\lambda}|q\rangle$$

is diagonal (a "*c*-number"). In order to evaluate  $\langle q|e^{-\hat{T}\lambda}|q'\rangle$ , insert the momentum eigenstates sum in a D-dimensional configuration space<sup>4</sup>

$$\mathbf{1} = \int dp^D |p\rangle \langle p|, \qquad \langle p|q\rangle = (2\pi\hbar)^{-D/2} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot q}, \qquad (2.28)$$

Heisenberg!picture

formula

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Predrag: explain

and evaluate the Gaussian integral

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q|e^{-\lambda\hat{T}}|q'\rangle &= \int dp^D \langle q|e^{-\hat{T}\lambda}|p\rangle \langle p|q'\rangle = \int \frac{dp^D}{(2\pi\hbar)^{D/2}} e^{-\lambda p^2/2m} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}p \cdot (q-q')} \\ &= \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar\Delta t}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\frac{m}{2\Delta t}(q-q')^2} \,. \end{aligned}$$
(2.29)

Replacement  $(q - q')/\Delta t \rightarrow \dot{q}$  leads (up to an error of order of  $\Delta t^2$ ) to a purely classical expression for the short time propagator

$$K(q,q',\Delta t) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar\Delta t}\right)^{D/2} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\Delta t L(q,\dot{q})} + O(\Delta t^2), \qquad (2.30)$$

where  $L(q, \dot{q})$  is the Lagrangian of classical mechanics

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = m\frac{\dot{q}^2}{2} - V(q).$$
(2.31)

5

# 2.4 Path integral

Next we express the finite time evolution as a product of many short time evolution steps.

Splitting the Hamiltonian into the kinetic and potential terms  $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}$  and using the Trotter product formula (2.26) we have

$$e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{T}\Delta t} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{V}\Delta t} \right)^N, \qquad \Delta t = t/N$$
(2.32)

Turn this into matrix multiplication by inserting the configuration representation completeness relations (2.2)

$$K(q,q',t) = \langle q|e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}|q'\rangle$$

$$= \int dq_1^D \cdots dq_{N-1}^D \langle q|e^{-\hat{H}\lambda}|q_{N-1}\rangle \cdots \langle q_1|e^{-\hat{H}\lambda}|q'\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \int dq_1^D \cdots dq_{N-1}^D \langle q'|e^{-\hat{T}\lambda}e^{-\hat{V}\lambda}|q_{N-1}\rangle \cdots \langle q_1|e^{-\hat{T}\lambda}e^{-\hat{V}\lambda}|q\rangle.$$
(2.33)

The next step relies on convolution of two Gaussians being a Gaussian. <sup>6</sup> Substituting (2.30) we obtain that the total phase shift is given by the *Hamilton's principal function*, the integral of (2.31) evaluated along the given path p from q' = q(0) to q = q(t):

$$R[q] = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \left( \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{q_{j+1} - q_j}{\Delta t} \right)^2 - V(q_j) \right), \qquad q_0 = q'$$
$$= \int d\tau L(q(\tau), \dot{q}(\tau)), \qquad (2.34)$$

<sup>5</sup>Predrag: should one comment on midpint rule V((q + q')/2)?

Lagrangian

Hamilton's!principal function

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Predrag: write the formula!

where functional notation [q] indicates that R[q] depends on the vector  $q = (q', q_1, q_2, ..., q_{N-1}, q)$  defining a given path  $q(\tau)$  in the limit of  $N \to \infty$  steps, and the propagator is given by <sup>7</sup>

$$K(q,q',t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int [dq] e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}R[q]}$$

$$[dq] = \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{dq_j^D}{(2\pi i\hbar \Delta t/m)^{D/2}}.$$
(2.35)

We assume that the energy is conserved, and that the only time dependence of  $L(q, \dot{q})$  is through  $(q(\tau), \dot{q}(\tau))$ .

Path integral receives contributions from all paths propagating forward from q' to q in time t, see figure 2.1. The usual, more compact notation is

$$K(q,q',t) = \int \mathcal{D}q \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}R[q]}, \quad \text{or, more pictures quely}$$
  
=  $C \sum_{p} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}R[q_{p}]}, \quad q' = q_{p}(0), q = q_{p}(t), \quad (2.36)$ 

where  $\int \mathcal{D}q$  is shorthand notation for the  $N \to \infty$  limit in (2.35),

$$\int \mathcal{D}q = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int [dq], \qquad (2.37)$$

and the "sum over the paths  $C \sum_{p}$ " is whatever you imagine it to be.

What's good and what's bad about path integrals? First the virtues:

- conceptual unification of
  - quantum mechanics
  - statistical mechanics
  - chaotic dynamics
- yields analytic solutions to classes of quantum problems
- quantum-classical correspondence
  - semiclassical theory
- theory of perturbative corrections
  - Feynman diagrams
- relativistic quantum field theory

And now for the bad news:

- $N \to \infty$  continuum limit
  - fraught with perils sides of the road are littered with corpses of the careless

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Predrag: insert this into Feb 2003(?) lect 11 Exact propagator of a free particle

# References

[1] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Theoretical Mechanics of Particles and Continua* (Dover, New York, 2003).

Dirac delta Lorentzian Green's function scalar!propagator propagator!scalar

#### **Exercises**

2.1. Dirac delta function, Lorentzian representation. <sup>8</sup> Derive the representation

$$\delta(E - E_n) = -\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\epsilon}$$
(2.38)

of a delta function as imaginary part of 1/x.

(Hint: read up on principal parts, positive and negative frequency part of the delta function, the Cauchy theorem in a good quantum mechanics textbook).

### 2.2. Green's function. Verify Green's function Laplace transform (2.13),

$$G(q,q',E+i\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Et - \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}t} K(q,q',t)$$
$$= \sum \frac{\phi_n(q)\phi_n^*(q')}{E - E_n + i\varepsilon},$$

argue that positive  $\epsilon$  is needed (hint: read a good quantum mechanics textbook).

# 2.3. Scalar field propagator. [M. Srednicki, Quantum Field Theory, Part I arXiv:hepth/0409035, problem 8.2]

Starting with

$$\Delta(x - x') = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{ik(x - x')}}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon},$$
(2.39)

verify

$$\Delta(x - x') = i \int d\tilde{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') - i\omega|t - t'|}$$

$$= i\theta(t - t') \int d\tilde{k} e^{ik(x - x')}$$
(2.40)

$$+i\theta(t'-t)\int d\tilde{k} e^{-ik(x-x')}.$$
(2.41)

There should be an i in eq. (2.40).

#### 2.4. Quantum mechanical path integrals.

Consider a particle moving in one dimension with separable Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) \,.$$

If the particle is at a position q at time t = 0, what is the probability amplitude that it will be at position q' at a later time t = T?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Predrag: (23.18) in edition 10?

**a**) Show that the propagator from the initial spacetime point (q, 0) to the final point (q', T) is

$$A = \langle q'|\psi(T)\rangle \equiv K(q',T;q,0) = \langle q'|e^{-iHT}|q\rangle$$
(2.42)

(set  $\hbar$  to 1 throughout).

**b**) Split the time evolution into two intervals  $T = (T - t_1) + t_1$ , show that the amplitude can be written as

$$A = \int dq_1 K(q', T; q_1, t_1) K(q_1, t_1; q, 0) .$$
(2.43)

c) Split the time interval T into a large number N of short time intervals of duration  $\epsilon = T/N$ :

$$A = \langle q' | \left( e^{-iH\epsilon} \right)^N | q \rangle = \langle q' | \underbrace{e^{-iH\epsilon} e^{-iH\epsilon} \cdots e^{-iH\epsilon}}_{N \text{ times}} | q \rangle,$$

and show that the amplitude can be written as the sum over the amplitudes of all possible N-segment paths,

$$A = \sum_{\text{paths}} A_{\text{path}} ,$$

where

$$\sum_{\text{paths}} = \int dq_1 \cdots dq_{N-1} ,$$

 $A_{\text{path}} = K_{q_N, q_{N-1}} K_{q_{N-1}, q_{N-2}} \cdots K_{q_2, q_1} K_{q_1, q_0}.$ 

d) Show that the short-time propagator for one sub-interval is given by

$$K_{q_{j+1},q_j} = \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} e^{ip_j(q_{j+1}-q_j)} e^{-i\epsilon H(p_j,\bar{q}_j)} (1+o(\epsilon^2)), \qquad (2.44)$$

where  $\bar{q}_j = \frac{1}{2}(q_j + q_{j+1})$ .

e) Combine N such factors, show that to the order of  $o(\epsilon^2)$  the amplitude of a path is

$$A_{\text{path}} = \int \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (p_j \dot{q}_j - H(p_j, \bar{q}_j)).$$
(2.45)

f) Show that the propagator is given by

$$K = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \int \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (p_j \dot{q}_j - H(p_j, \bar{q}_j)), \qquad (2.46)$$

with one momentum integral for each segment (*N* total), and one position integral for each intermediate position (*N* – 1 total). In the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit, this is a *phase-space path integral* integral over all functions p(t), q(t):

$$K \equiv \int \mathcal{D}p(t)\mathcal{D}q(t) \exp i \int_0^T dt \left(p\dot{q} - H(p,q)\right) , \qquad (2.47)$$

with fixed ends q(0) = q, q(T) = q'.

g) Show that if the Hamiltonian is separable,  $H = p^2/2m + V(q)$ , one can carry out the momentum integrals in (2.46), and the propagator becomes

$$K = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \exp -i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} V(\bar{q}_j) \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \epsilon}} \exp i\epsilon \frac{m \dot{q}_j^2}{2} \right)$$
$$= \left( \frac{m}{2\pi i \epsilon} \right)^{N/2} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{m \dot{q}_j^2}{2} - V(\bar{q}_j) \right).$$
(2.48)

exerQmech - 26jan2004

The argument of the exponential is the action of a path passing through the points  $q_0 = q, q_1, \dots, q_{N-1}, q_N = q'$ . This is the *configuration space path integral* 

$$K = \int \mathcal{D}q(t)e^{iS[q(t)]}.$$
(2.49)

2.5. Yukawa equation. For the  $\mu^2 = -k^2 > 0$  (imaginary wavenumber k), the Helmholtz equation is known as the *screened Poisson equation* [1],

$$(-\nabla^2 + \mu^2)\phi(x) = 4\pi\rho(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$
 (2.50)

due to its applications to electric field screening in plasmas. In chemistry the equation governs steady-state diffusion in presence of a solute  $\rho(x)$ , or heat diffusion in presence of heat sources. In the relativistic quantum field theory, a free massive scalar boson in D + 1 dimensions is described by *Klein–Gordon* or *Yukawa equation*,

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \frac{\mu^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\phi = 0.$$
(2.51)

Its steady, time-independent solutions reduce to the homogeneous screened Poisson equation, which we refer to as the *D*-dimensional Euclidean theory Klein–Gordon equation,

$$\left(-\nabla^2 + \mu^2\right)\phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0.$$
(2.52)

The solutions of the screened Poisson equation (2.50) are of the same form as for the Helmholtz equation, but with the oscillatory sin, cos, and  $\exp(i \cdots)$  terms replaced by the hyperbolic sinh, cosh, and  $\exp(-\cdots)$ .

The Klein–Gordon Green's function satisfies g(x, x')

$$(-\nabla^2 + \mu^2) g(x, x') = \delta(x - x'), \qquad (2.53)$$

with the appropriate boundary conditions.

(a) Argue on dimensional grounds, assuming isotropy and translational invariance, that the massless,  $\mu = 0$  Euclidean Green's function is proportional to

$$g(x, x') \propto \frac{1}{|x - x'|^{1 - D/2}},$$
(2.54)

The outgoing is D = 3 known as the Yukawa potential, the static, spherically symmetric solution

$$g(x, x') = -\frac{e^{-\mu|x-x'|}}{4\pi|x-x'|}.$$
(2.55)

to the Klein–Gordon equation. The Fourier transform relates the Yukawa potential to the massive scalar particle propagator, i.e., Green's function of the static Klein–Gordon equation

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{-g^2}{(2\pi)^3} \int e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \frac{4\pi}{k^2 + \mu^2} \, \mathrm{d}^3 k \, .$$

In d = 2 this integral can be explicitly evaluated as a Bessel function, <sup>9</sup> Recheck the  $2\pi$  factors

$$g(\mathbf{r},0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}k_r \; \frac{k_r J_0(k_r r)}{k_r^2 + \mu^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(r\mu).$$

physics.stackexchange has a clean derivation: The Fourier transform

$$G(x,y) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x-y)}}{k^2 + \mu^2}.$$
 (2.56)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Predrag: 2020-10-31

In d dimensions the radially symmetric solution is

$$G(r) = \frac{A}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \left(\frac{\mu}{r}\right)^{d/2-1} K_{d/2-1}(\mu r), \quad r = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|,$$
Lorentzian  
delta function!Dirac  
(2.57)

where  $K_{d/2-1}(r)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

d = 1 (fix normalization?)

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{2\mu} e^{-\mu r},$$
(2.58)

d = 2 is given by refeq Han2023-07-12. d = 3

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r} e^{-\mu r},$$
(2.59)

Asymptotics for r large

$$K_{\nu}(\mu r) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\mu r}} e^{-\mu r}$$
(2.60)

# Chapter 2 solutions: Quantum mechanics, briefly

**Solution 2.1 - Lorentzian representation of the Dirac delta function.** General hint: read up on principal parts, positive and negative frequency parts of the Dirac delta function, perhaps the Cauchy theorem, in any good quantum mechanics textbook.

To see that (2.38)

$$\delta(E - E_n) = -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\varepsilon}$$

satisfies properties of the delta function, start by expressing explicitly the imaginary part:

$$-\operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\varepsilon} = -\operatorname{Im} \frac{E - E_n - i\varepsilon}{(E - E_n + i\varepsilon)(E - E_n - i\varepsilon)}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \varepsilon^2}.$$

This is a Lorentzian of width  $\epsilon$ , with a peak at  $E = E_n$ . It has the correct normalization for the delta function,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \frac{\varepsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \varepsilon^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \arctan \frac{E - E_n}{\varepsilon} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} (\pi/2 - (-\pi/2)) = 1$$

so

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE \frac{\epsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \epsilon^2} = 1, \qquad (2.61)$$

independently of the width  $\epsilon$ .

Dirac delta

Next we show that in the  $\epsilon \to \infty$  limit the support of the Lorentzian is concentrated at  $E = E_n$ . When  $E = E_n$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \infty$$

and when  $E \neq E_n$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \varepsilon^2} = 0$$

Providing that a function f(E) convolved with  $\delta(s)$ ,  $\int f(E)\delta(E - E_n)dE$ , has a continuous first derivative at  $E = E_n$  and falls of sufficiently rapidly as  $E \to \pm \infty$ , this is a representation of the delta function.

(R. Paskauskas, Bo Li)

**Solution 2.1 - Lorentzian representation of the Dirac delta function.** We need to prove that:

$$\delta(E - E_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \neq E_n \\ +\infty & \text{if } E = E_n \end{cases},$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(E-E_n) dE = 1 \, .$$

Clearly

$$-\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{\epsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \epsilon^2}$$

equals to 0 when  $E \neq E_n$ , and diverges when  $E = E_n$ . Calculating the integral as a contour integral and using the residue theorem we have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{E - E_n + i\epsilon} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{\epsilon}{(E - E_n)^2 + \epsilon^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{\epsilon}{2i\epsilon} 2\pi i$$
$$= 1.$$

Here integrating over the upper half plane or lower half plane doesn't matter.

(Han Liang)

**Solution 2.2 - Green's function.** The Laplace transform of the (time-dependent) quantum propagator

$$K(q,q',t) = \sum_{n} \phi_n(q) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \phi_n^*(q')$$

is the (energy-dependent) Green's function

$$\begin{split} G(q,q',E+i\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^\infty dt \; e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Et - \frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}t} \sum_n \phi_n(q) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \phi_n^*(q') \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(q') \int_0^\infty dt \; e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E-E_n+i\varepsilon)t} \\ &= -\sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(q') \frac{1}{E-E_n+i\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}t} e^{i(E-E_n)t/\hbar} \Big|_{t=0}^{t=\infty} \; . \end{split}$$

soluQmech - 11sep2018

Dirac delta Lorentzian delta function!Dirac Green's function

51

(Bo Li)

### Green's function

When  $\varepsilon$  is positive,  $e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{\hbar}\infty} = 0$ , so

$$G(q,q',E+i\varepsilon) = \sum_{n} \frac{\phi_n(q)\phi_n^*(q')}{E-E_n+i\varepsilon}.$$

Solution 2.2 - Green's function. We know that:

$$K(q,q',t) = \sum_{n} e^{-iE_{n}t/\hbar} \phi_{n}(q) \phi_{n}^{*}(p') \,.$$

Substitute into the Green's function:

$$\begin{split} G(q,q',E+i\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Et - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar}t} K(q,q',t) \\ &= \sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(p') \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Et - \frac{\epsilon}{\hbar}t} e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \\ &= \sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(p') \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} dt \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E-E_n+i\epsilon)} \\ &= \sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(p') \frac{-1}{(E-E_n+i\epsilon)} (e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E-E_n+i\epsilon)}|_{t=\infty} - e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(E-E_n+i\epsilon)}|_{t=0}) \\ &= \sum_n \phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(p') \frac{-1}{(E-E_n+i\epsilon)} (0-1) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{\phi_n(q) \phi_n^*(p')}{(E-E_n+i\epsilon)} \end{split}$$

**Solution 2.3 - Scalar field propagator.** The hardest part of this problem is to figure out what do these kx mean. In the first formula  $kx = -k_0t + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}$  and  $k^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2$ . Start with the first equation and integrate  $k_0$ . Do a contour integral and use the residue theorem:

$$\begin{split} \Delta(x - x') &= \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{ik(x - x')}}{k^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')} e^{-ik_0(t - t')}}{\mathbf{k}^2 - k_0^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} dk_0 \,. \end{split}$$

If (t - t') is larger (smaller) than 0 we will do the contour integral over the lower (upper) half plane. Let  $\omega = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ . Then this equation becomes:

$$\begin{split} \Delta(x - x') &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}e^{-ik_0(t-t')}}{\omega^2 - k_0^2 - i\epsilon} dk_0 \quad (\epsilon \to +0) \\ &= \begin{cases} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{-2\omega} (-2\pi i) & \text{if } t - t' > 0 \\ \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}e^{-i\omega(t'-t)}}{2\omega} (2\pi i) & \text{if } t - t' < 0 \end{cases} \\ &= i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{2\omega} \end{split}$$

Using the definition  $\tilde{dk} = \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2\omega}$  (from page 28 of this book), the equation becomes:

$$\Delta(x - x') = i \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}e^{-i\omega|t-t'|}}{2\omega}$$
$$= i \int \tilde{dk} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')-i\omega|t-t'|}.$$

soluQmech - 11sep2018

Let  $kx = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t$  (This is definition given on the page 26 of Srednicki arXiv:hep-th/0409035. Note this is different from the definition of the kx in (2.39)). This equation becomes:

$$\begin{split} \Delta(x-x') &= i \int d\tilde{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')-i\omega|t-t'|} \\ &= i\theta(t-t') \int d\tilde{k} e^{ik(x-x')} + i\theta(t'-t) \int d\tilde{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')+i\omega(t-t')} \\ &= i\theta(t-t') \int d\tilde{k} e^{ik(x-x')} + i\theta(t'-t) \int d\tilde{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')+i\omega(t-t')} \quad \text{(change } \mathbf{k} \to -\mathbf{k}\text{)} \\ &= i\theta(t-t') \int d\tilde{k} e^{ik(x-x')} + i\theta(t'-t) \int d\tilde{k} e^{-ik(x-x')} , \end{split}$$

which is eq. (2.41).

(Han Liang)

#### Solution 2.4 - Quantum mechanical path integrals.

Consider a particle moving in one dimension with separable Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(q) \,.$$

If the particle is at a position q at time t = 0, what is the probability amplitude that it will be at position q' at a later time t = T?

The eigenstates of the position operator  $\hat{q}$  form a complete, orthonormal set:

$$\hat{q}|q\rangle = q|q\rangle, \qquad \langle q'|q\rangle = \delta(q'-q), \qquad \int dq|q\rangle\langle q| = 1$$

Letting the initial state  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |q\rangle$  evolve in time and projecting on the state  $|q'\rangle$ , we get the propagator from the initial spacetime point (q, 0) to the final point (q', T)

$$A = \langle q'|\psi(T)\rangle \equiv K(q',T;q,0) = \langle q'|e^{-iHT}|q\rangle.$$
(2.62)

( $\hbar$  will be set to 1.)

Separate the time evolution in the above amplitude into two time evolution intervals  $e^{-iHT} = e^{-iH(T-t_1)}e^{-iHt_1}$ , with amplitude

$$A = \langle q' | e^{-iH(T-t_1)} e^{-iHt_1} | q \rangle$$

Inserting a factor 1 in the form of a sum over the position eigenstates gives

$$A = \langle q' | e^{-iH(T-t_1)} \underbrace{\int dq_1 | q_1 \rangle \langle q_1 |}_{=1} e^{-iHt_1} | q \rangle$$
  
=  $\int dq_1 K(q', T; q_1, t_1) K(q_1, t_1; q, 0).$  (2.63)

This is the quantum mechanical rule for combining amplitudes: if a process can occur a number of ways, the amplitudes for each of these ways add. A particle propagating from q to q' must be somewhere at an intermediate time  $t_1$ ; labelling that intermediate position  $q_1$ , we compute the amplitude for propagation via the point  $q_1$  and integrate over all possible intermediate positions.

Next split the time interval *T* into a large number *N* of short time intervals of duration  $\epsilon = T/N$ :

$$A = \langle q' | \left( e^{-iH\epsilon} \right)^N | q \rangle = \langle q' | \underbrace{e^{-iH\epsilon} e^{-iH\epsilon} \cdots e^{-iH\epsilon}}_{N \text{ times}} | q \rangle.$$

Insert a complete set of states between each exponential,

$$A = \langle q'|e^{-iH\epsilon} \int dq_{N-1}|q_{N-1}\rangle \langle q_{N-1}|e^{-iH\epsilon} \int dq_{N-2}|q_{N-2}\rangle \langle q_{N-2}|\cdots$$
  
$$\cdots \int dq_2|q_2\rangle \langle q_2|e^{-iH\epsilon} \int dq_1|q_1\rangle \langle q_1|e^{-iH\epsilon}|q\rangle$$
  
$$= \int dq_1 \cdots dq_{N-1}\langle q'|e^{-iH\epsilon}|q_{N-1}\rangle \langle q_{N-1}|e^{-iH\epsilon}|q_{N-2}\rangle \cdots$$
  
$$\cdots \langle q_1|e^{-iH\epsilon}|q\rangle$$
  
$$\equiv \int dq_1 \cdots dq_{N-1}K_{q_N,q_{N-1}}K_{q_{N-1},q_{N-2}} \cdots K_{q_2,q_1}K_{q_1,q_0}, \qquad (2.64)$$

where the initial and final positions  $q_0 = q$ ,  $q_N = q'$  are fixed, and *not* integrated over.



Figure 2.2: Amplitude as a sum over all *N*-segment paths.

This expression says that the amplitude is the sum over the amplitudes of all possible *N*-segment paths, figure 2.2,

$$A = \sum_{\text{paths}} A_{\text{path}}$$
,

where

$$\sum_{\text{paths}} = \int dq_1 \cdots dq_{N-1}, \qquad A_{\text{path}} = K_{q_N, q_{N-1}} K_{q_{N-1}, q_{N-2}} \cdots K_{q_2, q_1} K_{q_1, q_0}.$$

The short-time propagator for one sub-interval is  $K_{q_{j+1},q_j} = \langle q_{j+1} | e^{-iH\epsilon} | q_j \rangle$ . Expand the exponential to the linear order in  $\epsilon$ :

$$K_{q_{j+1},q_j} = \langle q_{j+1} | \left( 1 - iH\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}H^2\epsilon^2 + \cdots \right) | q_j \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle q_{j+1} | q_j \rangle - i\epsilon \langle q_{j+1} | H | q_j \rangle + o(\epsilon^2).$  (2.65)

The first term is a Dirac delta function

$$\langle q_{j+1}|q_j \rangle = \delta(q_{j+1} - q_j) = \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} e^{ip_j(q_{j+1} - q_j)}.$$
 (2.66)

In the second term of (2.65) insert a factor 1 in the form of an integral over momentum eigenstates between *H* and  $|q_j\rangle$ :

$$-i\epsilon \langle q_{j+1} | \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{q}) \right) \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} |p_j\rangle \langle p_j | q_j \rangle$$
  
$$= -i\epsilon \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \left( \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + V(q_{j+1}) \right) \langle q_{j+1} | p_j \rangle \langle p_j | q_j \rangle$$
  
$$= -i\epsilon \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \left( \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + V(q_{j+1}) \right) e^{ip_j(q_{j+1} - q_j)}, \qquad (2.67)$$

using  $\langle q|p\rangle = \exp(ipq)$ .

In the first line the operator  $\hat{p}$  acts to the right, while  $V(\hat{q})$  acts the left. The expression (2.67) is asymmetric between  $q_j$  and  $q_{j+1}$ ; the convention is to chose instead the average  $V(\bar{q}_j)$ ,  $\bar{q}_j = \frac{1}{2}(q_j + q_{j+1})$ . Combining (2.66) and (2.67), the sub-interval propagator is

$$K_{q_{j+1},q_j} = \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} e^{ip_j(q_{j+1}-q_j)} \left( 1 - i\epsilon \left( \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + V(\bar{q}_j) \right) + o(\epsilon^2) \right) \\ = \int \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} e^{ip_j(q_{j+1}-q_j)} e^{-i\epsilon H(p_j,\bar{q}_j)} (1 + o(\epsilon^2)) .$$
(2.68)

soluQmech - 11sep2018

There are *N* such factors in the amplitude. Combining them, and writing  $\dot{q}_j = (q_{j+1}-q_j)/\epsilon$ , we get to the order of  $o(\epsilon^2)$ 

$$A_{\text{path}} = \int \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (p_j \dot{q}_j - H(p_j, \bar{q}_j)), \qquad (2.69)$$

and the propagator

$$K = \int dq_1 \cdots dq_{N-1} A_{\text{path}}$$
  
=  $\int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \int \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (p_j \dot{q}_j - H(p_j, \bar{q}_j)),$  (2.70)

with one momentum integral for each segment (N total), and one position integral for each intermediate position (N - 1 total).

In the  $N \to \infty$  limit, this is a *phase-space path integral* over all functions p(t), q(t):

$$K \equiv \int \mathcal{D}p(t)\mathcal{D}q(t) \exp i \int_0^T dt \left(p\dot{q} - H(p,q)\right)$$
(2.71)

with fixed ends q(0) = q, q(T) = q'.

If the Hamiltonian is separable,  $H = p^2/2m + V(q)$ , one can carry out the momentum integrals in (2.70), rewriting this expression as

$$K = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \exp -i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} V(\bar{q}_j) \int \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi} \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left( p_j \dot{q}_j - p_j^2 / 2m \right).$$

The *p* integrals are all uncoupled Gaussians of form

$$\int \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{i\epsilon(p\dot{q}-p^2/2m)} = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\epsilon}} e^{i\epsilon m\dot{q}^2/2} \,.$$

The propagator becomes

$$K = \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \exp -i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} V(\bar{q}_j) \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\epsilon}} \exp i\epsilon \frac{m\dot{q}_j^2}{2} \right)$$
$$= \left( \frac{m}{2\pi i\epsilon} \right)^{N/2} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} dq_j \exp i\epsilon \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{m\dot{q}_j^2}{2} - V(\bar{q}_j) \right).$$
(2.72)

The argument of the exponential is a discrete approximation of the action of a path passing through the points  $q_0 = q, q_1, \dots, q_{N-1}, q_N = q'$ . Write this in the *configuration space path integral* form

$$K = \int \mathcal{D}q(t)e^{iS[q(t)]}.$$
(2.73)

(Richard MacKenzie) lectures arXiv:quant-ph/0004090

# Chapter 3

# **Generating functionals**

See P. Cvitanović [1] *Field theory*, chapter 2. *Generating functionals*, yours for a click here.

# References

[1] P. Cvitanović, *Field Theory*, Notes prepared by E. Gyldenkerne (Nordita, Copenhagen, 1983).

#### **Exercises**

- 3.1. **2.B.1 Continuous indices (self energy for QCD).** The numbers refer to exercises in P. Cvitanović [1] *Field theory*, chapter 2. *Generating functionals* (click here).
- 3.2. 2.D.1 Combinatoric weights.
- 3.3. 2.E.1 Functional derivatives.
- 3.4. 2.E.2 Feynman rules.
- 3.5. 2.E.3 Zero-dimensional field theory.

# **Chapter 3 solutions: Generating functionals**

**Solution 3.1 - 2.B.1 Continuous indices (self energy for QCD).** Given  $D_{\mu\nu}^{ij}(x, y)$  and  $\gamma_{\mu\nu\sigma}^{ijk}(x, y, z)$ , the one loop self-interaction Green's function contribution is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu'\mu''}^{j'j''}(x',x'') &= \int d^d x_1 \dots d^d x_6 \\ D_{\mu'\mu_1}^{j'j_1}(x',x_1)\gamma_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{j_1j_2j_3}(x_1,x_2,x_3) D_{\mu_2\mu_4}^{j_2j_4}(x_2,x_4) D_{\mu_3\mu_5}^{j_3j_5}(x_3,x_5)\gamma_{\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6}^{j_4j_5j_6}(x_4,x_5,x_6) D_{\mu_6\mu''}^{j_6j''}(x_6,x'') \end{aligned}$$

Some of these indices should really be up or down (covariant, contravariant) but we'll pass that for now. Clearly one picture is better than thousand indices. (Tingnan Zhang)

**Solution 3.1 - 2.B.1 Continuous indices (self energy for QCD).** We can change the collective index *a* by:

$$x_a^{\mu_a}$$
 spacetime coordinates,  
 $\mu_a = 1, 2, ..., d$  Minkowski indices,  
 $j_a = 1, 2, ..., N$  gluon colours.

And change:

$$\sum_{a} \to \sum_{\mu_a=1}^{d} \sum_{j_a=1}^{N} \int d^d x_a \, .$$

Then the expression becomes:

$$\sum_{b,c,d,e,f,g} \Delta_{ab} \gamma_{bcd} \Delta_{cf} \Delta_{de} \gamma_{efg} \Delta_{gh}$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{\mu_{b},\mu_{c},\dots,\mu_{g}=1}^{d} \sum_{j_{b},j_{c},\dots,j_{g}=1}^{N} \int d^{d}x_{b} d^{d}x_{c} \dots d^{d}x_{g} D_{\mu_{a}\mu_{b}}^{j_{a}j_{b}}(x_{a},x_{b}) \gamma_{\mu_{b}\mu_{c}\mu_{d}}^{j_{b}j_{c}j_{d}}(x_{b},x_{c},x_{d})$$

$$D_{\mu_{c}\mu_{f}}^{j_{c}j_{f}}(x_{c},x_{f}) D_{\mu_{d}\mu_{e}}^{j_{d}j_{e}}(x_{d},x_{e}) \gamma_{\mu_{e}\mu_{f}\mu_{g}}^{j_{e}j_{f}j_{g}}(x_{e},x_{f},x_{g}) D_{\mu_{g}\mu_{h}}^{j_{g}j_{h}}(x_{g},x_{h}).$$

(Han Liang)

**Solution 3.2 - 2.D.1 Combinatoric weights.** Substituting sources  $J = (\eta^a, \eta_b, j_\mu)$  into the expression for the two-vertex Green's function and expanding,

$$\frac{1}{2}J_i\Delta_{ij}J_j = \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta^a\Delta^b_a\eta_b + \eta_b\Delta^b_a\eta^a + j_\mu\Delta^{\mu\nu}j_\nu\right) = \eta^a\Delta^b_a\eta_b + \frac{1}{2}j_\mu\Delta^{\mu\nu}j_\nu.$$

Similarly, substituting fields  $\varphi = (\psi_a, \psi^b, A^\mu)$  into the 3-vertex:

$$\frac{1}{3!}\gamma_{ijk}\varphi_i\varphi_j\varphi_k = \frac{1}{3!}\gamma^b_{a\mu}(\psi_b A^\mu\psi^a + A^\mu\psi_b\psi^a + A^\mu\psi^a\psi_b + \psi^a A^\mu\psi_b + \psi^a\psi_b A^\mu + \psi_b\psi^a A^\mu)$$
$$= \gamma^b_{a\mu}\psi^a A^\mu\psi_b.$$
(3.1)

(Chris Marcotte, Han Liang)

**Solution 3.2 - 2.D.1 Combinatoric weights.** The Feynman diagram should only keep those terms that conserve charges, so:

$$\frac{1}{2}J_i\Delta_{ij}J_j = \frac{1}{2}\eta^a\Delta_b^a\eta_b + \frac{1}{2}\eta_b\Delta_a^b\eta^a + \frac{1}{2}J_\mu\Delta_{\mu\mu}J_\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}* * * + \frac{1}{2}* * + \frac{1}{2}* * *$$
$$= * * + \frac{1}{2}* * *$$

When changing the index, we are effectively switching the "legs" of the vertices,

$$\frac{1}{3!}\gamma_{ijk}\phi_{i}\phi_{j}\phi_{k} = \frac{1}{6} + \frac$$

(Tingnan Zhang)

**Solution 3.3 - 2.E.1 Functional derivatives.** Let  $F[J(\mathbf{y})]$  be a functional representation of  $J(\mathbf{x})$ :

$$F[J(\mathbf{y})] = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} J(\mathbf{y}) \delta^d(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

Taking the functional derivative of F with respect to it's argument,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J(\mathbf{x})}{\mathrm{d}J(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{\mathrm{d}F[J(\mathbf{y})]}{\mathrm{d}J(\mathbf{y})} = \partial_{J(\mathbf{y})} \left( J(\mathbf{y})\delta^d(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \right) = \delta^d(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \,.$$

Then it is clear that  $G_{ij\ldots k} = \frac{d^k}{dJ_i dJ_j \ldots dJ_k} \mathcal{Z}[J]$ 

(Chris Marcotte)

**Solution 3.4 - 2.E.2 Feynman rules.** Given the Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}$ , and action functional  $\mathcal{S}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \partial^{\mu} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^2(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\mu}{3!} \varphi^3(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathcal{S}[\varphi] = \int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{L}(\varphi) d\mathbf{$$

The bare vertices result by repeated functional derivatives of the action,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}S}{\mathrm{d}\varphi_i} &= \frac{\partial S[\varphi]}{\partial \varphi_i(\mathbf{x})} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial S[\varphi]}{\partial (\nabla \varphi_i)} \\ &= \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \varphi_i - m^2 \varphi_i - \frac{\mu}{2} \varphi_i^2 \\ \Delta_{ij} &= \left( \partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m^2 \right) \delta_{ij} \\ \gamma_{ijk} &= -\mu \delta_{ijk} \,. \end{split}$$

### (Chris Marcotte)

**Solution 3.5 - 2.E.3 Zero-dimensional field theory.** For the 0-dimensional free field theory  $G_0^{2k+1} = 0$ , as any odd-number of sources must have at least one vertex, or a free source (which is the degenerate 2k-case). For the free field theory the restriction on the diagram is then that from *m* sources, we must select one additional vertex to connect. When we pull one leg out of the  $G_0^{(m)}$ , there are m - 1 different ways in which a free particle can propagate. The remainder is  $G_0^{(m-2)}$ ,

$$G_0^{(m)} = (m-1) G_0^{(m-2)}$$
.

Repeat the process on two fewer nodes, until none remain unconnected. Then  $G_0^{(6)} = (6-1) \cdot (4-1) \cdot (2-1) = 15$ , and  $G_0^{(8)} = (8-1) \cdot (6-1) \cdot (4-1) \cdot (2-1) = 105$ . Generally,

$$G_0^{(m)} = (m-1) \cdot (m-3) \cdot (m-5) \dots (m-m+1) = \prod_{i=1}^{(m+1)/2} (m-2i) = (m-1)!!$$

when *m* is even, zero when odd. There are considerations to be made for m < 0 using this formulation. (Chris Marcotte)

# **Chapter 4**

# **Path integrals**

4.1	Bell polynomials	•	57
4.2	Legendre transforms	•	57
4.3	Complex actions	•	59
Refe	erences	•	59
4.4	Exercises		59

See P. Cvitanović [2] *Field theory*, chapter 3. *Path integrals*, yours for a click here. The rest of this chapter are notes on some related literature, safely ignored.

# 4.1 Bell polynomials

[2018-12-22 Predrag] Fiol, Martínez-Montoya and Fukelman [3], Wilson loops in terms of color invariants (2018), note in passing that the power series for the logarithm of the full  $\langle W \rangle$  (the connected ln  $\langle W \rangle$  partition function) can be in terms of partial Bell polynomials  $B_{n,k}$  (I do not remember ever seeing this formula). Defining  $f_k = d_R^{a_1a_1...a_ka_k}/N_R$ 

$$\ln \langle W \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g^k}{k!} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j-1} (j-1)! B_{k,j}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k-j+1})$$
(4.1)

This expression for  $\ln \langle W \rangle$  is, however, extremely inefficient, and obscures the fact that the perturbative expansion of  $\ln \langle W \rangle$  is simpler than that of  $\langle W \rangle$ .

More useful, perhaps, is the 1927 Ursell function (see wiki). For other applications of cumulants, see ChaosBook remark A20.1.

# 4.2 Legendre transforms

In Cvitanović [2] *Field theory*, chapter 2. Generating functionals, the Legendre transform eq. (2.28) comes out for free, just by looking at the 1PI subset of the connected graphs (that's why  $\Gamma[\phi]$  comes out with the same sign as  $\Gamma[\phi]$ , unlike the Hamiltonian / Lagrangian relation in classical mechanics).

Here is some, mostly undigested reading on the *meaning* of Legendre transforms.

Probably the most pedagogical exposition is Zia, Redish and McKay [4] *Making sense of the Legendre transform*. I have also found enjoyable several posts by Baez, starting with Classical Mechanics versus Thermodynamics: "It seems this whole subject is a monument of austere beauty... covered with minus signs, like bird droppings." He writes:

If we fix the temperature T and volume V, the system will choose a state that minimizes the Helmholtz free energy A(T,V).

If we fix the temperature T and pressure P, the system will choose a state that minimizes the Gibbs free energy G(T,P).

Consider the cotangent bundle  $T^*Q$ , which has local coordinates  $q^i$  (coming from the coordinates on Q) and  $p_i$  (the corresponding coordinates on each cotangent space). We then call  $p_i$  the conjugate variable of the coordinate  $q^i$ .

Note that this is a unified picture, it avoids the most common approaches to classical mechanics, which start with either a 'Hamiltonian'

 $H: T^*Q \to \mathbb{R}$ 

or a 'Lagrangian'

 $L:TQ \to \mathbb{R}$ 

Instead, we started with Hamilton's principal function

$$S: Q \to \mathbb{R}$$

where Q is not the usual configuration space describing possible positions for a particle, but the 'extended' configuration space, which also includes time. Only this way do Hamilton's equations, like the Maxwell relations, become a trivial consequence of the fact that partial derivatives commute."

Back to my Field Theory book: I present Legendre and Fourier transforms as totally distinct functional transformations; Fourier as a multiplication by a matrix, and Legendre as a subset and (recursive) additions to it. Still, I explain that path integrals / generating functionals are "Fourier" or "Laplace" transforms of each other, and in the process of understanding that, one gets that the Legendre transform of W[J], so they are the same transformation in some sense. That is discussed by Markus Deserno in his Legendre Transforms lecture notes. For my taste he is a bit too taken by "How much information is contained in a function?" but the sect. B. *Relation to Laplace transforms and partition functions* is of interest to us; Legendre transform emerges from a Laplace saddle point calculation. In stackexchange Qmechanic says the same thing: "the Legendre transformation can be e.g. seen as the leading classical tree-level formula of a formal semiclassical Fourier transformation." Read also Dan Piponi.

In the same stackexchange Domino Valdano puts it this way: "The mathematical relationship between Fourier and Legendre conjugates is somewhat analogous to the relationship between Lie groups and Lie algebras."

### 4.3 Complex actions

**[2022-11-01 Predrag]** Alexanian, MacKenzie, Paranjape and Ruel [1] *Problems with complex actions* (2007), consider Euclidean functional integrals involving complex actions. In this case there do not exist critical points of the action on the space of real fields. The proper definition of the function integral then requires the analytic continuation of the functional integration into the space of complex fields so as to pass through the complex critical points according to the method of steepest descent.

### References

- [1] G. Alexanian, R. MacKenzie, M. B. Paranjape, and J. Ruel, "Problems with complex actions", Can. J. Phys. **85**, 699–705 (2007).
- [2] P. Cvitanović, *Field Theory*, Notes prepared by E. Gyldenkerne (Nordita, Copenhagen, 1983).
- [3] B. Fiol, J. Martínez-Montoya, and A. Rios Fukelman, "Wilson loops in terms of color invariants", J. High Energy Phys. **2019**, 202 (2019).
- [4] R. K. P. Zia, E. F. Redish, and S. R. McKay, "Making sense of the Legendre transform", Am. J. Phys 77, 614–622 (2009).

# 4.4 Exercises

- 4.1. 3.B.1 Gaussian integrals for complex field. The numbers refer to exercises in P. Cvitanović [2] Field theory, chapter 3. Path integrals (click here).
- 4.2. 3.C.1 Wick expansion.
- 4.3. 3.C.2 Counting QED diagrams.
- 4.4. 3.F.3 Counting QED diagrams.

# **Chapter 4 solutions: Path integrals**

Solution 4.1 - 3.B.1 Gaussian integrals for complex field. Since the propagator is a hermitian matrix, it can be diagonalized by an unitary matrix T:

$$\mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{T}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Delta_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where the diagonal elements are real numbers. Let:

$$\psi' = \mathbf{T}\psi, \, \eta' = \mathbf{T}\eta \, .$$

Then we also have:

$$\bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}, \, \bar{\eta}' = \bar{\eta} \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}.$$

 $\psi'^k$  and  $\eta'^k$  can be written as:

$$\psi'^{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \phi'_{2k-1} - i \phi'_{2k} \right), \ \eta'^{k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \theta'_{2k-1} - i \theta'_{2k} \right),$$

where  $\phi$ 's and  $\theta$ 's are real numbers. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\psi}\Delta^{-1}\psi + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta &= -\bar{\psi}'\mathbf{T}\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\psi' + \bar{\eta}'\psi' + \bar{\psi}'\eta' \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}^{-1}(\phi_{2k-1}'^{2} + \phi_{2k}'^{2}) + \phi_{2k-1}'\theta_{2k-1}' + \phi_{2k}'\theta_{2k}' \\ &= \sum_{k} -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}^{-1}(\phi_{2k-1}' - \Delta_{k}\theta_{2k-1}')^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}^{-1}(\phi_{2k}' - \Delta_{k}\theta_{2k}')^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}\phi_{2k-1}'^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}\phi_{2k}'^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute into the free field generating functional:

$$Z[\eta, \bar{\eta}] = \int [d\phi'] e^{\sum_{k} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}^{-1}(\phi'_{2k-1} - \Delta_{k}\phi'_{2k-1})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}^{-1}(\phi'_{2k} - \Delta_{k}\phi'_{2k})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}\phi'_{2k}^{2}}$$
  
$$= \sqrt{\det \Delta} \sqrt{\det \Delta} e^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}\phi'_{2k-1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{k}\phi'_{2k}^{2}}$$
  
$$= \det \Delta e^{\bar{\eta}\Delta\eta}.$$

(Han Liang)

### Solution 4.2 - 3.C.1 Wick expansion.

$$G_{k}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \frac{d^{m}}{dJ^{m}} Z[J] \Big|_{J=0,g=0},$$

where:

$$Z[J] = e^{S_I[\frac{d}{dJ}]} Z_0[J]$$
  
=  $e^{\frac{1}{3!}g\frac{d^3}{dJ^3}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2}.$ 

So we have:

$$\begin{split} G_k^{(m)} &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dg^k} \frac{d^m}{dJ^m} e^{\frac{1}{3!}g \frac{d^3}{dJ^3}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0,g=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(3!)^k} \Big( \frac{d^3}{dJ^3} \Big)^k \frac{d^m}{dJ^m} e^{\frac{1}{3!}g \frac{d^3}{dJ^3}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0,g=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(3!)^k} \Big( \frac{d^3}{dJ^3} \Big)^k \frac{d^m}{dJ^m} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(3!)^k} \frac{d^{(m+3k)}}{dJ^{(m+3k)}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(3!)^k} (m+3k-1)!! & \text{if } m+3k & \text{is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} . \end{split}$$

(Han Liang)

**Solution 4.3 - 3.C.2 Counting QED diagrams.** The free field generating functional is:

$$Z_0[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} dA \exp(-\bar{\psi}\psi + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}A^2 + JA)$$
$$= e^{\bar{\eta}\eta} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2}.$$

soluQFTpathInt - 11sep2018

The generating functional is:

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = e^{g\frac{d}{dJ}\frac{d}{d\eta}\frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}}}Z_0[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}].$$

The generating functional can also be written as:

$$Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] = \sum_{k,p,e} G_k^{(e,p)} g^k \frac{J^p(\bar{\eta}\eta)^e}{p!(e!)^2} \,.$$

So the Green's function is:

$$\begin{aligned} G_k^{(e,p)} &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dg^k} \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \Big)^p \Big( \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big)^e Z[J,\eta,\bar{\eta}] \Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0,g=0} \\ G_k^{(e,p)} &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dg^k} \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \Big)^p \Big( \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big)^e e^{g \frac{d}{dJ} \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}}} e^{\bar{\eta}\eta} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0,g=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \frac{d}{d\eta} \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \Big)^k \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \Big)^p \Big( \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big)^e e^{g \frac{d}{dJ} \frac{d}{d\eta}} e^{\bar{\eta}\eta} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0,g=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \Big)^{p+k} \Big( \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big)^{e+k} e^{\bar{\eta}\eta} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} (k+e)! \Big( \frac{d}{dJ} \Big)^{p+k} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{(k+e)!(p+k-1)!!}{k!} , \quad \text{if } k+p \text{ is even }. \end{aligned}$$

(Han Liang)

Solution 4.4 - 3.F.3 Counting QED diagrams. The generating functional is:

$$Z[J,\bar{\eta},\eta] = \int d\psi d\bar{\psi} dA \exp(-\bar{\psi}\psi + g\frac{d}{dJ}\psi\bar{\psi} + \bar{\eta}\psi + \bar{\psi}\eta)\exp(-\frac{1}{2}A^2 + JA)$$
  
=  $\det\left(\frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\right)\exp\left(\bar{\eta}\frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\eta\right)\exp(\frac{1}{2}J^2)$   
=  $\frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\exp\left(\bar{\eta}\frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\eta\right)\exp(\frac{1}{2}J^2)$   
=  $\exp\left[-\ln(1-g\frac{d}{dJ}) + \bar{\eta}\frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\eta\right]\exp(\frac{1}{2}J^2).$ 

The trace part  $-\ln(1 - g\frac{d}{dJ})$  accounts for electron loops, while the source term  $\bar{\eta}[1/(1 - g\frac{d}{dJ})]\eta$  accounts for the electron propagator diagrams without electron loops.

$$D_{k} = G_{k}^{(e,p)}\Big|_{e=1,p=0, \text{ not including the trace part}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta}\right) \exp\left[\bar{\eta} \frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}}\eta\right] \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^{2}\right)\Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0,g=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^{2}\right)\Big|_{J=0,g=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \sum_{n=0} \left(g\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^{n} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^{2}\right)\Big|_{J=0,g=0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^{k} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^{2}\right)\Big|_{J=0}$$

$$= (k-1)!!, \text{ when } k \text{ is even.}$$

### Exercises

Change  $\ln(1 - gA) \rightarrow 1/2 \ln(1 - gA) + 1/2 \ln(1 + gA)$ :

$$\begin{split} D_k &= G_k^{(e,p)} \Big|_{e=1,p=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dg^k} \Big( \frac{d}{d\bar{\eta}} \frac{d}{d\eta} \Big) \exp\left[ -\ln(1-g\frac{d}{dJ}) + \bar{\eta} \frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}} \eta \right] \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^2\right) \Big|_{J=0,\bar{\eta}=0,\eta=0,g=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{dg^k} \frac{1}{1-g\frac{d}{dJ}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-g^2\frac{d^2}{dJ^2}}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}J^2\right) \Big|_{J=0,g=0} . \end{split}$$

The square root term can be expanded as:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - g^2 \frac{d^2}{dJ^2}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \left(g\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^n.$$

Then:

$$D_{k} = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \frac{1}{1 - g\frac{d}{dJ}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - g^{2}\frac{d^{2}}{dJ^{2}}}} \exp(\frac{1}{2}J^{2})\Big|_{J=0,g=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^{k}}{dg^{k}} \sum_{m=0} \left(g\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^{m} \sum_{n=0} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \left(g\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^{n} \exp(\frac{1}{2}J^{2})\Big|_{J=0,g=0}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{k/2} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} \left(\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^{k} \exp(\frac{1}{2}J^{2})\Big|_{J=0}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{k/2} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} (k-1)!!$$

(Han Liang)

# **Chapter 5**

# **Field theory path integrals**

5.1	Field theory - setting up the notation	65
5.2	Free propagation	67
5.3	Free field theory	67
5.4	Feynman diagrams	68
	5.4.1 Hungry pac-men munching on fattened <i>J</i> 's	68
5.5	Saddle-point expansions	69
5.6	Saddle-point expansions are asymptotic	72
References		74
5.7	*	75

The path integral (2.35) is an ordinary multi-dimensional integral. In the classical  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , the action is large (high price of straying from the beaten path) almost everywhere, except for some localized regions of the *q*-space. Highly idealized, the action looks something like the sketch in figure 5.1 (in order to be able to draw this on a piece of paper, we have suppressed a large number of  $q_{\ell}$  coordinates).

Such integral is dominated by the minima of the action. The minimum value S[q] states  $q^c$  are determined by the zero-slope, saddle-point condition

$$\frac{d}{d\phi} \mathop{}_{\ell} S[q^c] + J_{\ell} = 0.$$
(5.1)

The term "saddle" refers to the general technique of evaluating such integrals for complex q; in the statistical mechanics applications  $q^c$  are locations of the minima of S[q], not the saddles. If there is a number of minima, only the one (or the  $n_c$  minima related by a discrete symmetry) with the lowest value of  $-S[q^c] - q^c \cdot J$  dominates the path integral in the low temperature limit. The zeroth order, classical approximation to the partition sum (2.35) is given by the extremal state alone

$$Z[J] = e^{W[J]} \to \sum_{c} e^{W_{c}[J]} = e^{W_{c}[J] + \ln n_{c}}$$
$$W_{c}[J] = S[q^{c}] + q^{c} \cdot J .$$
(5.2)



**Figure 5.1:** In the classical  $\hbar \to 0$  limit (or the low temperature  $T = 1/\beta$  limit) the path integral (5.8) is dominated by the minima of the integrand's exponent. The location  $\phi^c$  of a minimum is determined by the extremum condition  $\partial_\ell S[\phi^c] + J_\ell = 0$ 

In the *saddlepoint approximation* the corrections due to the fluctuations in the  $q^c$  neighborhood are obtained by shifting the origin of integration to

$$q_\ell \to q^c_\ell + q_\ell$$

the position of the *c*-th minimum of  $S[q] - q \cdot J$ , and expanding S[q] in a Taylor series around  $q^c$ .

For our purposes it will be convenient to separate out the quadratic part  $S_0[q]$ , and collect all terms higher than bilinear in q into an "interaction" term  $S_I[q]$ 

$$S_{0}[q] = -\sum_{\ell} q_{\ell} (M^{-1})_{\ell \ell'} q_{\ell},$$
  

$$S_{I}[q] = -(\cdots)_{\ell \ell' \ell''} q_{\ell} q_{\ell'} q_{\ell''} + \cdots.$$
(5.3)

Rewrite the partition sum (2.35) as

$$e^{W[J]} = e^{W_c[J]} \int [dq] e^{-\frac{1}{2}q^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot q + S_I[q]}$$

As the expectation value of any analytic function

$$f(q) = \sum f_{n_1 n_2 \dots} q_1^{n_1} q_2^{n_2} \cdots /n_1! n_2! \cdots$$

can be recast in terms of derivatives with respect to J

$$\int [dq] f[q] e^{-\frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot q} = f\left[\frac{d}{dJ}\right] \int [dq] e^{-\frac{1}{2}q^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot q + q \cdot J} \bigg|_{J=0}$$

we can move  $S_I[q]$  outside of the integration, and evaluate the Gaussian integral in the usual way <sup>1</sup>

exercise A1.2

$$e^{W[J]} = e^{W_{c}[J]} e^{S_{I}[\frac{d}{dJ}]} \int [dq] e^{-\frac{1}{2}q^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot q + q \cdot J} \Big|_{J=0}$$
  
=  $|\det M|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{W_{c}[J]} e^{S_{I}[\frac{d}{dJ}]} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{\top} \cdot M \cdot J} \Big|_{J=0}.$  (5.4)

*M* is invertible only if the minima in figure 5.1 are isolated, and  $M^{-1}$  has no zero eigenvalues. The marginal case would require going beyond the Gaussian saddlepoints studied here, typically to the Airy-function type stationary points [1]. In the classical statistical mechanics S[q] is a real-valued function, the extremum of S[q] at the saddlepoint  $q^c$  is the minimum, all eigenvalues of *M* are strictly positive, and we can drop the absolute value brackets  $|\cdots|$  in (5.4).

exercise A1.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Predrag: text repeated in lattSaddle.tex!

<sup>2</sup> Expanding the exponentials and evaluating the  $\frac{d}{dJ}$  derivatives in (5.4) yields the fluctuation corrections as a power series in  $1/\beta = T$ .<sup>3</sup>

The first correction due to the fluctuations in the  $q^c$  neighborhood is obtained by approximating the bottom of the potential in figure 5.1 by a parabola, i.e., keeping only the quadratic terms in the Taylor expansion (5.3).

### 5.1 Field theory - setting up the notation

The partition sum for a lattice field theory defined by a Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}[\phi]$  is <sup>4</sup>

$$Z[J] = \int [d\phi] e^{-\beta(\mathcal{H}[\phi] - \phi \cdot J)}$$
$$[d\phi] = \frac{d\phi_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{d\phi_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdots,$$

where  $\beta = 1/T$  is the inverse temperature, and  $J_{\ell}$  is an external probe that we can twiddle at will site-by-site. For a theory of the Landau type the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{L}[\phi] = \frac{r}{2}\phi_{\ell}\phi_{\ell} + \frac{c}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi_{\ell}\partial_{\mu}\phi_{\ell} + u\sum_{\ell=1}^{N^{d}}\phi_{\ell}^{4}$$
(5.5)

is translationally invariant. Unless stated otherwise, we shall assume the repeated index summation convention throughout. We find it convenient to bury now some factors of  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  into the definition of Z[J] so they do not plague us later on when we start evaluating Gaussian integrals. Rescaling  $\phi \rightarrow (\text{const})\phi$  changes  $[d\phi] \rightarrow (\text{const})^N[d\phi]$ , a constant prefactor in Z[J] which has no effect on averages. Hence we can get rid of one of the Landau parameters r, u, and c by rescaling. The accepted *normalization convention* is to set the gradient term to  $\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2$  by  $J \rightarrow c^{1/2}J$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow c^{-1/2}\phi$ , and the  $\mathcal{H}_L$  in (5.5) is replaced by

$$\mathcal{H}[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\ell} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{\ell} + \frac{m_0^2}{2} \phi_{\ell} \phi_{\ell} + \frac{g_0}{4!} \sum_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}^4$$

$$m_0^2 = \frac{r}{c}, \qquad g_0 = 4! \frac{u}{c^2}.$$
(5.6)

Dragging factors of  $\beta$  around is also a nuisance, so we absorb them by defining the *action* and the *sources* as

$$S[\phi] = -\beta \mathcal{H}[\phi], \qquad J_{\ell} = \beta J_{\ell}.$$

The actions we learn to handle here are of form

$$S[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2} (M^{-1})_{\ell \ell'} \phi_{\ell} \phi_{\ell'} + S_I[\phi],$$
  

$$S_I[\phi] = \frac{1}{3!} \gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3} \phi_{\ell_1} \phi_{\ell_2} \phi_{\ell_3} + \frac{1}{4!} \gamma_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \ell_3 \ell_4} \phi_{\ell_1} \phi_{\ell_2} \phi_{\ell_3} \phi_{\ell_4} + \cdots.$$
(5.7)

Why we chose such awkward notation  $M^{-1}$  for the matrix of coefficients of the  $\phi_{\ell}\phi_{\ell'}$  term will become clear in due course (or you can take a peak at (5.12) now).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Predrag: fix section ref

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Predrag:  $\beta$  undefined

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Predrag: draw a cubic lattice?

Our task is to compute the partition function Z[J], the "free energy" W[J], and the full *n*-point correlation functions

$$Z[J] = e^{W[J]} = \int [d\phi] e^{S[\phi] + \phi \cdot J}$$

$$= Z[0] \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n} G_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n} \frac{J_{\ell_1} J_{\ell_2} \dots J_{\ell_n}}{n!} \right),$$

$$G_{\ell_1 \ell_2 \cdots \ell_n} = \langle \phi_{\ell_1} \phi_{\ell_2} \dots \phi_{\ell_n} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z[0]} \frac{d}{dJ_{\ell_1}} \dots \frac{d}{dJ_{\ell_n}} Z[J] \Big|_{J=0}.$$
(5.9)

The "bare mass"  $m_0$  and the "bare coupling"  $g_0$  in (5.6) parameterize the relative strengths of quadratic, quartic fields at a lattice point vs. contribution from spatial variation among neighboring sites. They are called "bare" as the 2- and 4-point couplings measured in experiments are "dressed" by fluctuation contributions.

The action of discretized  $\phi^4$ -theory can be written as

$$S[\phi] = \sum_{x} a^{d} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} (\partial_{\mu} \phi(x))^{2} + \frac{m_{0}^{2}}{2} \phi(x)^{2} + \frac{g_{0}}{4!} \phi(x)^{4} \right\}.$$

One usually starts with a finite hypercubic lattice with length  $L_1 = L_2 = L_3 = L$ in every spatial direction and length  $L_4 = T$  in Euclidean time,

 $x_{\mu} = an_{\mu}, \qquad n_{\mu} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L_{\mu} - 1,$ 

with finite volume  $V = L^3T$ . A popular finite volume boundary conditions are periodic boundary conditions

$$\phi(x) = \phi(x + aL_{\mu}\,\hat{n}_{\mu}),$$

where  $\hat{n}_{\mu}$  is the unit vector in the  $\mu$ -direction.

In order to get rid of some of the lattice indices it is convenient to employ vector notation for the terms bilinear in  $\phi$ , and keep the rest lumped into "interaction,"

$$S[\phi] = -\frac{m^2}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot \phi - \frac{C}{2} \left[ \left( d_{\mu} - \mathbf{1} \right) \phi \right]^{\top} \cdot \left( d_{\mu} - \mathbf{1} \right) \phi + S_I[\phi] \,.$$
(5.10)

For example, for the discretized Landau Hamiltonian  $m^2/2 = \beta m_0^2/2$ ,  $C = \beta/a^2$ , and the quartic term  $S_I[\phi]$  is local site-by-site,

$$\gamma_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3\ell_4} = -4! \beta u \,\delta_{\ell_1\ell_2}\delta_{\ell_2\ell_3}\delta_{\ell_3\ell_4}\,,$$

so this general quartic coupling is a little bit of an overkill, but by the time we get to the Fourier-transformed theory, it will make sense as a momentum conserving vertex (1.80).

Consider the action (5.26)

$$S[d\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot d^{\top}M^{-1}d \cdot \phi - \frac{\beta g_0}{4!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N^d} (d\phi)_{\ell}^4.$$

As  $M^{-1}$  is constructed from *d* and its inverse,  $M^{-1}$  and *d* commute, and the bilinear term is *d* invariant. In the quartic term *d* permutes cyclically the terms in the sum. The total action is translationally invariant

$$S[d\phi] = S[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \phi - \frac{\beta g_0}{4!} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N^d} \phi_{\ell}^4.$$
(5.11)

### 5.2 Free propagation

In many field theory textbooks much time is spent on 'non-interacting fields', 'free propagation', etc... Attempts to 'derive' quantum mechanics from deeper principles often do not ever get to 'interacting fields'. Why is that?

Mathematical physics equals three tricks: 1) Gaussian integral, 2) integration by parts, and 3) (your own more sophisticated trick). As we shall now see, 1) suffices to solve free field theories.

### **5.3 Free field theory**

There are field theory courses in which months pass while free non-interacting fields are beaten to pulp. This text is an exception, but even so we get our first glimpse of the theory by starting with no interactions,  $S_I[\phi] = 0$ . The free-field partition function (which sometimes ekes living under the name "Gaussian model") is

$$Z_{0}[J] = e^{W_{0}[J]} = \int [d\phi] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \phi + \phi \cdot J} = |\det M|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{\top} \cdot M \cdot J}$$
$$W_{0}[J] = \frac{1}{2}J^{\top} \cdot M \cdot J + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln M.$$
(5.12)

The full *n*-point correlation functions (5.9) vanish for *n* odd, and for *n* even they are given by products of distinct combinations of 2-point correlations

$$G_{\ell\ell'} = (M)_{\ell\ell'}$$

$$G_{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3\ell_4} = (M)_{\ell_1\ell_2}(M)_{\ell_3\ell_4} + (M)_{\ell_1\ell_3}(M)_{\ell_2\ell_4} + (M)_{\ell_1\ell_4}(M)_{\ell_2\ell_3}$$

$$G_{\ell_1\ell_2\cdots\ell_n} = (M)_{\ell_1\ell_2}\cdots(M)_{\ell_{n-1}\ell_n} + (M)_{\ell_1\ell_3}\cdots(M)_{\ell_{n-1}\ell_n} + \cdots$$
(5.13)

Keeping track of all these dummy indices (and especially when they turn into a zoo of of continuous coordinates and discrete indices) is a pain, and it is much easier to visualize this diagrammatically. Defining the propagator as a line connecting 2 lattice sites, and the probe  $J_{\ell}$  as a source/sink from which a single line can originate

$$(M)_{\ell_1\ell_2} = \ell_1 \bigoplus_{\ell_2}, \qquad J_\ell = \bigoplus_\ell, \qquad (5.14)$$

we expand the free-field theory partition function (5.12) as a Taylor series in  $J^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot J$ 

$$\frac{Z_0[J]}{Z_0[0]} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{o}_{--} \mathbf{o}_{-} + \frac{1}{2^3} \mathbf{i}_{-} \mathbf{j}_{-} \mathbf{i}_{-} \mathbf{j}_{-} \mathbf$$

In the diagrammatic notation the non-vanishing *n*-point correlations (5.13) are drawn as

$$G_{\varrho \varrho'} = {}_{\varrho} \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho}}_{\varrho \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota}} = {}_{\varrho} \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho}}_{\varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota}} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho}}_{\varphi \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota} \varrho_{\iota}} = \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} {}_{\varrho} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longleftarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longleftarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longleftarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longrightarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longleftarrow} \times + \underbrace{ \overset{e_{\iota}}{\longleftrightarrow} \times$$

lattPathInt - 9dec2012

The total number of distinct terms contributing to the noninteracting full *n*-point correlation is  $1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (n-1) = (n-1)!!$ , the number of ways that *n* source terms *J* can be paired into n/2 pairs *M*.

## 5.4 Feynman diagrams

For field theories defined at more than a single point the perturbative corrections can be visualized by means of Feynman diagrams. It is not clear that this is the intelligent way to proceed [4], as both the number of Feynman diagrams and the difficulty of their evaluation explodes combinatorially, but as most physicist stop at a 1-loop correction, for the purpose at hand this is a perfectly sensible way to proceed.

### 5.4.1 Hungry pac-men munching on fattened *J*'s

The saddle-point expansion is most conveniently evaluated in terms of Feynman diagrams, which we now introduce. Expand both exponentials in (5.29)

$$e^{S_{I}\left[\frac{d}{dJ}\right]}e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{T}\cdot M\cdot J} = \left\{1 + \frac{1}{4!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac$$

Here we have indicated  $\frac{d}{dJ}$  as a pac-man that eats *J*, leaving a delta function in its wake <sup>5</sup>

$$\frac{d}{dJ_j} J_\ell = \delta_{j\ell}$$

$$\frac{d}{dJ_j} \mathcal{O}_{\ell} = \frac{1}{j - \ell}.$$
(5.18)

For example, the rightmost pac-man in the  $\sum_{\ell} (\frac{d}{dJ_{\ell}})^4$  interaction term quartic in derivative has four ways of munching a *J* from the free-field theory  $\frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2}J^{\top} \cdot M \cdot J)^2$  term, the next pac-man has three *J*'s to bite into in two distinct ways, and so forth:

$$\frac{1}{4!} \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2^3} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + 2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

In field theory textbooks this process of tying together vertices by propagators is called the *Wick expansion*. Professionals have smarter ways of generating Feynman diagrams [3], but this will do for the problem at hand.

It is easy enough to prove this to all orders, but to this order you can simply check by expanding the exponential (5.8) that the free energy W[J] perturbative corrections are the connected, diagrams with J = 0

exercise 5.7

exercise 5.6

exercise 5.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Predrag: define vertices

ries a factor of 
$$T = 1/\beta$$
, and every vertex a factor of  $1/T$ . In the  $\phi^4$  theory the diagram with *n* vertices contributes to the order  $T^n$  of the perturbation theory. In quantum theory, the corresponding expansion parameter is  $\hbar$ .

 $W[0] = S[\phi^c] + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln M + \frac{1}{8} \bigcirc + \frac{1}{16} \odot + \frac{1}{16} \bigcirc + \frac{1}{16} \odot + \frac{1}{16$ 

To proceed, we have to make sense of the propagator M of sect. 5.1, and learn how to evaluate diagrammatic perturbative corrections.

According to its definition, every propagator line M connecting two vertices car-

# 5.5 Saddle-point expansions

Good. You know how to evaluate a Gaussian integral, and now you would like to master path integrals. What to do? Simple - turn path integrals into Gaussian integrals, as follows:

Laplace method deals with integrals of form

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-t\Phi(x)} \tag{5.21}$$

where *t* and  $\Phi(x)$  are real. If  $\Phi(x)$  is bounded from below and smooth at minimal value  $\Phi(x^*)$ ,  $\Phi'(x^*) = 0$ ,  $\Phi''(x^*) > 0$ , *I* is dominated by the value of the integrand at  $\Phi(x^*)$ . For large values of *t* the Laplace estimate is obtained by expanding  $\Phi(x^* + \delta x)$  to second order in  $\delta x$  and evaluting the resulting Gaussian integral,

$$I \approx \sum_{x^*} \sqrt{2\pi/t \Phi''(x^*)} e^{-t\Phi(x^*)}.$$
 (5.22)

Generalization to multidimensional integrals is straightforward. The Gaussian integral in *d*-dimensions is given by

$$\int [dx] e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot x + x \cdot J} = (\det M)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot M \cdot J}, \qquad (5.23)$$
$$[dx] = \frac{dx_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{dx_2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdots \frac{dx_d}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

where M is a real symmetric positive definite matrix, i.e., matrix with strictly positive eigenvalues.

The stationary phase estimate of (5.21) is

$$I \approx \sum_{x^*} (2\pi/t)^{d/2} \left| \det \mathbf{D}^2 \Phi(x^*) \right|^{-1/2} A(x_n) e^{t \Phi(x^*) - \frac{i\pi}{4}m(x^*)},$$

<sup>6</sup> where  $x^*$  are the stationary phase points

$$\left. \frac{d}{dx_i} \Phi(x) \right|_{x=x^*} = 0 \,,$$

 $\mathbf{D}^2 \Phi(x^*)$  denotes the matrix of second derivatives, and  $m(x^*)$  is the number of its negative eigenvalues (when evaluated at the stationary phase point  $x^*$ ).

71

exercise 6.6

exercise A1.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Predrag: or is it  $\pm \frac{i\pi}{2}m$ ? Replace  $\mathbf{D}^2\Phi(x^*)$ .
These integrals is all that is needed for the semiclassical approximation, with Airy!integral the proviso that  $M^{-1}$  in (5.23) has no zero eigenvalues. If it has, the integral is not damped in direction of the associated eigenvector, and higher orders in Taylor expansion of  $\Phi(x^* + \delta x)$  need to be retained (see (7.4) on Airy integral).

The "path integral" (5.8) is an ordinary multi-dimensional integral. In the  $\beta \to \infty$  limit, or the  $T \to 0$  low temperature limit, the action is large (high price of straying from the beaten path) almost everywhere, except for some localized regions of the  $\phi$ -space. Highly idealized, the action looks something like the sketch in figure 5.1 (in order to be able to draw this on a piece of paper, we have suppressed a large number of  $\phi_{\ell}$  coordinates).

Such integral is dominated by the minima of the action. The minimum value  $S[\phi]$  states  $\phi^c$  are determined by the zero-slope, saddle-point condition

$$\frac{d}{d\phi_{\ell}}S[\phi^c] + J_{\ell} = 0.$$
(5.24)

The term "saddle" refers to the general technique of evaluating such integrals for complex  $\phi$ ; in the statistical mechanics applications  $\phi^c$  are locations of the minima of  $S[\phi]$ , not the saddles. If there is a number of minima, only the one (or the  $n_c$  minima related by a discrete symmetry) with the lowest value of  $-S[\phi^c] - \phi^c \cdot J$  dominates the path integral in the low temperature limit. The zeroth order, mean field approximation to the partition sum (5.8) is given by the extremal state alone

$$Z[J] = e^{W[J]} \to \sum_{c} e^{W_{c}[J]} = e^{W_{c}[J] + \ln n_{c}}$$
$$W_{c}[J] = S[\phi^{c}] + \phi^{c} \cdot J .$$
(5.25)

In the *saddle-point approximation* the corrections due to the fluctuations in the  $\phi^c$  neighborhood are obtained by shifting the origin of integration to <sup>7</sup>

$$\phi_\ell \to \phi^c_{\ell} + \phi_\ell$$

the position of the *c*th minimum of  $S[\phi] - \phi \cdot J$ , and expanding  $S[\phi]$  in a Taylor series around  $\phi^c$ . For our purposes it will be convenient to separate out the quadratic part  $S_0[\phi]$ , and collect all terms higher than bilinear in  $\phi$  into an "interaction" term  $S_I[\phi]$ 

$$S_{0}[\phi] = -\sum_{\ell} \phi_{\ell} \left( \frac{\beta r}{2c} + 12 \frac{\beta u}{c^{2}} (\phi^{c}_{\ell})^{2} \right) \phi_{\ell} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\ell,\ell'} \phi_{\ell} \Delta_{\ell\ell'} \phi_{\ell'} ,$$
  

$$S_{I}[\phi] = -\frac{\beta u}{c^{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N^{d}} \phi_{\ell}^{4} . \qquad (5.26)$$

Spatially nonuniform  $\phi_{\ell}^{c}$  are conceivable. The *mean field theory* assumption is that the translational invariance of the lattice is not broken, and  $\phi_{\ell}^{c}$  is independent of the lattice point,  $\phi_{\ell}^{c} \rightarrow \phi^{c}$ . In the  $\phi^{4}$  theory considered here, it follows from (5.24) that  $\phi^{c} = 0$  for r > 0, and  $\phi^{c} = \pm \sqrt{|r|/4u}$  for r < 0. There are at most  $n_{c} = 2$  distinct  $\phi^{c}$  states with the same  $S[\phi^{c}]$ , and in the thermodynamic limit we

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Predrag: this text appears twice

can neglect the "mean field entropy"  $\ln n_c$  in (5.25) when computing free energy density per site<sup>8</sup>,

$$-\beta f[J] = \lim_{N \to \infty} W[J]/N^d .$$
(5.27)

We collect the matrix of bilinear  $\phi$  coefficients in

$$(M^{-1})_{\ell\ell'} = \beta m_0^{\prime 2} \delta_{\ell\ell'} - \beta c \Delta_{\ell\ell'}, \qquad m_0^{\prime 2} = m_0^2 + 12u(\phi^c)^2$$
(5.28)

in order to be able to rewrite the partition sum (5.8) as

$$e^{W[J]} = e^{W_c[J]} \int [d\phi] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^\top \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \phi + S_I[\phi]}.$$

As the expectation value of any analytic function

$$g(\phi) = \sum g_{n_1 n_2 \dots} \phi_1^{n_1} \phi_2^{n_2} \cdots / n_1! n_2! \cdots$$

can be recast in terms of derivatives with respect to J

$$\int [d\phi]g[\phi]e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top}\cdot M^{-1}\cdot\phi} = g\left[\frac{d}{dJ}\right]\int [d\phi]e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top}\cdot M^{-1}\cdot\phi+\phi\cdot J}\bigg|_{J=0} ,$$

we can move  $S_I[\phi]$  outside of the integration, and evaluate the Gaussian integral in the usual way, as in (5.4),

exercise A1.2

$$e^{W[J]} = e^{W_c[J]} e^{S_I[\frac{d}{dJ}]} \int [d\phi] e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\top} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \phi + \phi \cdot J} \Big|_{J=0}$$
  
=  $|\det M|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{W_c[J]} e^{S_I[\frac{d}{dJ}]} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{\top} \cdot M \cdot J} \Big|_{J=0}$  (5.29)

*M* is invertible only if the minima in figure 5.1 are isolated, and  $M^{-1}$  has no zero eigenvalues. The marginal case would require going beyond the Gaussian saddle-points studied here, typically to the Airy-function type stationary points [1]. In the classical statistical mechanics  $S[\phi]$  is a real-valued function, the extremum of  $S[\phi]$  at the saddle-point  $\phi^c$  is the minimum, all eigenvalues of *M* are strictly positive, and we can drop the absolute value brackets  $|\cdots|$  in (5.29).

As we shall show in sect. 5.4, expanding the exponentials and evaluating the  $\frac{d}{dJ}$  derivatives in (5.29) yields the fluctuation corrections as a power series in  $1/\beta = T$ .

The first correction due to the fluctuations in the  $\phi^c$  neighborhood is obtained by approximating the bottom of the potential in figure 5.1 by a parabola, i.e., keeping only the quadratic terms in the Taylor expansion (5.26). For a single minimum the "free energy" is in this approximation

$$W[J]_{1-\text{loop}} = W_c[J] + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \ln M,$$
 (5.30)

where we have used the matrix identity  $\ln \det M = \operatorname{tr} \ln M$ , valid for any finitedimensional matrix. This result suffices to establish the Ginzburg criterion (explained in many excellent textbooks) which determines when the effect of fluctuations is comparable or larger than the mean-field contribution alone.

exercise 5.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>For classically chaotic field theories we will not be so lucky - there the number of contributing saddles grows exponentially with the lattice size.

### 5.6 Saddle-point expansions are asymptotic

The first trial ground for testing our hunches about field theory is the *zero-dimensional field theory*, the field theory of a lattice consisting of one point. As there are no neighbors, there are no derivatives to take, and the field theory is a humble 1-dimensional integral

$$Z[J] = \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\phi^2}{2M} - \beta u \phi^4 + \phi J}.$$

In zero-dimensional field theory M is a  $[1 \times 1]$  matrix, i.e. just a number. As it is in good taste to get rid of extraneous parameters, we rescale  $\phi^2 \rightarrow M\phi^2$ ,  $\sqrt{MJ} \rightarrow J$ , and are left with one parameter which we define to be  $g = 4\beta M^2 u$ . As multiplicative constants do not contribute to averages, we will drop an overall factor of  $\sqrt{M}$  and study the integral

$$Z[J] = \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\phi^2/2 - g\phi^4/4 + \phi J}.$$
 (5.31)

Substituting *M* as defined by (5.28) we have  $g = T/(r + 12u(\phi^c)^2)$ , so the small *g* expansions is a *low temperature* expansion. However, as we approach the critical temperature,  $r + 12u(\phi^c)^2 \rightarrow 0$ , the perturbation theory fails us badly, and that is one of the reasons why we need the renormalization theory.

The idea of the saddle-point expansion (5.29) is to keep the Gaussian part  $\int d\phi \, e^{-\phi^2/2+\phi J}$  as is, expand the rest as a power series, and then compute the moments

$$\int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi^n e^{-\phi^2/2} = \left(\frac{d}{dJ}\right)^n \left. e^{J^2/2} \right|_{J=0} = (n-1)!! \quad \text{if } n \text{ even, } 0 \text{ otherwise } J$$

We already know the answer. In this zero-dimensional theory we have taken M = 1, the *n*-point correlation is simply the number of terms in the diagrammatic expansion, and according to (5.16) that number is exploding combinatorially, as (n - 1)!!. And here our troubles start.

To be concrete, let us work out the *exact* zero-dimensional  $\phi^4$  field theory in the saddle-point expansion to all orders:

$$Z[0] = \sum_{n} Z_{n} g^{n},$$

$$Z_{n} = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n! 4^{n}} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi^{4n} e^{-\phi^{2}/2} = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{16^{n} n!} \frac{(4n)!}{(2n)!}.$$
(5.32)

The Stirling formula  $n! = \sqrt{2\pi} n^{n+1/2} e^{-n}$  yields for large *n* 

$$g^n Z_n \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}} \left(\frac{4gn}{e}\right)^n$$
 (5.33)

As the coefficients of the parameter  $g^n$  are blowing up combinatorially, no matter how small g might be, the perturbation expansion is not convergent! Why? Consider again (5.32). We have tacitly assumed that g > 0, but for g < 0, the potential is unbounded for large  $\phi$ , and the integrand explodes. Hence the partition function in not analytic at the g = 0 point.

exercise 5.2



**Figure 5.2:** Plot of the saddle-point estimate of  $Z_n$  vs. the exact result (5.32) for g = 0.2, g = 0.1, g = 0.05, g = 0.02, g = 0.01.

Is the whole enterprise hopeless? As we shall now show, even though divergent, the perturbation series is an *asymptotic* expansion, and an asymptotic expansion can be extremely good [5]. <sup>9</sup> Consider the residual error after inclusion of the first *n* perturbative corrections:

$$R_{n} = \left| Z(g) - \sum_{m=0}^{n} g^{m} Z_{m} \right|$$

$$= \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\phi^{2}/2} \left| e^{-g\phi^{4}/4} - \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{1}{m!} \left( -\frac{g}{4} \right)^{m} \phi^{4m} \right|$$

$$\leq \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\phi^{2}/2} \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left( \frac{g\phi^{4}}{4} \right)^{n+1} = g^{n+1} |Z_{n+1}| .$$
(5.34)

The inequality follows from the convexity of exponentials, a generalization of the inequality  $e^x \ge 1 + x$ . The error decreases as long as  $g^n |Z_n|$  decreases. From (5.33) the minimum is reached at  $4g n_{min} \approx 1$ , with the minimum error

$$g^{n}Z_{n}\Big|_{min} \approx \sqrt{\frac{4g}{\pi}} e^{-1/4g}.$$
 (5.35)

As illustrated by the figure 5.2, a perturbative expansion can be, for all practical purposes, very accurate. In QED such argument had led Dyson to suggest that the QED perturbation expansions are good to  $n_{min} \approx 1/\alpha \approx 137$  terms. Due to the complicated relativistic, spinorial and gauge invariance structure of perturbative QED, there is not a shred of evidence that this is so. The very best calculations performed so far stop at  $n \leq 5$ .

exercise 5.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Predrag: define asymptotic expansion

### Commentary

**Remark 5.1.** Gaussian integrals. Kadanoff [6] has a nice discussion of Gaussian integrals, the central limit theorem and large deviations in *Chap. 3 Gaussian Distributions*, available online here.

Remark 5.2. Asymptotic series.

- The Taylor expansion in g fails, as g is precisely on the border of analyticity. The situation can sometimes be rescued by a *Borel re-summation*.
- If you really care, an asymptotic series can be improved by resumations "beyond all orders", a technically daunting task (see M. Berry's papers on such topics as re-summation of the Weyl series for quantum billiards).
- Pairs of nearby and coalescing saddles should be treated by uniform approximations, where the Airy integrals

$$Z_0[J] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C dx \, e^{-x^3/3! + Jx}$$

play the role the Gaussian integrals play for isolated saddles [1]. In case at hand, the phase transition  $\phi^c = 0 \rightarrow \pm \phi^c \neq 0$  is a quartic inflection of this type, and in the Fourier representation of the partition function one expects instead of  $|\det M|^{1/2}$  explicit dependence on the momentum  $k^{1/4}$ . Whether anyone has tried to develop a theory of the critical regime in this way I do not know.

- If there are symmetries that relate terms in perturbation expansions, a perturbative series might be convergent. For example, individual Feynman diagrams in QED are not gauge invariant, only their sums are, and QED  $\alpha^n$  expansions might still turn out to be convergent series [2].
- Expansions in which the field  $\phi$  is replaced by N copies of the original field are called 1/N expansions. The perturbative coefficients in such expansions are convergent term by term in 1/N.

### References

- [1] N. Bleistein and R. A. Handelsman, *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals* (Dover, New York, 1986).
- P. Cvitanović, "Asymptotic estimates and gauge invariance", Nucl. Phys. B 127, 176–188 (1977).
- [3] P. Cvitanović, *Field Theory*, Notes prepared by E. Gyldenkerne (Nordita, Copenhagen, 1983).
- [4] P. Cvitanović, "Chaotic Field Theory: A sketch", Physica A 288, 61–80 (2000).
- [5] R. B. Dingle, *Asymptotic Expansions: Their Derivation and Interpretation* (Academic Press, London, 1973).
- [6] L. P. Kadanoff, *Statistical Physics: Statics, Dynamics and Renormalization* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).

Gaussian!integral central limit theorem largendeviations collective excitations

- 5.1. Free-field theory combinatorics. Check that there indeed are no combinatorial prefactors in the expansion (5.16).
- 5.2. Quality of asymptotic series. Use the saddle-point method to evaluate  $Z_n$

$$Z_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! 4^n} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\phi^2/2 + 4n\ln\phi}$$

Find the smallest error for a fixed g; plot both your error and the the exact result (5.32) for g = 0.1, g = 0.02, g = 0.01. The prettiest plot makes it into these notes as figure 5.2!

- 5.3. Complex Gaussian integrals. (A repeat of exercise 4.1.) Read sect. 3.B, do exercise 3.B.1 of ref. [3].
- 5.4. **Prove**  $\ln \det = \operatorname{tr} \ln$ . (link here the  $\ln \det = \operatorname{tr} \ln \operatorname{problem} \operatorname{sets}$ , already done).
- 5.5. **Convexity of exponentials.** Prove the inequality (5.34). Matthias Eschrig suggest that a more general proof be offered, applicable to any monotone descreasing sequence with alternating signs.
- 5.6. Wick expansion for  $\phi^4$  theories. Derive (5.20), check the combinatorial signs.
- 5.7. Wick expansions. Read sect. 3.C and do exercise 3.C.2 of ref. [3].

### Chapter 5 solutions: Field theory path integrals

**Solution 5.1 - Free-field theory combinatorics.** Check that there indeed are no combinatorial prefactors in the expansion (5.16).

Solution 5.2 - Quality of asymptotic series. Use the saddle-point method to evaluate  $Z_n$ 

$$Z_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n! 4^n} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\phi^2/2 + 4n \ln \phi}$$

Find the smallest error for a fixed g; plot both your error and the exact result (5.32) for g = 0.1, g = 0.02, g = 0.01. The prettiest plot makes it into these notes as figure 5.2!

**Solution A1.2 - Complex Gaussian integrals.** Read sect. 3.B, do exercise 3.B.1 of ref. [3].

**Solution 5.4 - Prove**  $\ln \det = \operatorname{tr} \ln$ . (link here the  $\ln \det = \operatorname{tr} \ln$  problem sets, already done).

**Solution 5.5 - Convexity of exponentials.** Prove the inequality (5.34). Matthias Eschrig suggest that a more general proof be offered, applicable to any monotone descreasing sequence with alternating signs.

**Solution 5.6 - Wick expansion for**  $\phi^4$  **theories.** Derive (5.20), check the combinatorial signs.

Solution 5.7 - Wick expansions. Read sect. 3.C and do exercise 3.C.2 of ref. [3].

# Chapter 6

# **Chaotic field theory**

6.1	A fair coin toss	78
6.2	Temporal Bernoulli	79
6.3	Determining periodic states	80
6.4	Orbit stability	81
6.5	Hill determinants	82
	6.5.1 Fundamental fact	82
6.6	Periodic orbit theory	84
<b>6.7</b>	Reciprocal lattice	84
	6.7.1 Hill determinant: Reciprocal lattice evaluation	85
<b>6.8</b>	Shadowing	86
6.9	Bernoulli as a first-order difference equation	86
6.10	*	88
Refe	erences	89

We started out by laying down The Law (1). In *Field Theory* (1983) we arrived at it by going fishing: pulling out fishnets of Feynman diagrams (what pros call "iterating Dyson-Schwinger equations"). The action was split into 'kinetic' and 'potential' parts  $S[\Phi] = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi^{\top}\Box\Phi + V[\Phi]$ , with nonlinear, interaction terms collected into a local, site-wise *z* dependent potential

$$V[\Phi] = \sum_{z} V(\phi_{z}), \qquad V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^{2} \phi^{2} - \frac{g}{k!} \phi^{k}, \quad k \ge 3.$$
(6.1)

The lattice-discretized Laplacian is a sparse matrix, with neighbor-neighbor interaction given by matrix elements whose size is 1. A Feynman diagram expansion is then (for all practical purposes, see sect. 5.6) convergent, provided the interaction coupling constant or constants g are small, relative to 'kinetic' term.

At the opposite end, in the limit of very strong potentials, or the anti-integrability limit [2, 3], the interactions with neighbors is a small correction. That's best understood by another piece of classical, 19th century wave mechanics, the WKB approximation. That we here call deterministic field theory, with support of the



**Figure 6.1:** (Color online) The simplest of all chaotic field theories is the 'spatiotemporal cat', a deterministic Klein-Gordon field theory on a hypercubic lattice, with an unstable, "anti-harmonic" rotor at each lattice site, a cat that runs away rather than pushes back. In contrast to its elliptic sibling, the Helmholtz equation and its oscillatory solutions, spatiotemporal cat's periodic states are hyperbolic and unstable.

partition sum restricted to the deterministic solutions  $\Phi_c$ , only the configurations that strictly obey The Law,

$$Z[J]_c = e^{\Gamma[\Phi] + J \cdot \Phi} = \sum_c e^{S[\Phi_c] + J \cdot \Phi_c}.$$
(6.2)

That, finally, puts us into the last quarter of 20th century: what one calls the 'chaos theory'.

When one refers to a physical phenomenon -such as motions of a Navier-Stokes fluid- as 'chaotic', or 'turbulent', one is told: We understand 'chaos' for a system such as Lorenz attractor, but what is a 'chaotic' field, a field with infinitely many degrees of freedom?

Our goal here is to answer this question pedagogically, as a sequence of pencil and paper calculations. We will explain what is 'deterministic chaos' by walking you through its simplest example, the coin toss or Bernoulli map, but reformulated as problem of determining admissible global solutions on an integer-time lattice.

What emerges is a spacetime which is very much like a big spring mattress, figure 6.1 (a), that obeys the familiar harmonic oscillator field theory equations, the discrete Helmholtz equation (or the tight-binding model), but instead of being 'springy', this metamaterial is a dicretization of the Euclidean Klein-Gordon equation, with a cat at every lattice site, that runs away, figure 6.1 (b), rather than pushing back, a theory formulated in terms of Hill determinants and zeta functions.

You might have gotten an impression that our field-theoretic formulation of spatiotemporal turbulence / chaos works only for Hamiltonian / Lagrangian systems, ie, for Euler, not Navier-Stokes. But our simplest example, the Bernoulli map, is a non-Hamiltonian dynamical system with infinite contraction ("dissipation") in one time step, and we have explored the '1d Navier-Stokes', ie., Kuramoto-Sivashinsky, in a great detail elsewhere (Matt Gudorf [17] and ChaosBook.org/overheads/spatiotemporal).

This is the simplest example of reformulating a space and time translationally invariant, exponentially unstable 'turbulent' field theory as a (D+1)-dimensional



**Figure 6.2:** (Color online) (a) The 'coin toss' map (6.3), together with the  $\overline{0}$  fixed point, and the  $\overline{01}$  2-cycle. Preimages of the critical point  $x_c = 1/2$  partition the unit interval into  $\{\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1\}$ ,  $\{\mathcal{M}_{00}, \mathcal{M}_{01}, \mathcal{M}_{10}, \mathcal{M}_{11}\}, \ldots$ , subintervals. (b) The base-*s* Bernoulli map, here with the 'dice throw' stretching parameter s = 6, partitions the unit interval into 6 subintervals  $\{\mathcal{M}_m\}$ , labeled by the 6-letter alphabet (6.7). As the map is a circle map,  $x_5 = 1 = 0 = x_0 \pmod{1}$ .

spatiotemporal system which treats space and time on equal footing. Here there is no 'evolution in time': there is only the determination of the repertoire of admissible tilings of spacetime by 'periodic orbits', very much as the partition function of the Ising model is a weighted sum formed by totality of its lattice states.

### 6.1 A fair coin toss

Remember? when we started this exposition with wanderings of a drunken snail (sect. 1.1), we generated all walks that build up the free propagator by assuming that the snail hops in all available directions with equal *probability* (1.1). In steps "chaos". Or "ergodicity". The Law is deterministic, and still we end up adding paths as though they were wanderings of a drunken snail.

The very simplest example of a deterministic law of evolution that gives rise to 'chaos' is the *Bernoulli* map, figure 6.2 (a), which models a coin toss. Starting with a random initial state, the map generates, deterministically, a sequence of tails and heads with 50-50% probability.

We introduce the model in its conventional, time-evolution dynamical formulation, than reformulate it as a lattice field theory, solved by determining all of admissible *periodic states*, field configurations that satisfy The Law (a global fixed point condition, really), and use this simple setting to illustrate (1) determination of periodic states from system's Euler–Lagrange equations, and (2) the evaluation of *Hill determinants*, the stabilities of global solutions.

The base-2 Bernoulli shift map,

$$x_{t+1} = \begin{cases} f_0(x_t) = 2x_t, & x_t \in \mathcal{M}_0 = [0, 1/2) \\ f_1(x_t) = 2x_t \pmod{1}, & x_t \in \mathcal{M}_1 = [1/2, 1) \end{cases},$$
(6.3)

is shown in figure 6.2 (a). If the linear part of such map has an integer-valued slope, or 'stretching' parameter  $s \ge 2$ ,

$$x_{t+1} = sx_t \tag{6.4}$$

chaoticFT - 10mar2024

Bernoulli!shift shift!Bernoulli

that maps state  $x_t$  into a state outside the unit interval, the (mod 1) operation results in the base-*s* Bernoulli circle map,

$$\phi_{t+1} = s\phi_t \pmod{1},\tag{6.5}$$

sketched as a dice throw in figure 6.2 (b). The (mod 1) operation subtracts  $m_t = \lfloor s\phi_t \rfloor$ , the integer part of  $s\phi_t$ , or the circle map *winding number*, to keep  $\phi_{t+1}$  in the unit interval [0, 1), and partitions the unit interval into *s* subintervals { $M_m$ },

$$\phi_{t+1} = s\phi_t - m_t, \qquad \phi_t \in \mathcal{M}_{m_t}, \tag{6.6}$$

where  $m_t$  takes values in the *s*-letter alphabet

$$m \in \mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, s - 1\}.$$
 (6.7)

The Bernoulli map is a highly instructive example of a hyperbolic dynamical system. Its symbolic dynamics is simple: the base-*s* expansion of the initial point  $\phi_0$  is also its temporal itinerary, with symbols from alphabet (6.7) indicating that at time *t* the orbit visits the subinterval  $\mathcal{M}_{m_t}$ . The map is a 'shift': a multiplication by *s* acts on the base-*s* representation of  $\phi_0 = .m_1m_2m_3\cdots$  (for example, binary, if s = 2) by shifting its digits,

$$\phi_1 = f(\phi_0) = .m_2 m_3 \cdots$$
 (6.8)

Here we follow the 'future itinerary' labelling convention of ChaosBook sect. 14.6.

Periodic points can be counted by observing that the preimages of critical points  $\{\phi_{c1}, \phi_{c2}, \dots, \phi_{c,s-1}\} = \{1/s, 2/s, \dots, (s-1)/s\}$  partition the unit interval into *s* subintervals  $\{\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{s-1}\}$ ,  $s^2$  subintervals  $\{\mathcal{M}_{m_1m_2}\}, \dots, s^n$  subintervals, each containing *one* unstable period-*n* periodic point  $\phi_{m_1m_2\cdots m_n}$ , with stability multiplier  $s^n$ , see figure 6.2. The Bernoulli map is a full shift, in the sense that every itinerary is admissible, with one exception: on the circle, the rightmost fixed point is the same as the fixed point at the origin,  $\phi_{s-1} = \phi_0 \pmod{1}$ , so these fixed points are identified and counted as one, see figure 6.2. The total number of periodic points of period *n* is thus

$$N_n = s^n - 1. ag{6.9}$$

### 6.2 Temporal Bernoulli

To motivate our formulation of a spatiotemporal deterministic field theory [11], we now recast the local initial value, time-evolution Bernoulli map problem as a *temporal lattice* fixed point condition, the problem of determining all global solutions.

'Temporal' here refers to the lattice site field  $\phi_t$  and the source  $m_t$  taking their values on the lattice sites of a one-dimensional *temporal* integer lattice  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Over a finite lattice segment, these can be written compactly as a *periodic state* and the corresponding *symbol block* (see sketch figure 1.1)

$$\Phi^{\top} = (\phi_{t+1}, \cdots, \phi_{t+n}), \quad \mathsf{M}^{\top} = (m_{t+1}, \cdots, m_{t+n}), \tag{6.10}$$

where  $(\cdots)^{\top}$  denotes a transpose. The Bernoulli equation (6.6), rewritten as a first-order difference Euler–Lagrange equation

$$-\phi_{t+1} + (s\phi_t - m_t) = 0, \qquad \phi_t \in [0, 1), \tag{6.11}$$

takes the matrix form

$$\mathcal{J} \Phi - \mathsf{M} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{J} = -r + s \mathbf{1}, \tag{6.12}$$

where the  $[n \times n]$  matrix

$$r_{jk} = \delta_{j+1,k}, \qquad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.13}$$

implements the shift operation (6.8), a cyclic permutation that translates forwardin-time periodic state  $\Phi$  by one site,  $(r\Phi)^{\top} = (\phi_2, \phi_3, \dots, \phi_n, \phi_1)$ . We refer to such local, lattice-site conditions as the Euler–Lagrange equations. The Euler–Lagrange equation (6.12) must be of the same form for all times, so the operator *r* has to be time-translation invariant, with  $r_{n+1,n} = r_{1n} = 1$  matrix element enforcing its periodicity. After *n* shifts, a periodic state returns to the initial state,

$$r^n = \mathbf{1}.$$
 (6.14)

As the temporal Bernoulli condition (6.12) is a linear relation, a given block M, or 'code' in terms of alphabet (6.7), corresponds to a unique temporal periodic state  $\Phi_M$ . That is why Percival and Vivaldi [20] refer to such symbol block M as a *linear code*. The temporal Bernoulli, however, is *not* a linear dynamical system figure 6.4. As illustrated by figure 6.2, it is a set of piecewise-linear *s*-stretching maps and their compositions, one for each state space region  $\mathcal{M}_M$ .

System's Euler–Lagrange equations are the law everyone must obey: look at your left neighbor, right neighbor, remember who you were, make sure you fit in just right. The set { $\Phi_c$ } of all possible periodic states is system's 'Book of Life' - a catalogue of all possible 'lives', possible spatiotemporal patterns that the law allows, each life a *point* in system's infinite-dimensional state space, each life's likelihood given by its Hill determinant.

### 6.3 Determining periodic states

You might want to start here by computing period-2, period-3 periodic states by hand. You will then find the general case, eq. (6.17) easier.

As the temporal Bernoulli condition (6.12) is a linear relation, a given block M, or 'code' in terms of alphabet (6.7), corresponds to a unique temporal periodic state  $\Phi$  given by the temporal lattice Green's function

$$\Phi_{\rm M} = g \,{\rm M}\,, \qquad g = \frac{r/s}{1 - r/s}\,.$$
 (6.15)

For an infinite lattice  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , this Green's function can be expanded as a series in shited off-diagonals  $(r/\Lambda)^k$ ,

$$g = \frac{r/\Lambda}{1 - r/\Lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{\Lambda^k}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda^{-1} & \Lambda^{-2} & \Lambda^{-3} & \Lambda^{-4} & \Lambda^{-5} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{-1} & \Lambda^{-2} & \Lambda^{-3} & \Lambda^{-4} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{-1} & \Lambda^{-2} & \Lambda^{-3} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{-1} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda^{-1} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.16)

where  $\Lambda = s$ , the slope of the Bernoulli map figure 6.2, is the 1-time step stability multiplier for the Bernoulli system.

For a periodic state (6.10), the symbol block M repeats every *n* lattice sites, with an extra factor of  $1/\Lambda^n$ . Substituting  $r^n = 1$  from (6.14) into (6.16), we can sum these prefactors as a geometric series, and obtain explicit formula for the field at any lattice site, as *n*-ary numbers in base  $s = \Lambda$ ,

$$\phi_0 = \frac{1}{1 - 1/\Lambda^n} \left( \frac{m_1}{\Lambda} + \frac{m_2}{\Lambda^2} + \dots + \frac{m_{n-1}}{\Lambda^{n-1}} + \frac{m_n}{\Lambda^n} \right).$$
(6.17)

For example, if  $\Lambda = 2$  and M = 011, the corresponding lattice field is

$$\phi_0 = \frac{8}{7} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{8} \cdot 1 \right) = \frac{1}{7} \left( 2 + 1 \right) = \frac{3}{7}$$

and the orbit  $\mathcal{M}_M$  consists of the 3 translations / cyclic permutations ( $\Phi_{011}, \Phi_{110}, \Phi_{101}$ ) of periodic state

$$\Phi_{011} = \left(\frac{3}{7}, \frac{6}{7}, \frac{5}{7}\right) \,.$$

So we learn that the relation between finite-period periodic states and the ergodic periodic states is (her, for temporal Bernoulli, literally) like the relation between rational and real numbers: rationals have zero Lebesgue measure, but are dense in the unit interval, and serve as a perfectly fine marker to corsegrain the state space with.

## 6.4 Orbit stability

The Euler–Lagrange  $F[\Phi_c] = 0$  condition turns out to be central to the theory of robust global methods for finding periodic states [5, 14, 16, 22–24]. One discretizes a periodic state into an *n*-sites temporal lattice configuration, and lists the field value at lattice sites

$$\Phi^{\top} = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_{n-1}). \tag{6.18}$$

Starting with an initial guess for  $\Phi$ , a zero of the set of Euler–Lagrange equations  $F[\Phi]_t = 0$ , one per each lattice site *t*, can then be found by various methods [10,

12, 13, 18], for example, by Newton iteration, which requires an evaluation of the  $[n \times n]$  orbit Jacobian matrix

$$\mathcal{J}_{tt'} = \frac{\delta F[\Phi_c]_t}{\delta \phi_{t'}} \,. \tag{6.19}$$

The temporal Bernoulli Euler–Lagrange equation (6.12) can be viewed as a search for zeros of the vector of *n* functions

$$F[\Phi_{\mathsf{M}}] = \mathcal{J}\Phi - \mathsf{M} = 0 \tag{6.20}$$

temporal Bernoulli: 
$$\mathcal{J} = -r + s \mathbf{1}$$
, (6.21)

with the entire periodic *periodic state*  $\Phi_M$  treated as a single *point* ( $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}$ ) in the *n*-dimensional state space unit hypercube  $\Phi \in [0, 1)^n$ .

For uniformly stretching systems, such as the temporal Bernoulli,  $[n \times n]$  orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  (6.21) is a circulant, time-translation invariant matrix,

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} s & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & s & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & s \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.22)

While in classical mechanics matrices such as (6.19) are often called "Hessian", here we refer to them collectively as 'orbit Jacobian matrices', to emphasize that they describe the stability of any dynamical system, be it energy-conserving, or a dissipative system, with no Lagrangian formulation.

### 6.5 Hill determinants

Having shown that the inverse of Hill determinant  $1/|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}_c|$  gives us the periodic state's probability weight in the deterministic partition function, our next task is to compute it. As we shall see in sect. 6.7.1, that is often best done on the reciprocal lattice. But first we show that on hypercubic lattices we can visualize a Hill determinant geometrically, as the volume of the associated fundamental parallelepiped.

#### 6.5.1 Fundamental fact

Consider what the temporal Bernoulli Euler–Lagrange equation (6.12) means geometrically. The orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  stretches the state space unit hypercube  $\Phi \in [0, 1)^n$  into the *n*-dimensional *fundamental parallelepiped*, and maps each periodic state  $\Phi_M$  into an integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  site, which is then translated by the winding numbers M into the origin, in order to satisfy the condition (6.20). Hence  $N_n$ , the total number of the solutions of the zero condition equals the number of integer lattice points within the fundamental parallelepiped, a number given by what Baake *et al.* [4] call the *'fundamental fact'*,

$$N_n = |\text{Det }\mathcal{J}|,\tag{6.23}$$

i.e., fact that the number of integer points in the fundamental parallelepiped is equal to its volume, or, what we refer to as its Hill determinant.



**Figure 6.3:** (Color online) (a) The Bernoulli map (6.3) periodic states  $\Phi_{M} = (\phi_{0}, \phi_{1})$  of period 2 are the  $\overline{0} = (0, 0)$  point, and the two 2-cycle periodic states  $\Phi_{01} = (1/3, 2/3)$  and  $\Phi_{10}$ , see figure 6.2 (a). They all lie within the unit square [0*BCD*], which is mapped by the orbit Jacobian matrix  $-\mathcal{J}$  (6.25) into the fundamental parallelepiped [0*B'C'D'*]. Periodic points  $\Phi_{M}$  are mapped by  $\mathcal{J}$  onto the integer lattice,  $\mathcal{J}\Phi_{M} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ , and are sent back into the origin by integer translations M, in order to satisfy the root condition (6.20). Note that this fundamental parallelepiped is covered by 3 unit area quadrilaterals, hence  $|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}| = 3$ . (b) Conversely, in the flow conservation sum rule (6.29) sum over all periodic states M of period *n*, the inverse of the Hill determinant defines the 'neighborhood' of a lattices state as the corresponding fraction of the unit hypercube volume.

The action of the orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  for period-2 periodic states (periodic points) of the Bernoulli map of figure 6.2 (a), suffices to convey the idea. In this case, the [2×2] orbit Jacobian matrix (6.12), the unit square basis vectors, and their images are

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi^{(B)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \Phi^{(B')} = \mathcal{J} \Phi^{(B)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Phi^{(D)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \Phi^{(D')} = \mathcal{J} \Phi^{(D)} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(6.24)

i.e., the columns of the orbit Jacobian matrix are the edges of the fundamental parallelepiped,

$$\mathcal{J} = \left(\Phi^{(B')}\Phi^{(D')}\right),\tag{6.25}$$

drawn in figure 6.3, and  $N_2 = |\text{Det } \mathcal{J}| = 3$ .

In general, the unit vectors of the state space unit hypercube  $\Phi \in [0, 1)^n$  point along the *n* axes; orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  stretches them into a fundamental parallelepiped basis vectors  $\Phi^{(j)}$ , each one a column of the  $[n \times n]$  matrix

$$\mathcal{J} = \left(\Phi^{(1)}\Phi^{(2)}\cdots\Phi^{(n)}\right). \tag{6.26}$$

The Hill determinant

$$\operatorname{Det} \mathcal{J} = \operatorname{Det} \left( \Phi^{(1)} \Phi^{(2)} \cdots \Phi^{(n)} \right), \tag{6.27}$$

is then the volume of the fundamental parallelepiped whose edges are basis vectors  $\Phi^{(j)}$ . Note that the unit hypercubes and fundamental parallelepipeds are halfopen, as indicated by dashed lines in figure 6.3 (a), so that their translates form a partition of the extended state space (6.4).

The interpretation of a Hill determinant as a fundamental parallelepiped applies only to linear Euler–Lagrange equations, basically Bernoulli. To compute it it in general, the right way to go is the reciprocal lattice, sect. 6.7.

### 6.6 Periodic orbit theory

For a general, nonlinear Euler–Lagrange equation root condition  $F[\Phi] = 0$ , the partition sum (5.2) is given by the sum over periodic states [1, 6, 9]. Ozorio de Almeida and Hannay [19] were the first to relate the periodic points to their Jacobian matrix generated volume; in 1984 they used such relation as an illustration of their 'principle of uniformity': "periodic points of an ergodic system, counted with their natural weighting, are uniformly dense in phase space." In periodic orbit theory [6, 8] this principle is stated as a flow conservation sum rule, where the sum is over all periodic states M of period n,

$$\sum_{|\mathsf{M}|=n} \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Det}\,\mathcal{J}_{\mathsf{M}}|} = 1.$$
(6.28)

For the Bernoulli system the 'natural weighting' takes a particularly simple form, as the Hill determinant of the orbit Jacobian matrix is the same for all periodic points of period n, Det  $\mathcal{J}_{M} = \text{Det }\mathcal{J}$ . For example, the sum over the n = 2 periodic states is,

$$\frac{1}{|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}_{00}|} + \frac{1}{|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}_{01}|} + \frac{1}{|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}_{10}|} = 1, \qquad (6.29)$$

see figure 6.3 (b). Furthermore, for any piece-wise linear system all curvature corrections [7] for orbits of periods k > n vanish, leading to explicit periodic state formulas of kind displayed here. This is the 'periodic orbit theory'.

Actually, there is a bit more to it [9].

### 6.7 Reciprocal lattice

In the  $\{\tilde{e}_k\}$  Fourier basis (1.48), a real *n*-dimensional periodic state vector  $\Phi$  is mapped onto a *n*-dimensional complex reciprocal lattice vector

$$\Phi = (\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_{n-1}), \tag{6.30}$$

with the *k*th Fourier mode of magnitude  $|\tilde{u}_k|$  and phase  $e^{i\theta_k}$ .

On the reciprocal lattice, the shift matrix (6.13) is diagonal,  $\tilde{r}_{jk} = \omega^k \delta_{jk}$ , and the 'time' dynamics is breathtakingly simple: no matter what the dynamical system is, in one time step  $\Phi \rightarrow r\Phi$ , the *k*th Fourier mode phase is incremented by a fraction of the circle,

$$(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_{n-1}) \rightarrow (\tilde{u}_0, \omega \tilde{u}_1, \omega^2 \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \omega^{n-1} \tilde{u}_{n-1})$$

$$e^{i\theta_k} \rightarrow e^{i(\theta_k + 2\pi k/n)},$$

$$(6.31)$$

so reciprocal periodic states literally run in circles; for non-zero k and  $|\tilde{u}_k|$ , all reciprocal periodic states lie on vertices of regular complex plane *n*-gons, inscribed in circles of radius  $|\tilde{u}_k|$ , one circle for each orbit.

As a concrete example, consider the period-3 periodic states of the temporal Bernoulli (6.11) for stretching parameter s = 2. It is a linear problem and all periodic states are easily computed by hand, one for each symbol block M. There is always the point periodic state (0, 0, 0) at the origin, and the remaining periodic



**Figure 6.4:** (Color online) The reciprocal lattice  $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$  Fourier components of the seven C<sub>3</sub>-equivariant period-3 periodic states, s = 2 temporal Bernoulli system (6.11). In  $\tilde{u}_1$  and  $\tilde{u}_2$  complex planes, reciprocal periodic states lie on vertices of the 2 equilateral triangles, one for each C<sub>3</sub> orbit, while the component at the origin is the point  $\Phi = (0, 0, 0)$ . The C<sub>3</sub> fundamental domain indicated by red border lines contains non-zero reciprocal periodic states whose phases lie in the  $[-2\pi/6, 2\pi/6)$  wedge, one reciprocal periodic state for each distinct C<sub>3</sub> orbit.

states belong to  $M_3 = 2$  period-3 orbits, where  $M_n$  is the number of prime orbits of period *n*. Discrete Fourier transform maps these 2 orbits into reciprocal lattice  $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$  triangles, see figure 6.4. The time-step *r* acts on the  $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2$  components by complex 1/3-circle phase rotations  $\exp(2\pi i/3)$  and  $\exp(4\pi i/3)$ , respectively: reciprocal periodic states connected by blue lines in figure 6.4 lie on a circle and belong to the same orbit. In this example the two orbits happen to lie on the same circle, as they are related by the *internal*  $D_1 : \phi_i \to 1 - \phi_i$  symmetry of the Bernoulli system, see sect. **??**.

### 6.7.1 Hill determinant: Reciprocal lattice evaluation

Orbit Jacobian matrices of the temporal Bernoulli (6.22) consist of only identity matrix and cyclic shift matrix, whose eigenvectors are discrete Fourier basis (1.48), so they are diagonalized by discrete Fourier transform. In the space of reciprocal periodic states, orbit Jacobian matrices (6.21) are diagonal, each diagonal element an eigenvalue of an orbit Jacobian matrices, for the temporal Bernoulli

$$(s\,\mathbf{l}-r)\,\tilde{e}_k = (s-\omega^k)\,\tilde{e}_k\,. \tag{6.32}$$

Determinants are products of eigenvalues, so the temporal Bernoulli Hill determinant for any period-n periodic state is simply a polynomial whose roots are the nth roots of unity,

Det 
$$(s 1 - r) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (s - \omega^k) = s^n - 1$$
, (6.33)

in agreement with the time-evolution count (6.9).

### 6.8 Shadowing

From (6.15) it follows that the influence of a source  $m_{t'}$  back in the past, at site t', falls off exponentially with the temporal lattice distance t - t',

$$\phi_t = \sum_{t'=-\infty}^{t-1} g_{tt'} m_{t'}, \quad g_{tt'} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{t-t'}}, \quad t > t', \quad 0 \text{ otherwise}.$$
 (6.34)

chaoticFT - 10mar2024

That means that an ergodic periodic state segment of length *n* (or a periodic state of a longer period) is shadowed by the periodic state (6.10) with the same first *n* sites symbol block M, with exponentially decreasing shadowing error of order  $O(1/\Lambda^{n+1})$ . The error is controlled by the weight  $1/|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}|$ , with the Hill determinant (6.33) arising from inverting the orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}$  to obtain the Green's function (6.12).

This error estimate is deeper than what it might seem at the first glance. In fluid dynamics, pattern recognition, neuroscience and other high or  $\infty$ -dimensional settings distances between 'close solutions' (let's say pixel images of two faces in a face recognition code) are almost always measured using some arbitrary yard-stick, let's say a Euclidean  $L_2$  norm, even though the state space is in no sense "Euclidean." Not so in the periodic orbit theory: here  $1/|\text{Det }\mathcal{J}|$  is the *intrinsic, coordinatization and norm independent* measure of the distance between neighboring spatiotemporal states.

# 6.9 Bernoulli as a first-order difference equation

The discrete time derivative of a lattice configuration  $\Phi$  evaluated at the lattice site *t* is given by the 'lattice momentum' operator (1.29), p = r - 1 (the difference operator [15]),

$$\dot{\phi}_t = \left[\frac{d\Phi}{dt}\right]_t = \frac{\phi_{t+1} - \phi_t}{\Delta t} \,. \tag{6.35}$$

The temporal Bernoulli condition (6.12) can be thus viewed as forward Euler method, a time-discretized, first-order difference equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = p\Phi + v(\Phi, M), \qquad (6.36)$$

where the 'velocity' vector field v is given by

 $v(\Phi, \mathsf{M}) = \mu \, \Phi - \mathsf{M} \,,$ 

with the lattice spacing set to  $\Delta t = 1$  (easily reinstated, if needed), and perturbations that grow (or decay) with rate  $\mu = s - 1$ . By inspection of figure 6.2 (a), it is clear that for *shrinking*,  $\mu < 0$  parameter values the orbit is stable forward-in-time, with a single linear branch, 1-letter alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{0\}$ , and the only periodic states being the single point  $\phi_0 = 0$ , and its repeats  $\Phi = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ . However, for *stretching*, positive 'mass parameter'  $\mu > 0$  values, the Bernoulli system (more generally, Rényi's beta transformations [21]) that we study here, every periodic state  $\Phi_M$  is unstable, and there is a periodic state for each admissible symbol block M.

The temporal Bernoulli orbit Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J} = d/dt - \mu r^{-1}$  is a difference operator whose determinant one can compute by a Fourier transform diagonalization (1.50). The eigenvalues of the temporal Bernoulli orbit Jacobian matrix (6.12) are (6.32), and its Hill determinant is the product of its eigenvalues, the *n*th roots of unity (6.33).

lattice!derivative

derivative, lattice

lattice!derivative,

difference operator

forward

A fair coin toss, summarized. We refer to the *global* temporal lattice condition (6.12) as the '*temporal* Bernoulli', in order to distinguish it from the 1-time step Bernoulli evolution *map* (6.5), in preparation for the study of *spatiotemporal* systems to be undertaken in ref. [11]. In the lattice formulation, a *global* temporal periodic state  $\Phi_M$  is determined by the requirement that the *local* temporal lattice condition (6.11) is satisfied at every lattice site. In spatiotemporal formulation there is no need for forward-in-time, close recurrence searches for the returning periodic points. Instead, one determines each global temporal periodic state  $\Phi_M$  at one go, by finding the zero of the Euler–Lagrange equation (6.12). The most importantly for what follows, the spatiotemporal field theory of ref. [11], this calculation requires no recourse to any *explicit coordinatization and partitioning of system's state space*.

And if you don't know, now you know.

89

### Exercises

- 6.1. **Temporal Bernoulli periodic states.** Temporal Bernoulli (6.11) periodic states can be computed by hand. Set the stretching factor to s = 2, and determine periodic states  $\Phi_M$  for all distinct symbol arrays M:
  - (a) All steady states  $\phi_t = \phi$ . Explain why their number is *not* 2.
  - (b) All periodic states of period 2,  $\phi_t = \phi_{t+2}$ . Explain why their number is *not* 4.
- 6.2. Temporal Bernoulli periodic states, prime orbits. Consider the temporal Bernoulli (6.11). The goal of this exercise is to demonstrate (i) that you can compute explicitly all of its periodic states, and (ii) you only need to compute prime orbits.
  - (a) Given an *n*-sites symbol block M, derive the explicit formula (6.17) for the value of temporal Bernoulli field  $\phi_0$  at the latice site 0.
  - (b) What now determines the field values  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_{n-1}$ ?
  - (c) List all  $\Phi_M = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$  for periods n = 1, 2, 3, 4, stretching factor s = 2.
  - (d) As you increase the period *n*, which periodic states are new, which ones you have already computed?
- 6.3. Temporal Bernoulli Hill determinants. terminants are easily computed by hand.
  - (a) What are Hill determinants of periodic states M = 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, arbitrary stretching parameter s?
  - (b) What are Hill determinants of periodic states of periods  $3, 4, n, \dots$ ? (Hint: this is mostly a thinking exercise).
- 6.4. Temporal Bernoulli prime orbits, Hill determinants. Short periods temporal Bernoulli (6.11) Hill determinants are easily computed by hand. The goal of this exercise is to demonstrate (i) that you can compute explicitly all of its periodic states, and (ii) you only need to compute prime orbits.
  - (a) XXX.
  - (b) XXX
  - (c) XXX
  - (d) XXX

6.5. **Temporal Bernoulli 'path integral'.** I'm skating here on thin ice, so please help me, check it out.

- (a) XXX.
- (b) XXX
- (c) XXX
- (d) XXX

6.6. **Propagators in the configuration space.** Define the finite difference operator by f(x) + f(x -a)

 $\partial f(x)$  2 a where a is the lattice spacing. Show that

1 d h (So' + Sri) 2d+a'3'

P if ji,

where  $\partial^2 = 911\partial 11$  is the finite difference Laplacian. Show that the Euclidean scalar lattice propagator (1.10) is given by

-1 ha' 2 ij s

The mass in the continuum propagator (5.6) is related to the hopping parameter by s

(5.9)

If the particle does not like hopping  $(h \rightarrow O)$ , the mass is infinite and there is no propagation. If the particle does not like stopping (s -0), the mass is zero and the particle zips all over the space. Diagonalize 32 by Fourier transforming and derive (5.6).

# **Chapter 6 solutions: Chaotic field theory**

### References

- R. Artuso, E. Aurell, and P. Cvitanović, "Recycling of strange sets: I. Cycle expansions", Nonlinearity 3, 325–359 (1990).
- [2] S. Aubry, "Anti-integrability in dynamical and variational problems", Physica D **86**, 284–296 (1995).
- [3] S. Aubry and G. Abramovici, "Chaotic trajectories in the standard map. The concept of anti-integrability", Physica D **43**, 199–219 (1990).
- [4] M. Baake, J. Hermisson, and A. B. Pleasants, "The torus parametrization of quasiperiodic LI-classes", J. Phys. A **30**, 3029–3056 (1997).
- [5] W. G. Choe and J. Guckenheimer, "Computing periodic orbits with high accuracy", Computer Meth. Appl. Mech. and Engin. **170**, 331–341 (1999).
- [6] P. Cvitanović, "Invariant measurement of strange sets in terms of cycles", Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2729–2732 (1988).
- [7] P. Cvitanović, "Counting", in *Chaos: Classical and Quantum*, edited by P. Cvitanović, R. Artuso, R. Mainieri, G. Tanner, and G. Vattay (Niels Bohr Inst., Copenhagen, 2024).
- [8] P. Cvitanović, "Why cycle?", in *Chaos: Classical and Quantum*, edited by P. Cvitanović, R. Artuso, R. Mainieri, G. Tanner, and G. Vattay (Niels Bohr Inst., Copenhagen, 2024).
- [9] P. Cvitanović, R. Artuso, R. Mainieri, G. Tanner, and G. Vattay, *Chaos: Classical and Quantum* (Niels Bohr Inst., Copenhagen, 2024).
- [10] P. Cvitanović and Y. Lan, Turbulent fields and their recurrences, in Correlations and Fluctuations in QCD : Proceedings of 10. International Workshop on Multiparticle Production, edited by N. Antoniou (2003), pp. 313–325.
- [11] P. Cvitanović and H. Liang, Spatiotemporal cat: An exact classical chaotic field theory, In preparation, 2019.

- [12] X. Ding, H. Chaté, P. Cvitanović, E. Siminos, and K. A. Takeuchi, "Estimating the dimension of the inertial manifold from unstable periodic orbits", Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 024101 (2016).
- [13] X. Ding and P. Cvitanović, "Periodic eigendecomposition and its application in Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system", SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 15, 1434–1454 (2016).
- [14] E. J. Doedel, A. R. Champneys, T. F. Fairgrieve, Y. A. Kuznetsov, B. Sandstede, and X. Wang, AUTO: Continuation and Bifurcation Software for Ordinary Differential Equations, tech. rep. (Computational Mathematics and Visualization Laboratory, Concordia Univ., 2007).
- [15] S. Elaydi, An Introduction to Difference Equations (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- [16] J. Guckenheimer and B. Meloon, "Computing periodic orbits and their bifurcations with automatic differentiation", SIAM J. Sci. Comp. 22, 951– 985 (2000).
- [17] M. N. Gudorf, Spatiotemporal formulation of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, PhD thesis (School of Physics, Georgia Inst. of Technology, Atlanta, 2019).
- [18] Y. Lan and P. Cvitanović, "Variational method for finding periodic orbits in a general flow", Phys. Rev. E **69**, 016217 (2004).
- [19] A. M. Ozorio de Almeida and J. H. Hannay, "Periodic orbits and a correlation function for the semiclassical density of states", J. Phys. A 17, 3429 (1984).
- [20] I. Percival and F. Vivaldi, "A linear code for the sawtooth and cat maps", Physica D 27, 373–386 (1987).
- [21] A. Rényi, "Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties", Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 8, 477–493 (1957).
- [22] D. Viswanath, "The Lindstedt-Poincaré technique as an algorithm for finding periodic orbits", SIAM Rev. 43, 478–495 (2001).
- [23] D. Viswanath, "Symbolic dynamics and periodic orbits of the Lorenz attractor", Nonlinearity **16**, 1035–1056 (2003).
- [24] D. Viswanath, "The fractal property of the Lorenz attractor", Physica D 190, 115–128 (2004).

WKB WKB!quantization quantization!WKB

# **Chapter** 7

# WKB quantization

7.1	WKB ansatz		92		
7.2	Method of stationary phase		94		
7.3	WKB quantization		94		
	7.3.1 Harmonic oscillator quantization		96		
7.4	Beyond the quadratic saddle point		96		
References   99					
7.5	*	• • • •	100		

The wave function for a particle of energy E moving in a constant potential V is <sup>1</sup>

$$\psi = A e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} pq} \tag{7.1}$$

with a constant amplitude A, and constant wavelength  $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ ,  $k = p/\hbar$ , and  $p = \pm \sqrt{2m(E - V)}$  is the momentum. Here we generalize this solution to the case where the potential varies slowly over many wavelengths. This semiclassical (or WKB) approximate solution of the Schrödinger equation fails at deterministic turning points, configuration space points where the particle momentum vanishes. In such neighborhoods, where the semiclassical approximation fails, one needs to solve locally the exact quantum problem, in order to compute connection coefficients which patch up semiclassical segments into an approximate global wave function.

Two lessons follow. First, semiclassical methods can be very powerful - deterministic mechanics computations yield surprisingly accurate estimates of quantal spectra, without solving the Schrödinger equation. Second, semiclassical quantization does depend on a purely wave-mechanical phenomena, the coherent addition of phases accrued by all fixed energy phase space trajectories that connect pairs of coordinate points, and the topological phase loss at every turning point, a topological property of the deterministic flow that plays no role in classical mechanics.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Predrag: spelling Brillouin?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Predrag: move this paragraph to conclusions?



# 7.1 WKB ansatz

If the kinetic term T(p) can be separated as in (2.5), the time-independent Schrödinger equation takes form

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi''(q) + V(q)\psi(q) = E\psi(q).$$
(7.2)

Consider a time-independent Schrödinger equation in 1 spatial dimension, with potential V(q) growing sufficiently fast as  $q \to \pm \infty$  so that the deterministic particle motion is confined for any *E*. Define the local momentum p(q) and the local wavenumber k(q) by

$$p(q) = \pm \sqrt{2m(E - V(q))}, \quad p(q) = \hbar k(q).$$
 (7.3)

The variable wavenumber form of the Schrödinger equation

$$\psi'' + k^2(q)\psi = 0 \tag{7.4}$$

suggests that the wave function be written as  $\psi = Ae^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}$ , A and S real functions of q. Substitution yields two equations, one for the real and other for the imaginary part:

$$(S')^2 = p^2 + \hbar^2 \frac{A''}{A}$$
(7.5)

$$S''A + 2S'A' = \frac{1}{A}\frac{d}{dq}(S'A^2) = 0.$$
(7.6)

The Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (*WKB*) or *semiclassical* approximation consists of dropping the  $\hbar^2$  term in (7.5). Recalling that  $p = \hbar k$ , this amounts to assuming that  $k^2 \gg \frac{A''}{A}$ , which in turn implies that the phase of the wave function is changing much faster than its overall amplitude. So the WKB approximation can interpreted either as a short wavelength/high frequency approximation to a wave-mechanical problem, or as the semiclassical,  $\hbar \ll 1$  approximation to quantum mechanics.

Setting  $\hbar = 0$  and integrating (7.5) we obtain the phase increment of a wave function initially at q, at energy E

$$S(q,q',E) = \int_{q'}^{q} dq'' p(q'').$$
(7.7)

This integral over a particle trajectory of constant energy, called the *action*, will play a key role in all that follows. The integration of (7.6) is even easier

$$A(q) = \frac{C}{|p(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad C = |p(q')|^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi(q'),$$
(7.8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Predrag: redraw figure 7.1 so asymmetric (this one is copied from Brack, not publishable as is)



where the integration constant *C* is fixed by the value of the wave function at the initial point q'. The *WKB* (or *semiclassical*) *ansatz* wave function is given by <sup>4</sup>

$$\psi_{sc}(q,q',E) = \frac{C}{|p(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(q,q',E)}.$$
(7.9)

In what follows we shall suppress dependence on the initial point and energy in such formulas,  $(q, q', E) \rightarrow (q)$ .

The WKB ansatz generalizes the free motion wave function (7.1), with the probability density  $|A(q)|^2$  for finding a particle at q now inversely proportional to the velocity at that point, and the phase  $\frac{1}{\hbar}q p$  replaced by  $\frac{1}{\hbar}\int dq p(q)$ , the integrated action along the trajectory. This is fine, except at any turning point  $q_0$ , figure 7.1, where all energy is potential, and

$$p(q) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad q \to q_0 \,, \tag{7.10}$$

<sup>5</sup> so that the assumption that  $k^2 \gg \frac{A''}{A}$  fails. What can one do in this case?

The answer, in the form given in standard quantum mechanics textbooks, will be reviewed in sect. 7.4. However, for the task at hand, a simple physical picture, due to Maslov, does the job. In the *q* coordinate, the turning points are defined by the zero kinetic energy condition (see figure 7.1), and the motion appears singular. This is not so in the full phase space: the trajectory in a smooth confining 1dimensional potential is always a smooth loop (see figure 7.2), with the "special" role of the turning points  $q_L$ ,  $q_R$  seen to be an artifact of a particular choice of the (q, p) coordinate frame. Maslov proceeds from the initial point (q', p') to a point  $(q_A, p_A)$  preceding the turning point in the  $\psi(q)$  representation, then switch to the momentum representation <sup>6</sup>

$$\widetilde{\psi}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int dq \, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}qp} \psi(q) \,, \tag{7.11}$$

continue from  $(q_A, p_A)$  to  $(q_B, p_B)$ , switch back to the coordinate representation,

$$\psi(q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int dp \, e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}qp} \, \widetilde{\psi}(p) \,, \tag{7.12}$$

and so on. 7

The only rub is that one usually cannot evaluate these transforms exactly. But, as the WKB wave function (7.9) is approximate anyway, it suffices to estimate these transforms to the leading order in  $\hbar$  accuracy. This is accomplished by the method of stationary phase.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Predrag: introduce G(q, q', E) already here

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Predrag: fix up  $A \to \infty$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Predrag: redraw figure 7.2!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Predrag: define dof

### 7.2 Method of stationary phase

All "semiclassical" approximations are based on saddle point evaluations of integrals of the type  $^{8}$ 

$$I = \int dx A(x) e^{is\Phi(x)}, \qquad x, \Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
(7.13)

where *s* is a real parameter, and  $\Phi(x)$  is a real-valued function. In our applications  $s = 1/\hbar$  will always be assumed large.

For large *s*, the phase oscillates rapidly and "averages to zero" everywhere except at the *extremal points*  $\Phi'(x_0) = 0$ . The method of approximating an integral by its values at extremal points is called the *method of stationary phase*. Consider first the case of a 1-dimensional integral, and expand  $\Phi(x_0 + \delta x)$  around  $x_0$  to second order in  $\delta x$ ,

$$I = \int dx A(x) e^{is(\Phi(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(x_0)\delta x^2 + ...)}.$$
(7.14)

Assume (for time being) that  $\Phi''(x_0) \neq 0$ , with either sign,  $\operatorname{sgn}[\Phi''] = \Phi''/|\Phi''| = \pm 1$ . If in the neighborhood of  $x_0$  the amplitude A(x) varies slowly over many oscillations of the exponential function, we may retain the leading term in the Taylor expansion of the amplitude, and approximate the integral up to quadratic terms in the phase by

$$I \approx A(x_0) e^{is\Phi(x_0)} \int dx \, e^{\frac{1}{2}is\Phi''(x_0)(x-x_0)^2} \,. \tag{7.15}$$

The one integral that we know how to integrate is the Gaussian integral  $\int dx e^{-\frac{x^2}{2b}} = \sqrt{2\pi b}$  For for pure imaginary b = i a one gets instead the *Fresnel integral formula* 

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = \sqrt{ia} = |a|^{1/2} \, e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{a}{|a|}} \tag{7.16}$$

<sup>9</sup> we obtain

$$I \approx A(x_0) \left| \frac{2\pi}{s\Phi''(x_0)} \right|^{1/2} e^{is\Phi(x_0)\pm i\frac{\pi}{4}},$$
(7.17)

where  $\pm$  corresponds to the positive/negative sign of  $s\Phi''(x_0)$ .

### 7.3 WKB quantization

We can now evaluate the Fourier transforms (7.11), (7.12) to the same order in  $\hbar$  as the WKB wave function using the stationary phase method,

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{sc}(p) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int \frac{dq}{|p(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(q)-qp)} \\ \approx \frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \frac{e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(q^*)-q^*p)}}{|p(q^*)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int dq \, e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar}S''(q^*)(q-q^*)^2},$$
(7.18)

<sup>8</sup>Mason: explain "saddle point"

<sup>9</sup>Predrag: recheck the *i* factor in (7.16)

stationary!phase approximation stationary!phase extremal point Fresnel integral WKB!quantization quantization!WKB

exercise 7.1

where  $q^*$  is given implicitly by the stationary phase condition

$$0 = S'(q^*) - p = p(q^*) - p$$

and the sign of  $S''(q^*) = p'(q^*)$  determines the phase of the Fresnel integral (7.16)

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{sc}(p) = \frac{C}{|p(q^*)p'(q^*)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}[S(q^*) - q^*p] + \frac{i\pi}{4} \operatorname{sgn}[S''(q^*)]}.$$
(7.19)

As we continue from  $(q_A, p_A)$  to  $(q_B, p_B)$ , nothing problematic occurs -  $p(q^*)$  is finite, and so is the acceleration  $p'(q^*)$ . Otherwise, the trajectory would take infinitely long to get across. We recognize the exponent as the Legendre transform

$$\tilde{S}(p) = S(q(p)) - q(p)p$$

which can be used to expresses everything in terms of the *p* variable,

$$q^* = q(p), \quad \frac{d}{dq}q = 1 = \frac{dp}{dq}\frac{dq(p)}{dp} = q'(p)p'(q^*).$$
 (7.20)

As the deterministic trajectory crosses  $q_L$ , the weight in (7.19),

$$\frac{d}{dq}p^{2}(q_{L}) = 2p(q_{L})p'(q_{L}) = -2mV'(q_{L}), \qquad (7.21)$$

is finite, and  $S''(q^*) = p'(q^*) < 0$  for any point in the lower left quadrant, including  $(q_A, p_A)$ . Hence, the phase loss in (7.19) is  $-\frac{\pi}{4}$ . To go back from the *p* to the *q* representation, just turn figure 7.2 quarter-turn anticlockwise. Everything is the same if you replace  $(q, p) \rightarrow (-p, q)$ ; so, without much ado we get the semiclassical wave function at the point  $(q_B, p_B)$ ,

$$\psi_{sc}(q) = \frac{e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(\tilde{S}(p^*) + qp^*) - \frac{i\pi}{4}}}{|q^*(p^*)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \widetilde{\psi}_{sc}(p^*) = \frac{C}{|p(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(q) - \frac{i\pi}{2}}.$$
(7.22)

The extra  $|p'(q^*)|^{1/2}$  weight in (7.19) is cancelled by the  $|q'(p^*)|^{1/2}$  term, by the Legendre relation (7.20).

The message is that going through a smooth potential turning point the WKB wave function phase slips by  $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ . This is equally true for the right and the left turning points, as can be seen by rotating figure 7.2 by 180°, and flipping coordinates  $(q, p) \rightarrow (-q, -p)$ . While a turning point is not an invariant concept (for a sufficiently short trajectory segment, it can be undone by a 45° turn), for a complete period (q, p) = (q', p') the total phase slip is always  $-2 \cdot \pi/2$ , as a loop always has m = 2 turning points. <sup>10</sup>

The *WKB quantization condition* follows by demanding that the wave function computed after a complete period be single-valued. With the normalization (7.8), we obtain

$$\psi(q') = \psi(q) = \left|\frac{p(q')}{p(q)}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i(\frac{1}{\hbar} \oint p(q)dq - \pi)} \psi(q').$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Niall: It is also possible to have  $+\pi/2$  phase slips if the trajectory plotted in *p*-*q* space has concave dimples and is not purely convex. In that case S'' > 0 although it may not be very pedagogical to get into that... PC: forget this comment unless there is an example of interest.

by the 1-dof trajectory.

The prefactor is 1 by the periodic orbit condition q = q', so the phase must be a multiple of  $2\pi$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \oint p(q)dq = 2\pi \left(n + \frac{m}{4}\right),\tag{7.23}$$

where *m* is the number of turning points along the trajectory - for this 1-dof problem, m = 2.

The action integral in (7.23) is the area (see figure 7.3) enclosed by the classical phase space loop of figure 7.2, and the quantization condition says that eigenenergies correspond to loops whose action is an integer multiple of the unit quantum of action, Planck's constant  $\hbar$ . The extra topological phase, which, although it had been discovered many times in centuries past, had to wait for its most recent quantum chaotic (re)birth until the 1970's. Despite its derivation in a noninvariant coordinate frame, the final result involves only canonically invariant deterministic quantities, the periodic orbit action *S*, and the topological index *m*.

### 7.3.1 Harmonic oscillator quantization

Let us check the WKB quantization for one case (the only case?) whose quantum mechanics we fully understand: the harmonic oscillator

$$E = \frac{1}{2m} \left( p^2 + (m\omega q)^2 \right) \,.$$

The loop in figure 7.2 is now a circle in the  $(m\omega q, p)$  plane, the action is its area  $S = 2\pi E/\omega$ , and the spectrum in the WKB approximation

$$E_n = \hbar\omega(n+1/2) \tag{7.24}$$

turns out to be the *exact* harmonic oscillator spectrum. The stationary phase condition (7.18) keeps V(q) accurate to order  $q^2$ , which in this case is the whole answer (but we were simply lucky, really). For many 1-dof problems the WKB spectrum turns out to be very accurate all the way down to the ground state. Surprisingly accurate, if one interprets dropping the  $\hbar^2$  term in (7.5) as a short wavelength approximation.

# 7.4 Beyond the quadratic saddle point

We showed, with a bit of Fresnel/Maslov voodoo, that in a smoothly varying potential the phase of the WKB wave function slips by a  $\pi/2$  for each turning point.

appendix ??





99

**Figure 7.4:** Airy function *Ai*(*q*).

This  $\pi/2$  came from a  $\sqrt{i}$  in the Fresnel integral (7.16), one such factor for every time we switched representation from the configuration space to the momentum space, or back. Good, but what does this mean?

<sup>11</sup> The stationary phase approximation (7.14) fails whenever  $\Phi''(x) = 0$ , or, in our the WKB ansatz (7.18), whenever the momentum p'(q) = S''(q) vanishes. <sup>12</sup> In that case we have to go beyond the quadratic approximation (7.15) to the first nonvanishing term in the Taylor expansion of the exponent. If  $\Phi'''(x_0) \neq 0$ , then

$$I \approx A(x_0) e^{is\Phi(x_0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{is\Phi'''(x_0)\frac{(x-x_0)^3}{6}} \,. \tag{7.25}$$

<sup>13</sup> Airy functions can be represented by integrals of the form

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \, e^{i(xy - \frac{y^3}{3})} \,. \tag{7.26}$$

With a bit of Fresnel/Maslov voodoo we have shown that at each turning point a WKB wave function loses a bit of phase. Derivations of the WKB quantization condition given in standard quantum mechanics textbooks rely on expanding the potential close to the turning point

$$V(q) = V(q_0) + (q - q_0)V'(q_0) + \cdots,$$

solving the Airy equation (with  $V'(q_0) \rightarrow z$  after appropriate rescalings),

 $\psi^{\prime\prime} = z\psi, \qquad (7.27)$ 

and matching the oscillatory and the exponentially decaying "forbidden" region wave function pieces by means of the *WKB connection formulas*. That requires staring at Airy functions (see (7.4)) and learning about their asymptotics - a challenge that we will have to eventually overcome, in order to incorporate diffraction phenomena into semiclassical quantization.

2) what does the wave function look like? 3) generically useful when Gaussian approximations fail

The physical origin of the topological phase is illustrated by the shape of the Airy function, figure 7.4. For a potential with a finite slope V'(q) the wave function penetrates into the forbidden region, and accommodates a bit more of a stationary wavelength then what one would expect from the deterministic trajectory alone. For infinite walls (i.e., billiards) a different argument applies: the wave function must vanish at the wall, and the phase slip due to a specular reflection is  $-\pi$ , rather than  $-\pi/2$ .

chapter ??

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Predrag: this paragraph is nonsense?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Predrag: RECHECK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Predrag: move to later on??

### Résumé

The WKB ansatz wave function for 1-degree of freedom problems fails at the turning points of the deterministic trajectory. While in the *q*-representation the WKB ansatz at a turning point is singular, along the *p* direction the deterministic trajectory in the same neighborhood is smooth, as for any smooth bound potential the classical motion is topologically a circle around the origin in the (q, p) space. The simplest way to deal with such singularities is as follows; follow the deterministic trajectory in *q*-space until the WKB approximation fails close to the turning point; then insert  $\int dp |p\rangle \langle p|$  and follow the deterministic trajectory in the *p*-space until you encounter the next *p*-space turning point; go back to the *q*-space representation, an so on. Each matching involves a Fresnel integral, yielding an extra  $e^{-i\pi/4}$  phase shift, for a total of  $e^{-i\pi}$  phase shift for a full period of a semiclassical particle moving in a soft potential. The condition that the wave-function be single-valued then leads to the 1-dimensional WKB quantization, and its lucky cousin, the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization.

Alternatively, one can linearize the potential around the turning point a,  $V(q) = V(a) + (q - a)V'(a) + \cdots$ , and solve the quantum mechanical constant linear potential V(q) = qF problem exactly, in terms of an Airy function. An approximate wave function is then patched together from an Airy function at each turning point, and the WKB ansatz wave-function segments in-between via the WKB connection formulas. The single-valuedness condition again yields the 1-dimensional WKB quantization. This a bit more work than tracking the deterministic trajectory in the full phase space, but it gives us a better feeling for shapes of quantum eigenfunctions, and exemplifies the general strategy for dealing with other singularities, such as wedges, bifurcation points, creeping and tunneling: patch together the WKB segments by means of exact QM solutions to local approximations to singular points.

then instead of doing an integral over  $e^{iq^2S''/2}$ , one has to keep the cubic term, and do an integral of form  $\int dp e^{i(p^3/3-qp)}$ . As this integral has no simple analytic solution, it has a name: Airy function.<sup>14</sup>

### Commentary

**Remark 7.1.** Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization. Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition [3] was the key result of the old quantum theory, in which the electron trajectories were purely deterministically. They were lucky - the symmetries of the Kepler problem work out in such a way that the total topological index m = 4 amount effectively to numbering the energy levels starting with n = 1. They were unlucky - because the hydrogen m = 4 masked the topological index, they could never get the helium spectrum right - the semiclassical calculation had to wait for until 1980, when Leopold and Percival [1] added the topological indices. <sup>15</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Niall: In (??) referring to a formula that is yet to come. That might be confusing for readers. <sup>15</sup>Predrag: add references [2] to Maslov trick

- [1] J. G. Leopold and I. Percival, "The semiclassical two-electron atom and the old quantum theory", J. Phys. B **13**, 1037 (1980).
- [2] V. P. Maslov, *Théorie des perturbations et méthodes asymptotiques* (Dunod, 1972).
- [3] A. Sommerfeld, *Atombau und Spektrallinien* (Deutsch, Thun, Frankfurt/M, 1919).

### Exercises

7.1. WKB ansatz.

Try to show that no other ansatz other than

 $\psi(q,t) = A(q,t) \, e^{i R(q,t)/\hbar}$ 

gives a meaningful definition of the momentum in the  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  limit. <sup>16</sup>

7.2. Fresnel integral. Derive the Fresnel integral

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = \sqrt{ia} = |a|^{1/2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{a}{|a|}}.$$

- 7.3. Sterling formula for *n*!. Compute an approximate value of *n*! for large *n* using the stationary phase approximation. Hint:  $n! = \int_0^\infty dt t^n e^{-t}$ .<sup>17</sup>
- 7.4. Airy function for large arguments. Important contributions as stationary phase points may arise from extremal points where the first non-zero term in a Taylor expansion of the phase is of third or higher order. Such situations occur, for example, at bifurcation points or in diffraction effects, (such as waves near sharp corners, waves creeping around obstacles, etc.). In such calculations, one meets Airy functions integrals of the form

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \, e^{i(xy - \frac{y^3}{3})} \,. \tag{7.29}$$

Calculate the Airy function Ai(x) using the stationary phase approximation. What happens when considering the limit  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Estimate for which value of x the stationary phase approximation breaks down.

# **Chapter 7 solutions: WKB quantization**

**Solution 7.1 - Fresnel integral.** Start by re-expressing the integral over the infinite half-line:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{0_{\mathbf{R}}}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0.$$
When  $a > 0$ , the contour
$$\oint_{C} dz \ e^{-z^2/2ia} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} + \int_{C'} + \int_{\infty}^{0} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a}} dx = 0$$

$$\int_{C'} = \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{iR^2 e^{i2\phi}/2a} R e^{i\phi} id\phi = 0.$$
(7.30)

So

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a}} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{a} = \sqrt{ia}$$

<sup>16</sup>Gregor: Predrag: It is not quite clear to me what you are up to; give more guidance ...

Fresnel integral Sterling formula stationary!phase approximation Airy!function bifurcation!Airy function approximation Airy!function!at a bifurcation (7.28)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Predrag: Rytis fixed  $t^{n-1} \rightarrow t^n$ 

In the a < 0 case take the contour

$$\begin{split} \oint_C dz \ e^{-z^2/2ia} &= \int_0^\infty dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} + \int_{C'} + \int_\infty^0 e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2a}} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \int_0^\infty dx \ e^{\frac{x^2}{2a}} = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Again

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_0^\infty dx \; e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ta}} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{|a|},$$

and, as one should have perhaps intuited by analyticity arguments, for either sign of a we have the same Gaussian integral formula

₽⁄4

C'

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = |a|^{1/2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{a}{|a|}} = \sqrt{ia} \,.$$

The vanishing of the C' contour segment (7.30) can be proven as follows: Substitute  $z = Re^{i\phi}$  into the integral

$$I_{R} = \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{iR^{2}e^{i2\phi}/2a} Re^{i\phi} id\phi = \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{iR^{2}(\cos 2\phi + i\sin 2\phi)/2a} Re^{i\phi} id\phi.$$

Then

$$|I_R| \le R \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-R^2 \sin 2\phi/2a} d\phi = \frac{R}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-R^2 \sin \theta/2a} d\theta.$$

In the range  $[0, \pi/2]$  we can replace  $\frac{2}{\pi}\theta \leq \sin\theta$ , obtain a bound

$$|I_R| \leq \frac{R}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-R^2 \theta/\pi a} d\theta = \frac{R}{2} \frac{1 - e^{-R^2/2a}}{R^2/a\pi} \,,$$

so

 $\lim_{R\to\infty}|I_R|=0\,.$ 

(Bo Li)

# **Chapter 8**

# Spin

If I had had more time, I would have written less — Blaise Pascal, a remark made to a correspondent

# 8.1 Dirac Lagrangian

In a 3-semester QFT course we would study and understand in detail Weyl, Majorana and Dirac spinors. Here our focus is much narrower - get the tools needed to do one fundamental QED calculation that establishes the theory as a predictive description of observed quantum effects in interaction of matter and electromagnetic fields. For that we need the relativistically correct description of the free electron propagator.

I find these and these notes concise and non-nonsense discussion. The first thing to understand, perhaps unfamiliar to a student of non-relativistic quantum mechanics, is that the signature of the Minkowsky metric makes Lorentz transformations not unitary, and thus

(complex conjugate transpose spinor) × (complex spinor)

is *not* a scalar under Lorentz transformation, and thus *not* the correct definition of the "length" of the 4-component complex spinor. One has to use the appropriate Minkowsky metric on the spinor space as well.

The other deep thing to understand is that spinor fields are anticommuting, Grassmanian numbers. My own understanding is that such fields are imposing constraints ("negative dimensions"), in this case the *Pauli exclusion principle* that two or more identical fermions (particles with half-integer spin) cannot occupy the same quantum state, the principle that (almost literally) makes the world go round. As this will not impact our one-loop calculation, we will not discuss it further here.

Up to date version of these notes is P. Cvitanović [1] *Field theory*, chapter 7. *Spin*, yours for a click here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Predrag: 2018-10-27 Using Vadim Kaplunovsky. Also possibly of interest: arXiv:hep-th/9512151, arXiv:hep-th/9608092, arXiv:hep-th/0102067, arXiv:hep-th/0108067, and so on...

### 8.2. EXERCISES

## References

Dirac! $\gamma$  matrices  $\gamma$  matrices!Dirac

[1] P. Cvitanović, *Field Theory*, Notes prepared by E. Gyldenkerne (Nordita, Copenhagen, 1983).

# 8.2 Exercises

- 8.1. Dirac's  $\gamma$  matrices.
  - (a) Verify

$$[S^{\kappa\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu}] = i(g^{\lambda\mu}S^{\kappa\nu} - g^{\lambda\nu}S^{\kappa\mu} - g^{\kappa\mu}S^{\lambda\nu} + g^{\kappa\nu}S^{\lambda\mu}).$$
(8.1)

(b) Verify

$$M^{-1}(L)\gamma^{\mu}M(L) = L^{\mu}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}$$
(8.2)

for  $L = \exp(\theta)$ (i.e.,  $L^{\mu}_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \theta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\theta^{\lambda}_{\nu} + \cdots$ ) and

$$M(L) = \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta}).$$
(8.3)

(c) Calculate

$$\{\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\}, \qquad [\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\kappa}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}], \tag{8.4}$$

and  $[S^{\rho\sigma}, \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}].$ 

(d) Show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\alpha} &= 4 \\
\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &= -2\gamma^{\nu} \\
\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &= 4g^{\mu\nu} \\
\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &= -2\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$
(8.5)

Hint: use  $\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu} = 2g^{\nu\alpha} - \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\alpha}$  repeatedly.

8.2. Continuous Lorentz transformations of spinors. Under continuous Lorentz symmetries, Dirac spinor field  $\Psi(x)$  transforms according to  $\Psi'(x') = M(L)\Psi(x = L^{-1}x')$  where  $M(L = e^{\theta}) = \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta})$ .

In the Dirac representation

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is hermitian, whereas the three  $\gamma^j$  are not. That, and the defining (anti-commutator) relation

$$\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}=\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}+\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}=2\eta^{\mu\nu}I_4$$

is all we need to know, not the explicit forms of  $\gamma^{\mu}$ . As we now check,  $\gamma^{0}$  plays the role of the metric in the spinor space, via the *Dirac conjugate* defined as

$$\overline{\Psi} = \Psi^{\dagger} \gamma^0$$

Dirac! $\gamma$  matrices  $\gamma$  matrices!Dirac

$$S = \overline{\Psi}\Psi, \quad V^{\mu} = \overline{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi, \quad T^{\mu\nu} = \overline{\Psi}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}\Psi.$$

Show that under *continuous* Lorentz symmetries, the *S* transforms as a scalar, the  $V^{\mu}$  as a vector, and the  $T^{\mu\nu}$  as an antisymmetric tensor.

The important thing to note is that due to the non-unitarity of Lorentz transformations the transpose (or a hermitian conjugate) of a Lorentz "rotation" is not its inverse, unlike what happens for 3-dimensional Euclidean rotations. Hence  $\overline{\Psi}$  rather than the  $\Psi^{\dagger}$  that shows up in bilinears of U(*n*, *m*).

8.3. **QED electron-electron-photon 1-loop Feynman-parametric integral.** Write down the QED electron-electron-photon vertex 1-loop Feynman integral in the Feynman-parametric form,

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{(2)}(p',p) = -2ie^2 \iiint_0^1 dx \, dy \, dz \, \delta(x+y+z-1) \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \, [\cdots]$$

8.4. **QED electron-electron-photon 1-loop numerator.** Simplify the gamma matrices in the numerator to the two form factors proportional to  $\gamma^{\mu}$  and  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ .

# Chapter 8 solutions: Spin

#### Solution 8.1 - Dirac's $\gamma$ matrices.

(a) By definition,  $\hat{S}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}] = \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^{\mu} \gamma \nu - g^{\mu\nu})$ . Using this formula,  $[\gamma^{\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu}] = i (g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - g^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^{\mu})$ . Consequently, by Leibniz rule,

.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \gamma^{\kappa} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{\kappa}, S^{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{\lambda} \\ = \gamma^{\kappa} (ig^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - ig^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^{\mu}) + (ig^{\kappa\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - ig^{\kappa\nu} \gamma^{\mu}) \gamma^{\lambda} \\ = ig^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\nu} - ig^{\kappa\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} - ig^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\mu} + ig^{\kappa\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} \\ = ig^{\lambda\mu} (\gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\nu} - g^{\kappa\nu}) - ig^{\kappa\nu} (\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} - g^{\lambda\mu}) \\ - ig^{\lambda\nu} (\gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\mu} - g^{\kappa\mu}) + ig^{\kappa\mu} (\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} - g^{\lambda\nu}) \\ = 2g^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} - 2g^{\kappa\nu} S^{\mu\lambda} - 2g^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} + 2g^{\kappa\mu} S^{\nu\lambda}, \quad (8.6)$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} S^{\kappa\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
$$= ig^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} - ig^{\kappa\nu} S^{\mu\lambda} - ig^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} + ig^{\kappa\mu} S^{\nu\lambda}$$
  
$$= ig^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} + ig^{\kappa\nu} S^{\lambda\mu} - ig^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} - ig^{\kappa\mu} S^{\lambda\nu}.$$
(8.7)

(b) Let  $F = -\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta}$ , thus  $M = e^F$  and  $M^{-1} = e^{-F}$ . We shall use the multiplecommutator formula for the  $e^{-F}\gamma^{\mu}e^{+F}$ , so we begin by evaluating the single commutator

$$[\gamma^{\mu}, F] = -\frac{i}{2} \theta_{\alpha\beta} [\gamma^{\mu}, F] = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\alpha\beta} (g^{\mu\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} - g^{\mu\beta} \gamma^{\alpha})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu}_{\ \beta} \gamma^{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \theta^{\mu}_{\ \alpha} \gamma^{\alpha} = \theta^{\mu}_{\ \nu} \gamma^{\nu}.$$
(8.8)

The multiple commutators follow immediately from this formula,

$$\begin{bmatrix} [\gamma^{\mu}, F], F \end{bmatrix} = \theta^{\mu}_{\ \lambda} \theta^{\lambda}_{\ \nu} \gamma^{\nu}$$
  
$$\begin{bmatrix} [[\gamma^{\mu}, F], F], F \end{bmatrix} = \theta^{\mu}_{\ \lambda} \theta^{\lambda}_{\ \rho} \theta^{\rho}_{\ \nu} \gamma^{\nu}$$
  
$$\cdots = \cdots$$
(8.9)

Consequently,

Dirac! $\gamma$  matrices  $\gamma$  matrices!Dirac

$$M^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}M = e^{-F}\gamma^{\mu}e^{+F} = \gamma^{\mu} + [\gamma^{\mu}, F] + \frac{1}{2}[[\gamma^{\mu}, F], F] + \frac{1}{6}[[[\gamma^{\mu}, F], F], F] + \cdots = \gamma^{\mu} + \theta^{\mu}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\theta^{\lambda}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\theta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\theta^{\lambda}_{\rho}\theta^{\rho}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu} + \cdots = L^{\mu}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}.$$
(8.10)

(c)

$$\begin{cases} \gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \} &= 2g^{\rho\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - 2g^{\rho\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\nu} + 2g^{\rho\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu}, \\ [\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}] &= 2g^{\rho\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - 2g^{\rho\lambda} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} + 2g^{\rho\mu} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\nu} - 2g^{\rho\nu} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu}, \\ [S^{\rho\sigma}, \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}] &= ig^{\sigma\lambda} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} + ig^{\sigma\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{\nu} + ig^{\sigma\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\rho} \\ &- ig^{\rho\lambda} \gamma^{\sigma} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - ig^{\rho\mu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\sigma} \gamma^{\nu} - ig^{\rho\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\sigma}. \end{cases}$$
(8.11)

(d)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha} &=& \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^{\alpha},\gamma^{\beta}\}g_{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta} = 4; \\ \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &=& 2g^{\alpha\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} - \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha} = 2\gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu}(4) = -2\gamma^{\nu}; \\ \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &=& 2g^{\alpha\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} - \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} = 2\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu} - \gamma^{\mu}(-2\gamma^{\nu}) = 2\{\gamma^{\nu},\gamma^{\mu}\} = 4g^{\mu\nu}; \\ \gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} &=& 2g^{\alpha\lambda}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} - \gamma^{\lambda}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma_{\alpha} = 2\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\lambda} - \gamma^{\lambda}(4g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &=& 2(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} - 2g^{\mu\nu})\gamma^{\lambda} = -2\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\lambda}. \end{array}$$

$$(8.12)$$

V. Kaplunovsky

**Solution 8.2 - Continuous Lorentz transformations of spinors.** Under a continuous Lorentz symmetry  $x \mapsto x' = Lx$ , the Dirac spinor field and its conjugate transform according to

$$\Psi'(x') = M(L)\Psi(x = L^{-1}x'), \qquad \overline{\Psi}'(x') = \overline{\Psi}(x = L^{-1}x')M^{-1}(L), \qquad (8.13)$$

hence any bilinear  $\overline{\Psi}\Gamma\Psi$  transforms according to

$$\overline{\Psi}'(x')\Gamma\Psi(x') = \overline{\Psi}(x)\Gamma'\Psi(x) \tag{8.14}$$

where  $\Gamma' = M^{-1}(L)\Gamma M(L)$ . Obviously, for  $\Gamma = 1$ ,  $\Gamma' = M^{-1}M = 1$ . According to previous homeworks, for  $\Gamma = \gamma^{\mu}$ ,  $\Gamma' = M^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}M = L^{\mu}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}$ . Similarly,  $M^{-1}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}M = L^{\mu}_{\alpha}\gamma^{\alpha}L^{\nu}_{\beta}\gamma^{\beta}$  and hence for  $\Gamma = \gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}$ ,  $\Gamma' = L^{\mu}_{\alpha}L^{\nu}_{\beta}\gamma^{[\alpha}\gamma^{\beta]}$ . Consequently,

$$S'(x') = S(x), \qquad V'^{\mu}(x') = L^{\mu}_{\nu}V^{\nu}(x), \qquad T'^{\mu\nu}(x') = L^{\mu}_{\ \alpha}L^{\nu}_{\ \beta}T^{\alpha\beta}(x), \qquad (8.15)$$

which makes S a Lorentz scalar,  $V^{\mu}$  a Lorentz vector, and  $T^{\mu\nu}$  a Lorentz tensor (with two antisymmetric indices).

Solution 8.3 - QED electron-electron-photon 1-loop Feynman-parametric integral. The one-loop correction to the tree-level QED vertex  $\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{\mu}u(p)$  is given by the Feynman-parametric integral

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{(2)}(p',p) = -2ie^2 \iiint_0^1 \int dx \, dy \, dz \, \delta(x+y+z-1) \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \, \frac{\mathcal{N}^{\mu}}{\left[\ell^2 - \Delta + i0\right]^3} \tag{8.16}$$

where

$$\ell = k + xp + yp' \Delta = (xp + yp')^2 = (1 - z)^2 m^2 - xyq^2$$
$$\mathcal{N}^{\mu} = \gamma^{\nu}(k + p' + m)\gamma^{\mu}(k + p + m)\gamma_{\nu}.$$
(8.17)

V. Kaplunovsky

**Solution 8.4 - QED electron-electron-photon 1-loop numerator.** We now simplify the numerator (8.17) of the integral (8.16).

The first step: Get rid of the  $\gamma^{\nu}$  and  $\gamma_{\nu}$  pairs using  $\gamma^{\nu} \phi \gamma_{\nu} = -2 \phi, \gamma^{\nu} \phi \phi \gamma_{\nu} = 4(ab)$  and  $\gamma^{\nu} \phi \phi \phi \gamma_{\nu} = -2 \phi \phi \phi$ , thus

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mu} = -2m^{2}\gamma^{\mu} + 4m(2k+p+p')^{\mu} - 2(k+p)\gamma^{\mu}(k+p').$$
(8.18)

Next, re-express the right hand side in terms of the Feynman's loop momentum  $\ell$  rather than *k* using (8.17); expanding the result in powers of  $\ell$ , we get quadratic, linear and  $\ell$ -independent terms. However, the  $\int d^4 \ell$  integral in (8.16) and the denominator are both even with respect to  $\ell \rightarrow -\ell$ , so the numerator terms which are linear in  $\ell$  do not contribute to the integral because they are odd. Disregarding these terms gives

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mu} \cong -2m^{2}\gamma^{\mu} + 4m(p+p'-2xp-2yp')^{\mu} -2 \not{\ell}\gamma^{\mu} \not{\ell} - 2(\not{p}-x \not{p}-y \not{p}')\gamma^{\mu} (\not{p}'-x \not{p}-y \not{p}') = -2m^{2}\gamma^{\mu} + 4mz(p+p')^{\mu} + 4m(x-y)q^{\mu} - 2 \not{\ell}\gamma^{\mu} \not{\ell} -2 [z \not{p}' + (x-1) \not{\ell}]\gamma^{\mu} [z \not{p} + (1-y) \not{\ell}]$$
(8.19)

where the second equality makes use of p' - p = q and x + y + z = 1.

Now use the fact that the vertex correction  $\Gamma^{\mu}$  — and hence the numerator  $\mathcal{N}^{\mu}$  is always sandwiched between  $\bar{u}(p')$  and u(p). Consequently, on the last line of (8.19) one may replace the p' in the first factor with *m* since  $\bar{u}(p') p' = \bar{u}(p')m$ ; likewise, in the last factor, replace the *p* with *m* since pu(p) = mu(p). Thus, the last line of (8.19) becomes

$$-2[z p' + (x - 1) q] \gamma^{\mu} [z p + (1 - y) q]$$

$$\approx -2[mz - (1 - x) q] \gamma^{\mu} [mz + (1 - y) q]$$

$$= -2z^{2}m^{2}\gamma^{\mu} + 2(1 - x)(1 - y) q\gamma^{\mu}q$$

$$+ 2z(1 - x)m q\gamma^{\mu} - 2z(1 - y)m\gamma^{\mu}q$$

$$\approx -2[z^{2}m^{2} + (1 - x)(1 - y)q^{2}]\gamma^{\mu}$$

$$+ 2z(y - x)mq^{\mu} + 2z(x + y - 2)mi\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}$$

$$(8.20)$$

where the last equality (or rather equivalence in the context of (8.16)) follows from

as well as

$$\gamma^{\mu}q' = q^{\mu} + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}, \qquad q\gamma^{\mu} = q^{\mu} - i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}.$$
(8.22)

Substituting (8.20) into (8.19) and making use of the Gordon identity, leads to

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mu} \cong -2 \, \ell \gamma^{\mu} \ell - 2 \left[ (1 - 4z + z^2) m^2 + (1 - x)(1 - y) q^2 \right] \gamma^{\mu} - 4z(1 - z) \, m^2 \, \frac{i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m} + 2(2 - z)(x - y) \, m q^{\mu} \,.$$
(8.23)

The last term is odd under interchange  $x \leftrightarrow y$  of the two Feynman parameters. Since everything else in the integral (8.16) is symmetric with respect to this interchange, the last term in (8.23) integrates to zero and may be disregarded.

Finally, make use of the Lorentz symmetry of the integral (8.16) over the loop momentum  $\ell$ . Because of this symmetry, the integral of a quadratic term  $\ell^{\alpha} \ell^{\beta}$  should be proportional to the Lorentz invariant  $g^{\alpha\beta}$ , hence in four spacetime dimensions,

$$\ell^{\alpha}\ell^{\beta} \cong \frac{1}{4}\ell^{2}g^{\alpha\beta} \tag{8.24}$$

### Exercises

and therefore

$$\ell \gamma^{\mu} \ell = \ell^{\alpha} \ell^{\beta} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{\beta} \cong \frac{1}{4} \ell^{2} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \ell^{2} \gamma^{\mu}.$$
(8.25)

Applying this to the first term in (8.23) leads to

$$\mathcal{N}^{\mu} \cong \left[\ell^2 - 2(1 - 4z + z^2)m^2 - 2(1 - x)(1 - y)q^2\right]\gamma^{\mu} - 4z(1 - z)m^2\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2m}$$
(8.26)

It does not seem to get any simpler than this.

V. Kaplunovsky

Weyl, H. projection operator diagonalizing matrix matrix!diagonalizing

## **Appendix** A1

# **Group theory**

A1.1 Invariants and reducibility	108
A1.1.1 Projection operators	108
A1.1.2 Irreducible representations	110
References	111
A1.2 *	112

## A1.1 Invariants and reducibility

What follows is a bit dry, so we start with a motivational quote from Hermann Weyl on the "so-called first main theorem of invariant theory": <sup>1</sup>

"All invariants are expressible in terms of a finite number among them. We cannot claim its validity for every group G; rather, it will be our chief task to investigate for each particular group whether a finite integrity basis exists or not; the answer, to be sure, will turn out affirmative in the most important cases."

It is easy to show that any rep of a finite group can be brought to unitary form, and the same is true of all compact Lie groups. Hence, in what follows, we specialize to unitary and hermitian matrices.

#### A1.1.1 Projection operators

For M a hermitian matrix, there exists a diagonalizing unitary matrix C such that



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Predrag: find NB21 ref 16, p. 30

Here  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  are the *r* distinct roots of the minimal *characteristic* (or *secular*) polynomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (\mathbf{M} - \lambda_i \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$
(A1.2)

In the matrix  $C(M - \lambda_2 1)C^{\dagger}$  the eigenvalues corresponding to  $\lambda_2$  are replaced by zeroes:



and so on, so the product over all factors  $(\mathbf{M} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{M} - \lambda_3 \mathbf{1})\dots$ , with exception of the  $(\mathbf{M} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{1})$  factor, has nonzero entries only in the subspace associated with  $\lambda_1$ :

Thus we can associate with each distinct root  $\lambda_i$  a projection operator  $P_i$ ,

$$P_i = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\mathbf{M} - \lambda_j \mathbf{1}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}, \tag{A1.3}$$

which acts as identity on the *i*th subspace, and zero elsewhere. For example, the projection operator onto the  $\lambda_1$  subspace is

$$P_{1} = \mathbf{C}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

The diagonalization matrix C is deployed in the above only as a pedagogical device. The whole point of the projector operator formalism is that we *never* need to carry such explicit diagonalization; all we need are whatever invariant matrices

projection operator orthogonality relation completeness relation **M** we find convenient, the algebraic relations they satisfy, and orthonormality and spectral!decomposition simultaneous observables observables

$$P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} P_j$$
, (no sum on j), (A1.5) observable!simultaneous

and satisfy the completeness relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} P_i = \mathbf{1}.$$
(A1.6)

As tr ( $\mathbf{C}P_i\mathbf{C}^{\dagger}$ ) = tr  $P_i$ , the dimension of the *i*th subspace is given by

$$d_i = \operatorname{tr} P_i \,. \tag{A1.7}$$

It follows from the characteristic equation (A1.2) and the form of the projection operator (A1.3) that  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of **M** on  $P_i$  subspace:

$$\mathbf{M}P_i = \lambda_i P_i$$
, (no sum on *i*). (A1.8)

Hence, any matrix polynomial  $f(\mathbf{M})$  takes the scalar value  $f(\lambda_i)$  on the  $P_i$  subspace

$$f(\mathbf{M})P_i = f(\lambda_i)P_i. \tag{A1.9}$$

This, of course, is the reason why one wants to work with irreducible reps: they reduce matrices and "operators" to pure numbers.

#### A1.1.2 Irreducible representations

Suppose there exist several linearly independent invariant  $[d \times d]$  hermitian matrices  $\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2, \ldots$ , and that we have used  $\mathbf{M}_1$  to decompose the *d*-dimensional vector space  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots$ . Can  $\mathbf{M}_2, \mathbf{M}_3, \ldots$  be used to further decompose  $V_i$ ? Further decomposition is possible if, and only if, the invariant matrices commute:

$$[\mathbf{M}_1, \mathbf{M}_2] = 0, \tag{A1.10}$$

or, equivalently, if projection operators  $P_j$  constructed from  $\mathbf{M}_2$  commute with projection operators  $P_i$  constructed from  $\mathbf{M}_1$ ,

$$P_i P_j = P_j P_i. \tag{A1.11}$$

Usually the simplest choices of independent invariant matrices do not commute. In that case, the projection operators  $P_i$  constructed from  $\mathbf{M}_1$  can be used to project commuting pieces of  $\mathbf{M}_2$ :

$$\mathbf{M}_2^{(i)} = P_i \mathbf{M}_2 P_i, \qquad (\text{no sum on } i).$$

That  $\mathbf{M}_{2}^{(i)}$  commutes with  $\mathbf{M}_{1}$  follows from the orthogonality of  $P_{i}$ :

$$[\mathbf{M}_{2}^{(i)}, \mathbf{M}_{1}] = \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} [\mathbf{M}_{2}^{(i)}, P_{j}] = 0.$$
(A1.12)

appeGroupThe - 18sep2006

Now the characteristic equation for  $\mathbf{M}_2^{(i)}$  (if nontrivial) can be used to decompose  $V_i$  subspace.

An invariant matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  induces a decomposition only if its diagonalized form (A1.1) has more than one distinct eigenvalue; otherwise it is proportional to the unit matrix and commutes trivially with all group elements. A rep is said to be *irreducible* if all invariant matrices that can be constructed are proportional to the unit matrix.

An invariant matrix **M** commutes with group transformations  $[G, \mathbf{M}] = 0$ . Projection operators (A1.3) constructed from **M** are polynomials in **M**, so they also commute with all  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ :

$$[G, P_i] = 0 (A1.13)$$

Hence, a  $[d \times d]$  matrix rep can be written as a direct sum of  $[d_i \times d_i]$  matrix reps:

$$G = \mathbf{1}G\mathbf{1} = \sum_{i,j} P_i G P_j = \sum_i P_i G P_i = \sum_i G_i.$$
 (A1.14)

In the diagonalized rep (A1.4), the matrix **g** has a block diagonal form:

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{C}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mathbf{g}_2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{g} = \sum_i \mathbf{C}^i \mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{C}_i.$$
(A1.15)

The rep  $\mathbf{g}_i$  acts only on the  $d_i$ -dimensional subspace  $V_i$  consisting of vectors  $P_iq$ ,  $q \in V$ . In this way an invariant  $[d \times d]$  hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  with r distinct eigenvalues induces a decomposition of a d-dimensional vector space V into a direct sum of  $d_i$ -dimensional vector subspaces  $V_i$ :

$$V \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M}} V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_r. \tag{A1.16}$$

## References

- -

- [1] N. Bleistein and R. A. Handelsman, *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals* (Dover, New York, 1986).
- [2] Z. Gajić and M. Qureshi, *Lyapunov Matrix Equation in System Stability and Control* (Academic, New York, 1995).
- [3] H. Rome, "A direct solution to the linear variance equation of a timeinvariant linear system", IEEE Trans. Automatic Control 14, 592–593 (1969).

irrep irreducible!decomposition decomposition!irreducible

<sup>2</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Predrag: 2018-08-21 TEMPORARY MISPLACEMENT, FIX!

Gaussian!integral Gaussian!integral, *d*-dimensional matrix!positive definite positive definite matrix

#### Exercises

A1.1. Who ordered  $\sqrt{\pi}$ ? Derive the Gaussian integral

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx\,e^{-\frac{x^2}{2a}}=\sqrt{a}\,,\qquad a>0\,.$$

assuming only that you know to integrate the exponential function  $e^{-x}$ . Hint:  $x^2$  is a radiussquared of something.  $\pi$  is related to the area or circumference of something.

A1.2.  $\frac{d$ -dimensional Gaussian integrals.  $\frac{d}{d}$  Show that the Gaussian integral in d-dimensions is

$$Z[J] = \int d^{d}x \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot x + x^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot J}$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{d/2} |\det M|^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot M \cdot J},$  (A1.17)

where *M* is a real positive definite  $[d \times d]$  matrix, i.e., a matrix with strictly positive eigenvalues, *x* and *J* are *d*-dimensional vectors, and  $(\dots)^{\top}$  denotes the transpose.

This integral you will see over and over in statistical mechanics and quantum field theory: it's called 'free field theory', 'Gaussian model', 'Wick expansion', etc.. This is the starting, 'propagator' term in any perturbation expansion.

Here we require that the real symmetric matrix M in the exponent is strictly positive definite, otherwise the integral is infinite. Negative eigenvalues can be accommodated by taking a contour in the complex plane [1], see exercise A1.4 *Fresnel integral*. Zero eigenvalues require stationary phase approximations that go beyond the Gaussian saddle point approximation, typically to the Airy-function type stationary points, see exercise A1.5 *Airy function for large arguments*.

#### A1.3. Convolution of Gaussians.

(a) Show that the Fourier transform of the convolution

$$[f * g](x) = \int d^d y f(x - y)g(y)$$

corresponds to the product of the Fourier transforms

$$[f * g](x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \, F(k) G(k) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \qquad (A1.18)$$

where

$$F(k) = \int \frac{d^d x}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} f(x) e^{-ik \cdot x}, \quad G(k) = \int \frac{d^d x}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} g(x) e^{-ik \cdot x}.$$

(b) Consider two normalized Gaussians

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{N_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta_1} \cdot x}, \quad N_1 = \sqrt{\det(2\pi\Delta_1)}$$
  

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{N_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta_2} \cdot x}, \quad N_2 = \sqrt{\det(2\pi\Delta_2)}$$
  

$$1 = \int d^d k f(x) = \int d^d k g(x).$$

exerNoise - 25sep2019

Evaluate their Fourier transforms

$$F(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}k^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \Delta_1 \cdot k}, \qquad G(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}k^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \Delta_2 \cdot k}.$$

Show that the convolution of two normalized Gaussians is a normalized Gaussian

$$[f * g](x) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-d/2}}{\sqrt{\det(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2} \cdot x}.$$

In other words, covariances  $\Delta_j$  add up. This is the *d*-dimenional statement of the familiar fact that cumulative error squared is the sum of squares of individual errors. When individual errors are small, and you are adding up a sequence of them in time, you get Brownian motion. If the individual errors are small and added independently to a solution of a deterministic equation, you get Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations.

#### A1.4. Fresnel integral.

(a) Derive the Fresnel integral

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \ e^{-\frac{x^2}{2ia}} = \sqrt{ia} = |a|^{1/2} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{a}{|a|}}$$

Consider the contour integral  $I_R = \int_{C(R)} \exp(iz^2) dz$ , where C(R) is the closed circular sector in the upper half-plane with boundary points 0, R and  $R \exp(i\pi/4)$ . Show that  $I_R = 0$  and that  $\lim_{R\to\infty} \int_{C_1(R)} \exp(iz^2) dz = 0$ , where  $C_1(R)$  is the contour integral along the circular sector from R to  $R \exp(i\pi/4)$ . [Hint: use  $\sin x \ge (2x/\pi)$  on  $0 \le x \le \pi/2$ .] Then, by breaking up the contour C(R) into three components, deduce that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \left( \int_0^R e^{ix^2} dx - e^{i\pi/4} \int_0^R e^{-r^2} dr \right)$$

vanishes, and, from the real integration  $\int_0^\infty \exp(-x^2) dx = \sqrt{\pi}/2$ , deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{ix^2} dx = \mathrm{e}^{i\pi/4} \sqrt{\pi}/2 \,.$$

Now rescale x by real number  $a \neq 0$ , and complete the derivation of the Fresnel integral.

(b) In exercise A1.2 the exponent in the *d*-dimensional Gaussian integrals was real, so the real symmetric matrix *M* in the exponent had to be strictly positive definite. However, in quantum physics one often has to evaluate the *d*-dimensional Fresnel integral

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\int d^d\phi\, e^{-\frac{1}{2i}\phi^\top\cdot M^{-1}\cdot\phi+i\,\phi\cdot J}\,,$$

with a hermitian matrix M. Evaluate it. What are conditions on its spectrum in order that the integral be well defined?

A1.5. Airy function for large arguments. Important contributions as stationary phase woints may arise from extremal points where the first non-zero term in a Taylor expansion of the phase is of third or higher order. Such situations occur, for example, at bifurcation points or in diffraction effects, (such as waves near sharp corners, waves creeping around obstacles, etc.). In such calculations, one meets Airy functions integrals of the form

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \, e^{i(xy - \frac{y^3}{3})} \,. \tag{A1.19}$$

Calculate the Airy function Ai(x) using the stationary phase approximation. What happens when considering the limit  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Estimate for which value of x the stationary phase approximation breaks down.

Fresnel integral Airy!function bifurcation!Airy function approximation Airy!function!at a bifurcation A1.6. Solving the Lyapunov differential equation. equation for a covariance matrix Q(t) is given by

$$\dot{Q} = A Q + Q A^{\top} + \Delta, \tag{A1.20}$$

where  $\{Q, A, \Delta\}$  are  $[d \times d]$  matrices. The superscript  $()^{\top}$  indicates the transpose of the matrix. The stability matrix  $A = A(\phi)$  and the noise covariance matrix  $\Delta = \Delta(\phi)$  are given. They are evaluated on a trajectory  $\phi(t)$ , and thus vary in time,  $A(t) = A(\phi(t))$  and  $\Delta(t) = \Delta(\phi(t))$ . Determine the covariance matrix Q(t) for a given initial condition Q(0), by taking the following steps:

(a) Write the solution in the form

$$Q(t) = J(t)[Q(0) + W(t)]J^{\top}(t),$$

with the Jacobian matrix J(t) satisfying

$$\dot{J}(t) = A(t) J(t), \qquad J(0) = I,$$
(A1.21)

with I the  $[d \times d]$  identity matrix. The Jacobian matrix at time t,

$$J(t) = \hat{T}e^{\int_0^t d\tau A(\tau)}, \qquad (A1.22)$$

where  $\hat{T}$  denotes the 'time-ordering' operation, can be evaluated by integrating (A1.21).

(b) Show that W(t) satisfies

$$\dot{W} = \frac{1}{J} \Delta \frac{1}{J^{\top}}, \qquad W(0) = 0.$$
 (A1.23)

(c) Integrate (A1.20) to obtain

$$Q(t) = J(t) \left[ Q(0) + \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \, \frac{1}{J(\tau)} \, \Delta(\tau) \, \frac{1}{J^{\top}(\tau)} \right] J^{\top}(t) \,. \tag{A1.24}$$

(d) If A(t) commutes with itself throughout the interval  $0 \le \tau \le t$ , the time-ordering operation is redundant, and we have the explicit solution

 $J(t, t') = \exp \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau A(\tau)$ . Show that in this case the solution reduces to

$$Q(t) = J(t) Q(0) J(t)^{\top}$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{t} d\tau' J(t, \tau') \Delta(\tau') J(t, \tau')^{\top}.$$
(A1.25)

(e) It is hard to imagine a time dependent  $A(t) = A(\phi(t))$  that would be commuting. However, in the neighborhood of an equilibrium point  $\phi^*$  one can approximate the stability matrix with its time-independent linearization,  $A = A(\phi^*)$ . Show that in that case (A1.22) reduces to

$$J(t,t')=e^{(t-t')A},$$

and (A1.25) to what?

A1.7. Solving the Lyapunov differential equation. Prove that if *A* is stable, the continuous Lyapunov equation

$$AQ + QA^{\top} = -\Delta < 0.$$

has a solution

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\mathsf{T}}} \,, \tag{A1.26}$$

and that this solution is unique.

(P. Cvitanović)

A1.8. Solving the discrete Lyapunov equation. Prove that if M is contracting, the discrete Lyapunov equation

$$Q - MQM^{\top} = \Delta > 0$$

has a solution

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M^k \Delta M^{k\top}, \qquad (A1.27)$$

and that this solution is unique.

(P. Cvitanović)

A1.9. **Continuous vs. discrete time Lyapunov equation.** Show that the continuous Lyapunov equation solution (suited to a Laplace transform),

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}} \,, \qquad A < 0 \,,$$

is equivalent to the discrete Lyapunov equation solution (suited to a Z-transform),

$$Q = \sum_{k=0}^\infty M^k \bar{\Delta} M^{k \top} \,, \qquad |M| < 1 \,,$$

where

$$M = e^A$$
,  $\bar{\Delta} = \int_0^1 dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}}$ .

Parenthetically, often the notation does not distinguish  $\overline{\Delta}$  from  $\Delta$ . It should. (P. Cvitanović)

A1.10. **Lyapunov differential equation in resolvent form.** Show that the continuous Lyapunov equation solution,

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}} \,, \qquad A < 0 \,,$$

is equivalent to

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{1}{i\omega - A} \Delta \frac{1}{-i\omega - A^{\top}} \,.$$

(P. Cvitanović)

A1.11. **Discrete time Lyapunov equation in the resolvent form.** Show that the continuous Lyapunov equation solution,

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\mathsf{T}}} \,, \qquad A < 0$$

is equivalent to the discrete Lyapunov equation solution in resolvent form,

,

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\omega \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\omega}M} \bar{\Delta} \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\omega}M^{\top}} \,.$$

(P. Cvitanović)

#### A1.12. Noise covariance matrix for a discrete time periodic orbit.

(a) Prove that the covariance matrix at a periodic point  $\phi_a$  on a limit cycle p,

$$Q_a = M_{p,a} Q_a M_{p,a}^{T} + \Delta_{p,a}, \qquad (A1.28)$$

where

$$\Delta_{p,a} = \Delta_a + M_{a-1}\Delta_{a-1}M_{a-1}^{\top} + M_{a-2}^2\Delta_{a-2}(M_{a-2}^2)^{\top} + \dots + M_{a-n_p+1}^{n_p-1}\Delta_{a-n_p+1}(M_{a-n_p+1}^{n_p-1})^{\top}$$
(A1.29)

is the noise accumulated per a single transversal of the periodic orbit,  $M_{p,a} = M_p(\phi_a)$  is the cycle Jacobian matrix evaluated on the periodic point  $\phi_a$ , and we have used the periodic orbit condition  $\phi_{a+n_p} = \phi_a$ .

(b) Derive the analogous formulas for the adjoint Fokker-Planck covariance matrix at a periodic point  $\phi_a$  on a repelling cycle *p*.

A1.13. Lyapunov equation - a sketch. In general, noise is anisotropic, characterized by a symmetric diffusion matrix  $\Delta_{ii}$ ,

$$\delta_D(x) = \frac{1}{N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y - f(x))^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Delta} \cdot (y - f(x))}.$$
(A1.30)

Assume that the eigenvalues of  $\Delta$  are strictly positive, so under one step of noisy dynamics an initial Dirac- $\delta$  localized density distribution is smeared out into a Gaussian ellipsoid whose widths and orientation are controlled by the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\Delta$ . <sup>3</sup> For isotropic noise  $\Delta = 2D1$ , where *D* is the diffusion coefficient. The normalization constant *N* is fixed by  $\int [dx]^d \delta_D(x) = 1$ .

Consider a smooth map

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) + \xi_n \tag{A1.31}$$

with a fixed point at  $x^* = 0$ . In a neighborhood  $x = x^* + z$  we approximate the map by the linear map (Jacobian matrix)  $M = \partial f(x^*)$  with the fixed point at x = 0:

$$z_{n+1} = M z_n \tag{A1.32}$$

acting on a Gaussian density distribution, also centered on x = 0:

$$\rho(x,n) = \frac{1}{N_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^{\top} \cdot \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot x} \,. \tag{A1.33}$$

 $N_n$  is a normalization constant, fixed by  $\int dx^d \rho(x, n) = 1$ . If the eigenvalues of  $Q_n$  are distinct, the distribution is a "cigar-shaped" ellipsoid, with eigenvectors of  $Q_n$  giving the orientation of various axes.

The convolution of two Gaussian functions is also a Gaussian, whose standard deviation is the geometric mean of the two standard deviations,

$$c = \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2}.$$

As a convolution of a Gaussian with a Gaussian is again a Gaussian, the noisy evolution operator (??) maps the ellipsoid  $\rho(x, n)$  into a ellipsoid  $\rho(x, n + 1)$  one time step later

$$\rho(z, n+1) = \frac{1}{NC_n} \int dx^d \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}[(z-Mx)^\top \frac{1}{\Delta}(z-Mx)+x^\top \cdot \frac{1}{Q_n} \cdot x]}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{C_{n+1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^\top \cdot \frac{1}{Q_{n+1}} \cdot z}.$  (A1.34)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Predrag: include Kadanoff book argument why eigs are non-negative

Complete the square, integrate over x, get the initial distribution squished by the forwsain integral spread out by the noise:

$$Q_{n+1} = MQ_n M^\top + \Delta \, .$$

This says the two variances (the noise matrix  $\Delta$  and the deterministically transported  $Q_n \rightarrow MQ_n M^{\top}$ ) add up as Gaussian variances, i.e., sums of squares.

If *M* has all eigenvalues strictly contracting,  $|\Lambda_j| < 1$ , any initial compact measure (not only a initial distribution  $\rho_1$  of Gaussian form) converges to the invariant natural measure  $\rho_0$  whose variance satisfies the fixed point condition <sup>4</sup>

$$Q = MQM^{\top} + \Delta$$
  
=  $\Delta + M\Delta M^{\top} + M^{2}\Delta(M^{\top})^{2} + M^{3}\Delta(M^{\top})^{3} + \cdots$   
=  $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} M^{n}\Delta(M^{\top})^{m}\delta_{m,n}$  (A1.35)

This is a convergent series as long as all eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix are strictly contracting. To get rid of the  $\delta_{m,n}$ , use some integral representation of Kronecker  $\delta$  (which one is natural?). For example, with a Fourier representation, get

$$\delta_{mn} = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta(m-n)} ,$$

$$Q = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \sum_{m,n} (e^{-i\theta} M)^n \Delta (e^{i\theta} M^{\top})^m$$

$$= \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\mathbf{1} - e^{-i\theta} M} \Delta \frac{1}{\mathbf{1} - e^{i\theta} M^{\top}}$$
(A1.36)

gives an expression for the fixed point Q in d dimensions. But how do you evaluate it? Remember, we do not really need Q, we probably need its eigenvalues only.<sup>5</sup>

For d = 1 the width of the natural measure is

$$Q = \frac{2D}{1 - |\Lambda|^2},$$
 (A1.37)

a balance between exponential contraction by  $\Lambda$  and diffusive smearing out by 2D. For strongly contracting  $\Lambda$ , the width is due to the noise only. As  $|\Lambda| \rightarrow 1$  the width diverges, as the trajectories are no longer confined, but diffuse by Brownian motion.

## **Chapter ?? solutions: Noise**

**Solution A1.1 - Who ordered**  $\sqrt{\pi}$ ? The square of the desired integral can be written as a 2-dimensional integral in a z = (x, y) plane

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \, \mathrm{e}^{-z^2/2a} = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx \, \mathrm{e}^{-x^2/2a} \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \, \mathrm{e}^{-y^2/2a} \right), \tag{A1.38}$$

and evaluated in polar coordinates,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} dr \oint d\theta \, r \, \mathrm{e}^{-r^2/2a} = 2\pi a \int_0^{+\infty} dr \, (-\partial_r) \, \mathrm{e}^{-r^2/2a} = 2\pi a \, \left[\mathrm{e}^{-x}\right]_{+\infty}^0 \, ,$$

yielding

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \, \mathrm{e}^{-z^2/2a} = \sqrt{2\pi a} \,. \tag{A1.39}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Predrag: introduce expansion in terms of derivatives of Dirac  $\delta$ 's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Predrag: not sure this is true

(Chris Marcotte)

**Solution A1.2** - *d*-dimensional Gaussian integrals. An exponential with a quadratic polynomial argument can be recast as a Gaussian under square completion,

$$\int dz \, \mathrm{e}^{-az^2/2+bz} = \int dz \, \mathrm{e}^{-a(z-b/a)^2/2} \mathrm{e}^{b^2/2a} = \mathrm{e}^{b^2/2a} \int dy \, \mathrm{e}^{-ay^2/2} = \sqrt{2\pi/a} \, \mathrm{e}^{b^2/2a} \,. \tag{A1.40}$$

Given symmetric  $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$  real and strictly positive-definite, we may use an orthogonal transformation to find the diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{O}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{O}$ , by insertion of 1 "where profitable".

$$\int d^d \phi \,\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \cdot \phi + \phi \cdot J} = \int d^d \varphi \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{-1}\varphi + \varphi^{\dagger} L} \tag{A1.41}$$

In the diagonalized eigenbasis  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\varphi_n = A_{nn}^{-1}\varphi_n$ .

$$\prod_{n=1}^{d} \int d\varphi_n \, \mathrm{e}^{a_{nn}^{-1} \varphi_n^2 / 2 + \varphi_n^{\dagger} L_n} = \prod_{n=1}^{d} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a_{nn}^{-1}}} \, \mathrm{e}^{L_n^2 / 2 a_{nn}^{-1}}$$

The determinant  $(\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{M}))$ , and the inner product  $(\langle \mathbf{O}u, \mathbf{O}v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle)$  are is invariant under orthogonal transformation **O**, so

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int d^d \phi \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{M}^{-1} \cdot \phi + \phi \cdot J} = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}} \, \mathrm{e}^{L^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} L/2} = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{M}} \, \mathrm{e}^{J^{\dagger} \mathbf{M} J/2} \,, \tag{A1.42}$$

where  $L = \mathbf{O}J$ .

(Chris Marcotte)

**Solution A1.2** - *d*-dimensional Gaussian integrals. Make a change of variables y = Ax such that  $A^T M^{-1}A = \text{Id}$ . Then

$$I = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int dy^d \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_i (y_i^2 - 2(JA)_i y_i)] |\det A|$$

Complete each term under in the sum in the exponent to a full square

$$y_i^2 - 2(JA)_i y_i = (y_i - (JA)_i)^2 - (JA)_i^2$$

and shift the origin of integration to JA/2, so that

$$I = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp(\frac{1}{2} J^T A A^T J) |\det A| \int dy^d \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_i y_i^2].$$

Note that  $AA^T M^{-1}AA^T = AA^T$ , therefore  $AA^T = M$  and  $|\det A| = \sqrt{\det M}$ . The remaining integral is equal to a Gaussian integral raised to the *d*th power, i.e.,  $(2\pi)^{d/2}$ . Hence:

$$I = \sqrt{\det M} \exp[\frac{1}{2}J^T M J]$$

(R. Paškauskas)

**Solution A1.3 - Errors add up as sums of squares.** In one dimension the Fourier transform of f over  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}[f](k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\Omega} dx f(x) e^{-ikx}$$
(A1.43)

and the transform of the convolution f \* g is

$$\mathcal{F}[f * g] = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int d^d x \int d^d y f(x - y) g(y) e^{-ikx}$$
  
=  $(2\pi)^{-d/2} \int d^d x \int d^d y f(x - y) g(y) e^{-ik(x-y)} e^{-iky}$   
=  $(2\pi)^{-d/2} \int d^d q e^{-ikq} f(q) \int d^d y e^{-iky} g(y)$   
=  $(2\pi)^{-d/2} (2\pi)^{+d/2} F(k) (2\pi)^{+d/2} G(k)$ 

soluNoise - 25sep2019

equations

F(k) and G(k) follow from (A1.43):

$$F(k) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int d^d x f(x) e^{-ikx} = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sqrt{\det(\Delta_1)} e^{k^{\dagger} \Delta_1 k/2}$$
  
$$\Rightarrow F(k) = \sqrt{\det(\Delta_1)} e^{k^{\dagger} \Delta_1 k/2}, \qquad \Rightarrow G(k) = \sqrt{\det(\Delta_2)} e^{k^{\dagger} \Delta_2 k/2}$$

Substituting F(k) and G(k) into the inverse transform of  $\mathcal{F}[f * g]$ :

$$f * g \equiv (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sqrt{\det(\Delta_1)\det(\Delta_2)} \int dk \, e^{k^{\dagger} \Delta_1 k/2 + k^{\dagger} \Delta_2 k/2 + ikx}$$
$$f * g = \sqrt{\frac{\det(\Delta_1)\det(\Delta_2)}{\det(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)}} e^{-x^{\dagger}(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2)^{-1}x/2}$$

(Chris Marcotte)

**Solution A1.4 - Fresnel integral.** We break the contour integral into three parts,  $z_1(x) = x, z_2(\theta) = R e^{i\theta}, z_3(r) = r e^{i\pi/4}$ :

$$\oint_C e^{iz^2} dz = \int_0^R e^{ix^2} dx + \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{i(Re^{i\theta})^2} Rie^{i\theta} d\theta + \int_R^0 e^{i(re^{i\pi/4})^2} e^{i\pi/4} dr$$

$$= \int_0^R e^{ix^2} dx + iR \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{i(R^2 e^{i2\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta + \int_R^0 e^{ir^2(e^{i\pi/2})} e^{i\pi/4} dr$$

$$= \int_0^R e^{ix^2} dx + iR \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{i(R^2 e^{i2\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta - e^{i\pi/4} \int_0^R e^{-r^2} dr \quad (A1.44)$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{(there are no residues in this region).}$$

We now take a closer look at the integral  $\int_{0}^{\pi/4} e^{i(R^2 e^{i2\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta$ .

$$\int_0^{\pi/4} e^{i(R^2 e^{i2\theta})} e^{i\theta} \, d\theta = \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{i(R^2 \cos(2\theta))} e^{-(R^2 \sin(2\theta))} e^{i\theta} \, d\theta$$

Both  $e^{i(R^2 \cos(2\theta))}$  and  $e^{i\theta}$  are always oscillatory terms while  $e^{-(R^2 \sin(2\theta))}$  is decaying with R. Noting that  $e^{-(R^2 \sin(2\theta))} = 0$  when  $R \to \infty$ , we assume that this integral also goes to 0 when  $R \to \infty$ . Substituting these back into (A1.44) and taking  $R \to \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \left( \int_0^R e^{ix^2} dx - e^{i\pi/4} \int_0^R e^{-r^2} dr \right) = 0.$$

Taking the limit and rearranging we have:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{ix^2} dx = e^{i\pi/4} \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} dr = e^{i\pi/4} \sqrt{\pi}/2 \,.$$

Arthur Lin

**Solution A1.5 - Airy function for large arguments.** We start with the integral form of the Airy function:

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(xy+y^3/3)} \, dy \, .$$

Rewrite the Airy function as a contour integral

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C e^{(xt-t^3/3)} dt,$$

where t = iy is our new parameter, thus our contour goes from  $-i\infty$  to  $i\infty$ . Apply the steepest decent method by first finding the derivatives of f(t):

$$f(t) = tx - t^{3}/3$$
  

$$f'(t) = x - t^{2}$$
  

$$f''(t) = -2t$$
(A1.45)

From the first derivative we find that the saddle points  $t_s$  are at  $\pm \sqrt{x}$ , which means that we Lyapunov!equation have to treat two cases separately. If x > 0,  $t_s$  is real and if x < 0,  $t_s$  is purely imaginary.

(i) If x > 0: our contour would only pass through one saddle point, which we choose to be  $t_s = -\sqrt{x}$ , since going from  $-i\infty$  to  $i\infty$  naturally passes through  $-\sqrt{x}$  at steepest decent. Then, our values of  $f(t_s)$  and its derivatives at  $f(t_s)$  are:

$$f(t_s) = -x^{\frac{3}{2}} + x^{\frac{3}{2}}/3 = -\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
  

$$f'(t_s) = 0$$
  

$$f''(t_s) = 2x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  

$$f'''(t_s) = -2$$
(A1.46)

After a change of variable  $(t = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + ix^{-\frac{1}{4}}\tau)$ , the Taylor expansion of f(t) is  $-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}} - \tau^2 - \frac{i}{3}x^{-\frac{3}{4}}\tau^3$ . We then separate terms of the exponent of f(t) and Taylor expand the last term (under the condition that  $x^{-\frac{3}{4}}$  is small or x is large):

$$Ai(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}})} e^{-\tau^2} e^{(-\frac{i}{3}x^{-\frac{3}{4}}\tau^3)} ix^{-\frac{1}{4}} d\tau$$
  
$$= \frac{e^{(-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}})}}{2\pi x^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\tau^2} (1 - \frac{i}{3}x^{-\frac{3}{4}}\tau^3 - \frac{1}{18}x^{-\frac{3}{2}}\tau^6 + \cdots) d\tau$$

All the odd terms in the Taylor expansion equal zero, and the integral becomes:

$$Ai(x > 0) = \frac{e^{(-\frac{2}{3}x^{\frac{3}{2}})}}{2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}}}(1 - \frac{5}{48}x^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \cdots)$$

(ii) If x < 0:  $t_s = \pm \sqrt{x} = \pm i \sqrt{-x}$ . The values of  $f(t_s)$  and its derivatives at  $f(t_s)$  are:

$$f(t_s) = \pm i \frac{2}{3} (-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
  

$$f'(t_s) = 0$$
  

$$f''(t_s) = \pm 2i(-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
  

$$f'''(t_s) = -2$$
(A1.47)

The Taylor expansion is now  $f(x) = \pm i\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}} \mp 2i(-x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t \mp i\sqrt{-x})^2 - 2(t \mp i\sqrt{-x})^3$ . Following the same steps as the case x > 0, we arrive at the first order result:

$$Ai(x < 0)_{t_{s_{\pm}}} = \frac{e^{\pm i\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{2\pi\sqrt{\pm i\sqrt{-x}}} \int_{C} e^{-t^{2}} dt = \frac{e^{\pm i\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\pm i\sqrt{-x}}},$$

for each saddle point. The final value of the integral is the sum of the two saddle points:

$$Ai(x<0) = \frac{e^{i\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{i\sqrt{-x}}} + \frac{e^{-i\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{-i\sqrt{-x}}} = \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{3}(-x)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{\pi}(-x)^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Conclusion: As we can see from the derivation, our approximation depends on  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and if x = 0 we get the value of  $\infty$  for the integrals.

Arthur Lin

**Solution A1.6 - Lyapunov equation.** The solution of the continuous Lyapunov equation  $\dot{Q}(t) = A Q + Q A^{T} + \Delta$ , is given by the initial covariance Q(0) transported by the

linearized flow, plus the noise experienced at any intermediate time, transported by the linearized flow,

$$Q(t) = J(t, t_0) Q(0) J(t, t_0)^{\top} + \int_{t_0}^t d\tau J(t, \tau) \Delta(\tau) J(t, \tau)^{\top},$$

That this is indeed a solution is verified by differentiating both sides and using the equation for Jacobian matrix J(t) in terms of the stability matrix A,

$$\dot{J}(t) = A(t) J(t), \qquad J(0) = 1,$$

and **1** is the  $[d \times d]$  identity matrix. The solution exists for any finite time, as long as  $\Delta(\tau)$  and  $A(\tau)$  are matrices of bounded functions; the  $t \to \infty$  limit, independent of the initial Q(0), exists if A is strictly contracting, A < 0.

If the flow is evaluated in the linearized neighborhood of an equilibrium point  $\phi_q$ , the stability matrix *A* is a time-independent constant matrix, and the Jacobian matrix is simply  $J(t, t_0) = \exp((t - t_0)A)$ . In that case it is possible to write an analytic, explicit formula [3] for Q(t) in terms of stability exponents (eigenvalues of *A*). See Gajić and M. Qureshi [2] *Differential Lyapunov Equation*, Chapter 4 for this solution, and a discussion of numerical methods to solve time-dependent Lyapunov equations.

**Solution A1.7 - Solving the Lyapunov differential equation.** Substitute the expression for Q into the continuous Lyapunov equation (here  $\Delta$  and A are constant, time independent matrices),

$$-\Delta = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left(Ae^{tA}\Delta e^{tA^{\top}} + e^{tA}\Delta e^{tA^{\top}}A^{\top}\right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{tA}\Delta e^{tA^{\top}}\right) = e^{tA}\Delta e^{tA^{\top}}\Big]_{0}^{\infty}.$$
(A1.48)

The upper limit only vanishes if A is stable.

Suppose we have two solutions to the Lyapunov equation:

$$AQ_1 = Q_1 A^{\top} = -\Delta, \qquad AQ_2 = Q_2 A^{\top} = -\Delta.$$

Subtract these, multiply on the left by  $e^{tA}$  and on the right by  $e^{tA^{\top}}$ ,

$$A(Q_1 - Q_2) + (Q_1 - Q_2)A^{\top} = 0,$$
  

$$e^{tA}(A(Q_1 - Q_2) + (Q_1 - Q_2)A^{\top})e^{tA^{\top}} = \frac{d}{dt}(e^{tA}(Q_1 - Q_2)e^{tA^{\top}}) = 0$$
  
so  $e^{tA}(Q_1 - Q_2)e^{tA^{\top}} = \text{constant}.$  (A1.49)

If we look at the  $t \to \infty$  limit, we see that the constant must be 0, so  $Q_1 = Q_2$ . This proves uniqueness. (J.M. Heninger)

Solution A1.8 - Solving the discrete Lyapunov equation. Substitute the expression for Q into the discrete Lyapunov equation,

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( M^k \Delta M^{k\top} - M^{k+1} \Delta M^{(k+1)\top} \right)$$
$$= \Delta + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M^k \Delta M^{k\top} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M^k \Delta M^{k\top}.$$
(A1.50)

The sums converge only if *M* is contracting.

Suppose we have two solutions to the Lyapunov equation:

$$Q_1 - MQ_1M^{\top} = \Delta > 0, \qquad Q_2 - MQ_2M^{\top} = \Delta > 0.$$

Subtract these

$$(Q_1 - Q_2) - M(Q_1 - Q_2)M^{\top} = 0,$$

multiply on the left by  $M^k$  and on the right by  $M^{k\top}$ ,

$$M^k(Q_1-Q_2)M^{k\top}-M^{k+1}(Q_1-Q_2)M^{(k+1)\top}=0\,,$$

and sum over k,

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( M^{k} (Q_{1} - Q_{2}) M^{k\top} - M^{k+1} (Q_{1} - Q_{2}) M^{(k+1)\top} \right)$$
  
$$= Q_{1} - Q_{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( M^{k} (Q_{1} - Q_{2}) M^{k\top} - M^{k} (Q_{1} - Q_{2}) M^{k\top} \right)$$
  
$$= Q_{1} - Q_{2}.$$
(A1.51)

The solution is unique.

(J.M. Heninger)

Solution A1.9 - Continuous vs. discrete time Lyapunov equation.

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{n}^{n+1} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{nA} \left( \int_{0}^{1} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}} \right) e^{nA^{\top}}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{n} \bar{\Delta} M^{n^{\top}}.$$
(A1.52)

The equivalence is exact for finite *n*, but has  $n \to \infty$  limit only for stable *A*. (P. Cvitanović)

Solution A1.10 - Lyapunov differential equation in resolvent form. Insert Dirac delta

$$\delta(t-t') = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega(t-t')}$$
(A1.53)

into the solution

$$Q = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{tA^{\top}} \,,$$

of the continuous Lyapunov equation

$$AQ + QA^{\top} = -\Delta < 0,$$

where *A* is stable, in order to obtain:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt dt' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, e^{tA} \Delta e^{t'A^{\top}} e^{i\omega(t-t')}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \frac{1}{i\omega - A} \Delta \frac{1}{-i\omega - A^{\top}} \, .$$

soluNoise - 25sep2019

#### (P. Cvitanović)

Solution A1.11 - Discrete time Lyapunov equation in the resolvent form. Insert the circular Dirac delta

$$\delta_{mn} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta(m-n)}$$
(A1.54)

into the solution

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M^n \bar{\Delta} M^{n \top}$$

and sum the two geometric series:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \sum_{m,n} (e^{-i\theta} M)^n \Delta (e^{i\theta} M^{\mathsf{T}})^m$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{1}{\mathbf{1} - e^{-i\theta} M} \Delta \frac{1}{\mathbf{1} - e^{i\theta} M^{\mathsf{T}}}$$
(A1.55)

where we have taken as the contour the unit circle, with  $\theta$  real. Now we can set  $\theta \rightarrow is$ , s complex,

$$Q = \oint \frac{ds}{2\pi i} \, \frac{1}{1 - e^s M} \Delta \frac{1}{1 - e^{-s} M^{\top}} \,, \tag{A1.56}$$

and freely deform the contour as long as we do not encounter poles from the denominators of the fractions. (P. Cvitanović)

#### Solution A1.12 - Covariance matrix for a periodic orbit.

(a) Consider a Gaussian distribution centered at the point  $\phi_a$  on a periodic orbit p with covariance matrix  $Q_a$ . The monodromy and diffusion matrices vary along the trajectory. After one time step, the distribution evolves according to

$$Q_{a+1} = M_a Q_a M_a^{\top} + \Delta_a.$$

After two time steps,

$$Q_{a+2} = M_{a+1}Q_{a+1}M_{a+1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+1}$$
  
=  $M_{a+1}(M_aQ_aM_a^{\top} + \Delta_a)M_{a+1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+1}$   
=  $M_a^2Q_aM_a^{2\top} + M_{a+1}\Delta_aM_{a+1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+1}$ ,

where we have used the *n* time step product notation  $M_a^2 = M_{a+1}M_a$ , etc., for the Jacobian matrix. After three time steps,

$$Q_{a+3} = M_{a+2}Q_{a+2}M_{a+2}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+2}$$
  
=  $M_a^3Q_aM_a^{3\top} + M_{a+1}^2\Delta_aM_{a+1}^{2\top} + M_{a+2}\Delta_{a+1}M_{a+2} + \Delta_{a+2}$ .

We can iterate this  $n_p$  times to find how the distribution evolves after n times.

$$Q_{a+n_p} = M_{a+n_p-1}Q_{a+n_p-1}M_{a+n_p-1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+n_p-1}$$
  
=  $M_a^{n_p}Q_a M_a^{n_p \top} + M_{a+1}^{n_p-1}\Delta_a M_{a+1}^{n_p-1 \top} + \dots + M_{a_{n_p}-1}\Delta_{a+n_p-2}M_{a+n_p-1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+n_p-1}$ 

We can simplify this expression by introducing the monodromy matrix for the periodic flow,

$$M_{p,a}\equiv M^{n_p}(x_a)\,,$$

and the total accumulated noise

$$\Delta_{p,a} \equiv M_{a+1}^{n_p-1} \Delta_a M_{a+1}^{n_p-1_{\top}} + \dots + M_{a+n_p-1} \Delta_{a+n_p-2} M_{a+n_p-1}^{\top} + \Delta_{a+n_p-1}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} M_{a+i}^{n_p-i} \Delta_{a+i-1} M_{a+i}^{n_p-i_{\top}}, \qquad (A1.57)$$

over the periodic flow.

$$Q_{a+n_p} = M_{p,a}Q_aM_{p,a}^{\top} + \Delta_{p,a}$$

The stationary distribution occurs when  $Q_a = Q_{a+n_p}$ .

$$Q_a = M_{p,a} Q_a M_{p,a}^{\top} + \Delta_{p,a}$$

(b) This proof is very similar. The action of the adjoint Fokker-Planck operator during one time step is

$$M_a Q_a M_a^{\top} = Q_{a+1} + \Delta_a$$
$$Q_{a+1} = M_a Q_a M_a^{\top} - \Delta_a$$

This is the same as what we found above, provided we replace  $\Delta_a$  with  $-\Delta_a$ . The proof follows the same proof as above. The stationarity condition for the covariance matrix of an expanding periodic orbit is

$$Q_a = M_{p,a} Q_a M_{p,a}{}^{\mathsf{T}} - \Delta_{p,a}$$

where  $M_{p,a}$  and  $\Delta_{p,a}$  are defined in part (a).

(J.M. Heninger)

Solution A1.13 - Lyapunov equation - a sketch. No solution available.