

Dirac Matrices and Lorentz Spinors

-

The Dirac Matrices γ^μ generalize the anti-commutation properties of the Pauli matrices σ^i to the 3 + 1 Minkowski dimensions:

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4}. \quad (5)$$

The γ^μ are 4×4 matrices, but there are several different conventions for their specific form.

The γ^0 matrix is hermitian while the γ^1 , γ^2 , and γ^3 matrices are anti-hermitian. Apart from that, the specific forms of the matrices are not important, the physics follows from the anti-commutation relations (5).

In 4D, the vector product becomes the antisymmetric tensor product,

$$S^{\mu\nu} = -S^{\nu\mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]. \quad (7)$$

Thanks to the anti-commutation relations (5) for the γ^μ matrices, the $S^{\mu\nu}$ obey the commutation relations of the Lorentz generators $\hat{J}^{\mu\nu} = -\hat{J}^{\nu\mu}$. Moreover, the commutation relations of the spin matrices $S^{\mu\nu}$ with the Dirac matrices γ^μ are similar to the commutation relations of the $\hat{J}^{\mu\nu}$ with a Lorentz vector such as \hat{P}^μ .

Lemma:

$$[\gamma^\lambda, S^{\mu\nu}] = ig^{\lambda\mu}\gamma^\nu - ig^{\lambda\nu}\gamma^\mu. \quad (8)$$

Proof: Combining the definition (7) of the spin matrices as commutators with the anti-commutation relations (5), we have

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = g^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbf{1}_{4 \times 4} - 2iS^{\mu\nu}. \quad (9)$$

Since the unit matrix commutes with everything, we have

$$[X, S^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{i}{2}[X, \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu] \quad \text{for any matrix } X, \quad (10)$$

and the commutator on the RHS may often be obtained from the Leibniz rules for the commutators or anticommutators:

$$\begin{aligned} [A, BC] &= [A, B]C + B[A, C] = \{A, B\}C - B\{A, C\}, \\ \{A, BC\} &= [A, B]C + B\{A, C\} = \{A, B\}C - B[A, C]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In particular,

$$[\gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = \{\gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\mu\} \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\mu \{\gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - 2g^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu \quad (12)$$

and hence

$$[\gamma^\lambda, S^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\lambda, \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu] = ig^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - ig^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu. \quad (13)$$

Theorem: The $S^{\mu\nu}$ matrices commute with each other like Lorentz generators,

$$[S^{\kappa\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu}] = ig^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} - ig^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} - ig^{\kappa\mu} S^{\lambda\nu} + ig^{\kappa\nu} S^{\lambda\mu}. \quad (14)$$

Proof: Again, we use the Leibniz rule and eq. (9):

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\lambda, S^{\mu\nu}] &= \gamma^\kappa [\gamma^\lambda, S^{\mu\nu}] + [\gamma^\kappa, S^{\mu\nu}] \gamma^\lambda \\ &= \gamma^\kappa (ig^{\lambda\mu} \gamma^\nu - ig^{\lambda\nu} \gamma^\mu) + (ig^{\kappa\mu} \gamma^\nu - ig^{\kappa\nu} \gamma^\mu) \gamma^\lambda \\ &= ig^{\lambda\mu} (\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\nu = g^{\kappa\nu} - 2iS^{\kappa\nu}) - ig^{\lambda\nu} (\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\mu = g^{\kappa\mu} - 2iS^{\kappa\mu}) \\ &\quad + ig^{\kappa\mu} (\gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda = g^{\lambda\nu} + 2iS^{\lambda\nu}) - ig^{\kappa\nu} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\lambda = g^{\lambda\mu} + 2iS^{\lambda\mu}) \\ &= 2g^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} - 2g^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} - 2g^{\kappa\mu} S^{\lambda\nu} + 2g^{\kappa\nu} S^{\lambda\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

since all the $\pm ig^{\dots} g^{\dots}$ cancel each other, hence

$$[S^{\kappa\lambda}, S^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\kappa \gamma^\lambda, S^{\mu\nu}] = ig^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu} - ig^{\lambda\nu} S^{\kappa\mu} - ig^{\kappa\mu} S^{\lambda\nu} + ig^{\kappa\nu} S^{\lambda\mu}. \quad (16)$$

In light of this theorem, the $S^{\mu\nu}$ matrices *represent* the Lorentz generators $\hat{J}^{\mu\nu}$ in the 4-component spinor multiplet.

Finite Lorentz transforms:

Any continuous Lorentz transform — a rotation, or a boost, or a product of a boost and a rotation — obtains from exponentiating an infinitesimal symmetry

$$X'^{\mu} = X^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu} X_{\nu} \quad (17)$$

where the infinitesimal $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ matrix is antisymmetric when both indices are raised (or both lowered), $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\nu\mu}$. Thus, the L^{μ}_{ν} matrix of any continuous Lorentz transform is a matrix exponential

$$L^{\mu}_{\nu} = \exp(\Theta)^{\mu}_{\nu} \equiv \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \Theta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Theta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\Theta^{\lambda}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{6}\Theta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\Theta^{\lambda}_{\kappa}\Theta^{\kappa}_{\nu} + \dots \quad (18)$$

of some matrix Θ that becomes antisymmetric when both of its indices are raised or lowered, $\Theta^{\mu\nu} = -\Theta^{\nu\mu}$. Note however that in the matrix exponential (18), the first index of Θ is raised while the second index is lowered, so the antisymmetry condition becomes $(g\Theta)^{\top} = -(g\Theta)$ instead of $\Theta^{\top} = -\Theta$.

The Dirac spinor representation of the finite Lorentz transform (18) is the 4×4 matrix

$$M_D(L) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\Theta_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta}\right). \quad (19)$$

The group law for such matrices

$$\forall L_1, L_2 \in \text{SO}^+(3, 1), \quad M_D(L_2 L_1) = M_D(L_2) M_D(L_1) \quad (20)$$

follows automatically from the $S^{\mu\nu}$ satisfying the commutation relations (14) of the Lorentz generators. When the Dirac matrices γ^{μ} are sandwiched between the $M_D(L)$ and its inverse, they transform into each other as components of a Lorentz 4–vector,

$$M_D^{-1}(L)\gamma^{\mu}M_D(L) = L^{\mu}_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}. \quad (21)$$

This formula makes the Dirac equation transform covariantly under the Lorentz transforms.

Proof: In light of the exponential form (19) of the matrix $M_D(L)$ representing a finite Lorentz transform in the Dirac spinor multiplet, let's use the multiple commutator formula (AKA the [Hadamard Lemma](#)): for any 2 matrices F and H ,

$$\exp(-F)H \exp(+F) = H + [H, F] + \frac{1}{2} [[H, F], F] + \frac{1}{6} [[[H, F], F], F] + \dots \quad (22)$$

In particular, let $H = \gamma^\mu$ while $F = -\frac{i}{2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta} S^{\alpha\beta}$ so that $M_D(L) = \exp(+F)$ and $M_D^{-1}(L) = \exp(-F)$. Consequently,

$$M_D^{-1}(L)\gamma^\mu M_D(L) = \gamma^\mu + [\gamma^\mu, F] + \frac{1}{2} [[\gamma^\mu, F], F] + \frac{1}{6} [[[\gamma^\mu, F], F], F] + \dots \quad (23)$$

where all the multiple commutators turn out to be linear combinations of the Dirac matrices. Indeed, the single commutator here is

$$[\gamma^\mu, F] = -\frac{i}{2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta} [\gamma^\mu, S^{\alpha\beta}] = \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{\alpha\beta} (g^{\mu\alpha} \gamma^\beta - g^{\mu\beta} \gamma^\alpha) = \Theta_{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\alpha} \gamma^\beta = \Theta^\mu_\lambda \gamma^\lambda, \quad (24)$$

while the multiple commutators follow by iterating this formula:

$$[[\gamma^\mu, F], F] = \Theta^\mu_\lambda [\gamma^\lambda, F] = \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\nu \gamma^\nu, \quad [[[\gamma^\mu, F], F], F] = \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\rho \Theta^\rho_\nu \gamma^\nu, \dots \quad (25)$$

Combining all these commutators as in eq. (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_D^{-1}\gamma^\mu M_D &= \gamma^\mu + [\gamma^\mu, F] + \frac{1}{2} [[\gamma^\mu, F], F] + \frac{1}{6} [[[\gamma^\mu, F], F], F] + \dots \\ &= \gamma^\mu + \Theta^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\nu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{6} \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\rho \Theta^\rho_\nu \gamma^\nu + \dots \\ &= \left(\delta^\mu_\nu + \Theta^\mu_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\nu + \frac{1}{6} \Theta^\mu_\lambda \Theta^\lambda_\rho \Theta^\rho_\nu + \dots \right) \gamma^\nu \\ &\equiv L^\mu_\nu \gamma^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Dirac Equation and Dirac Spinor Fields

Dirac had thought that the source of all troubles was the ugly form of relativistic Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + m^2}$ in the coordinate basis, and that he could solve all the problems with the Klein-Gordon equation by rewriting the Hamiltonian as a first-order differential operator

$$\hat{H} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \vec{\alpha} + m\beta \implies \text{Dirac equation } i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -i\vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \psi + m\beta \psi \quad (27)$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta$ are matrices acting on a multi-component wave function. Specifically, all four of these matrices are Hermitian, square to 1, and anticommute with each other,

$$\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0, \quad \beta^2 = 1. \quad (28)$$

Consequently

$$(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2 = \alpha_i \alpha_j \times \hat{p}_i \hat{p}_j = \frac{1}{2} \{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} \times \hat{p}_i \hat{p}_j = \delta_{ij} \times \hat{p}_i \hat{p}_j = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^2, \quad (29)$$

and therefore

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Dirac}}^2 = (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + \beta m)^2 = (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2 + \{\alpha_i, \beta\} \times \hat{p}_i m + \beta^2 \times m^2 = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + 0 + m^2. \quad (30)$$

This, the Dirac Hamiltonian squares to $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + m^2$, as it should for the relativistic particle.

The Dirac equation is the equation of motion for a Dirac spinor field $\Psi(x)$, comprising 4 complex component fields $\Psi_\alpha(x)$ arranged in a column vector

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1(x) \\ \Psi_2(x) \\ \Psi_3(x) \\ \Psi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

and transforming under the continuous Lorentz symmetries $x'^\mu = L^\mu_\nu x^\nu$ according to

$$\Psi'(x') = M_D(L)\Psi(x). \quad (32)$$

The classical Euler–Lagrange equation of motion for the spinor field is the Dirac equation

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi + i \vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \Psi - m \beta \Psi = 0. \quad (33)$$

To recast this equation in a Lorentz-covariant form, let

$$\beta = \gamma^0, \quad \alpha^i = \gamma^0 \gamma^i; \quad (34)$$

it is easy to see that if the γ^μ matrices obey the anticommutation relations (5) then the $\vec{\alpha}$ and β matrices obey the relations (28) and vice versa. Now let's multiply the whole LHS of the Dirac equation (33) by the $\beta = \gamma^0$:

$$0 = \gamma^0 \left(i \partial_0 + i \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} \cdot \nabla - m \gamma^0 \right) \Psi(x) = \left(i \gamma^0 \partial_0 + i \gamma^i \partial_i - m \right) \Psi(x), \quad (35)$$

and hence

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi(x) = 0. \quad (36)$$

As expected from $\hat{H}_{\text{Dirac}}^2 = \hat{\mathbf{p}}^2 + m^2$, the Dirac equation for the spinor field implies the Klein–Gordon equation for each component $\Psi_\alpha(x)$. Indeed, if $\Psi(x)$ obey the Dirac equation,

then obviously

$$(-i\gamma^\nu\partial_\nu - m) \times (i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi(x) = 0, \quad (37)$$

but the differential operator on the LHS is equal to the Klein–Gordon $m^2 + \partial^2$ times a unit matrix:

$$(-i\gamma^\nu\partial_\nu - m)(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m) = m^2 + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\mu = m^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu = m^2 + g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu. \quad (38)$$

The Dirac equation (36) transforms covariantly under the Lorentz symmetries — its LHS transforms exactly like the spinor field itself.

Proof: Note that since the Lorentz symmetries involve the x^μ coordinates as well as the spinor field components, the LHS of the Dirac equation becomes

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial'_\mu - m)\Psi'(x') \quad (39)$$

where

$$\partial'_\mu \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} = \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x'^\mu} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} = (L^{-1})_\mu^\nu \times \partial_\nu. \quad (40)$$

Consequently,

$$\partial'_\mu\Psi'(x') = (L^{-1})_\mu^\nu \times M_D(L) \partial_\nu\Psi(x) \quad (41)$$

and hence

$$\gamma^\mu\partial'_\mu\Psi'(x') = (L^{-1})_\mu^\nu \times \gamma^\mu M_D(L) \partial_\nu\Psi(x). \quad (42)$$

But according to eq. (23),

$$\begin{aligned} M_D^{-1}(L)\gamma^\mu M_D(L) = L^\mu_\nu\gamma^\nu &\implies \gamma^\mu M_D(L) = L^\mu_\nu \times M_D(L)\gamma^\nu \\ &\implies (L^{-1})_\mu^\nu \times \gamma^\mu M_D(L) = M_D(L)\gamma^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

so

$$\gamma^\mu\partial'_\mu\Psi'(x') = M_D(L) \times \gamma^\nu\partial_\nu\Psi(x). \quad (44)$$

Altogether,

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi(x) \xrightarrow{\text{Lorentz}} (i\gamma^\mu\partial'_\mu - m)\Psi'(x') = M_D(L) \times (i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi(x), \quad (45)$$

which proves the covariance of the Dirac equation.

Dirac Lagrangian

The Dirac equation is a first-order differential equation, so to obtain it as an Euler–Lagrange equation, we need a Lagrangian which is linear rather than quadratic in the spinor field’s derivatives. Thus, we want

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi} \times (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\Psi \quad (46)$$

where $\bar{\Psi}(x)$ is some kind of a conjugate field to the $\Psi(x)$. Since Ψ is a complex field, we treat Ψ and $\bar{\Psi}$ as linearly-independent from each other, so the Euler–Lagrange equation for the $\bar{\Psi}$ immediately gives us the Dirac equation for the $\Psi(x)$ field,

$$0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\Psi}} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}} = (i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu - m)\Psi - \partial_\mu(0). \quad (47)$$

To keep the action $S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$ Lorentz-invariant, the Lagrangian (46) should transform as a Lorentz scalar, $\mathcal{L}'(x') = \mathcal{L}(x)$. In light of eq. (19) for the $\Psi(x)$ field and covariance (45) of the Dirac equation, the conjugate field $\bar{\Psi}(x)$ should transform according to

$$\bar{\Psi}'(x') = \bar{\Psi}(x) \times M_D^{-1}(L) \implies \mathcal{L}'(x') = \mathcal{L}(x). \quad (48)$$

Note that the $M_D(L)$ matrix is generally not unitary, so the inverse matrix $M_D^{-1}(L)$ in eq. (48) is different from the hermitian conjugate $M_D^\dagger(L)$. Consequently, the conjugate field $\bar{\Psi}(x)$ cannot be identified with the hermitian conjugate field $\Psi^\dagger(x)$, since the latter transforms to

$$\Psi'^\dagger(x') = \Psi^\dagger(x) \times M_D^\dagger(L) \neq \Psi^\dagger(x) \times M_D^{-1}(L). \quad (49)$$

Instead of the hermitian conjugate, we are going to use the Dirac conjugate spinor, see below.

Dirac conjugates:

Let Ψ be a 4-component Dirac spinor and Γ be any 4×4 matrix; we *define* their Dirac conjugates according to

$$\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \times \gamma^0, \quad \bar{\Gamma} = \gamma^0 \times \Gamma^\dagger \times \gamma^0. \quad (50)$$

Thanks to $\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = 1$, the Dirac conjugates behave similarly to hermitian conjugates or transposed matrices:

- For a product of 2 matrices, $\overline{(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)} = \bar{\Gamma}_2 \times \bar{\Gamma}_1$.
- Likewise, for a matrix and a spinor, $\overline{(\Gamma \times \Psi)} = \bar{\Psi} \times \bar{\Gamma}$.
- The Dirac conjugate of a complex number is its complex conjugate, $\overline{(c \times \mathbf{1})} = c^* \times \mathbf{1}$.
- For any two spinors Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 and any matrix Γ , $\bar{\Psi}_1 \bar{\Gamma} \Psi_2 = (\bar{\Psi}_2 \Gamma \Psi_1)^*$.
 - The Dirac spinor fields are fermionic, so they anticommute with each other, even in the classical limit. Nevertheless, $(\Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\beta)^\dagger = +\Psi_\beta^\dagger \Psi_\alpha$, and therefore for any matrix Γ , $\bar{\Psi}_1 \bar{\Gamma} \Psi_2 = +(\bar{\Psi}_2 \Gamma \Psi_1)^*$.

The point of the Dirac conjugation (50) is that it works similarly for all four Dirac matrices γ^μ ,

$$\overline{\gamma^\mu} = +\gamma^\mu. \quad (51)$$

Proof: For $\mu = 0$, the γ^0 is hermitian, hence

$$\overline{\gamma^0} = \gamma^0 (\gamma^0)^\dagger \gamma^0 = +\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^0 = +\gamma^0. \quad (52)$$

For $\mu = i = 1, 2, 3$, the γ^i are anti-hermitian and also anticommute with the γ^0 , hence

$$\overline{\gamma^i} = \gamma^0 (\gamma^i)^\dagger \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^i \gamma^0 = +\gamma^0 \gamma^0 \gamma^i = +\gamma^i. \quad (53)$$

Corollary: *The Dirac conjugate of the matrix*

$$M_D(L) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2} \Theta_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}\right) \quad (19)$$

representing any continuous Lorentz symmetry $L = \exp(\Theta)$ is the inverse matrix

$$\bar{M}_D(L) = M_D^{-1}(L) = \exp\left(+\frac{i}{2} \Theta_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}\right). \quad (54)$$

Proof: Let

$$X = -\frac{i}{2} \Theta_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu} = +\frac{1}{8} \Theta_{\mu\nu} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = +\frac{1}{4} \Theta_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \quad (55)$$

for some real antisymmetric Lorentz parameters $\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -\Theta_{\nu\mu}$. The Dirac conjugate of the

X matrix is

$$\overline{X} = \overline{\frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\mu\nu}^*\overline{\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu} = \frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = \frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\nu\mu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = -\frac{1}{4}\Theta_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = -X. \quad (56)$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{X^2} = \overline{X} \times \overline{X} = +X^2, \quad \overline{X^3} = \overline{X} \times \overline{X^2} = \overline{X^2} \times \overline{X} = -X^3, \quad \dots, \quad \overline{X^n} = (-X)^n, \quad (57)$$

and hence

$$\overline{\exp(X)} = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \overline{X^n} = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} (-X)^n = \exp(-X). \quad (58)$$

In light of eq. (55), this means

$$\overline{\exp(-\frac{i}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu})} = \exp(+\frac{i}{2}\Theta_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu}), \quad (59)$$

that is,

$$\overline{M_D(L)} = M_D^{-1}(L). \quad (60)$$

The Dirac Lagrangian:

Thanks to the theorem (60), the conjugate field $\overline{\Psi}(x)$ in the Lagrangian (46) is simply the Dirac conjugate of the Dirac spinor field $\Psi(x)$,

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) = \Psi^\dagger(x) \times \gamma^0, \quad (61)$$

which transforms under Lorentz symmetries as

$$\overline{\Psi}'(x') = \overline{\Psi'(x')} = \overline{M_D(L) \times \Psi(x)} = \overline{\Psi(x)} \times \overline{M_D(L)} = \overline{\Psi}(x) \times M_D^{-1}(L). \quad (62)$$

Consequently, the Dirac Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\Psi} \times (i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi = \Psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \times (i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\Psi \quad (46)$$

is a Lorentz scalar and the action is Lorentz invariant.