

AIM Seminar

U of Michigan

Sep 7 2007

Turbulence? a stroll through 61,506 dimensions

> Predrag Cvitanovic' John F Gibson

School of Physics Georgia Tech, Atlanta GA, USA Turbulence: A walk through a repertoire of unstable recurrent patterns?

As a turbulent flow evolves, every so often we catch a glimpse of a familiar pattern:



For any finite spatial resolution, the system follows approximately for a finite time a pattern belonging to a finite alphabet of admissible patterns. The long term dynamics = a walk through the space of such unstable patterns.

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 1 – ChaosBook.org

New experiments: Unstable Coherent Structures

Stereoscopic Particle Image Velocimetry \rightarrow 3-d velocity field over the entire pipe¹



Observed structures resemble numerically computed traveling waves

What lies beyond?

¹Casimir W.H. van Doorne (PhD thesis, Delft 2004); Hof et al., Science (Sep 10, 2004)

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 2 – ChaosBook.org

Wall-bounded turbulence in channel flow



Pressure driven turbulent channel flow, Re = 3700. Walls top/bottom, periodic BCs front/back and sides. Red/blue is fast/slow into screen.²

* Near-wall rolls generate turbulence.

* Mix high and low speed fluids near wall, generating drag.

²J.F. Gibson: www.channelflow.org

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 3 – ChaosBook.org

Navier-Stokes for fluid velocity u(x,t) and pressure p(x,t)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla_{\mathsf{P}} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$



periodic, wall-bounded domain $\Omega = [0, \mathcal{L}_X] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, \mathcal{L}_Z]$ with BCs

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\pm\mathbf{1},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{t}) &= \pm\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}) &= \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{t}), \qquad \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{z}}) &= \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\mathbf{t}). \end{split}$$

AIM Seminar - U. Michigan Sept 2007

-- 4 ---

Turbulent plane Couette Flow

simplest possible turbulent flow: Re = 400



3,4

³Numerical study: Hamilton, Kim, Waleffe, JFM 2**8**7 (1995) ⁴Self-sustaining process: Waleffe, Phys. Fluids 9 (1997)

THE POINT OF THIS TALK

.





ChaosBook.org

!!! THE POINT OF THIS TALK !!!

UNLEARN: 3-d VISUALIZATION

instant in turbulent evolution:

a <mark>3-d video frame</mark>, each pixel a 3-d velocity field instant in turbulent evolution: a unique point

theory of turbulence = geometry of the state space

[E. Hopf 1948]

THINK IN STATE SPACE!

.

Q: How do you treat Navier-Stokes as a dynamical system?

.

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 6 – ChaosBook.org



State space portraits = projections on well-chosen states $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_n$: $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n(t) = (\mathbf{u}(t), \ \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n)_\Omega$ (integral over the box)

ODE vs. CFD reps. of Navier-Stokes

ODE formulation

- Closed-form, unconstrained, real-valued dynamical system
- Orthonormal Φ_n : $||a||^2 = ||\mathbf{u}||_0^2$
- Impossible to integrate: F quadratic in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \approx 10^5$

CFD algorithm

- Efficient time integration of Navier-Stokes
- Constraints: pressure, BCs, complex symmetries
- No 1-order ODE formulation, no clear set of independent variables

ODE: Orthonormal, divergence-free basis

inner product:

$$(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{L}_{X}} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{L}_{Z}} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} \, dx \, dy \, dz$$

construct basis $\{\Phi_n(x) \mid n = 1, ..., \infty\}$ with properties

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{real, vector-valued:} & \Phi_n = \varPhi_n^u e_x + \varPhi_n^v e_y + \varPhi_n^w e_w \\ & \mbox{orthonormal:} & (\Phi_n, \Phi_m)_\Omega = \delta_{mn} \\ & \mbox{divergence-free:} & \nabla \cdot \Phi_n = 0 \\ & \mbox{Dirichlet at walls:} & \Phi_n(x, \pm 1, z) = 0 \\ & \mbox{periodic in } x, z: & \Phi_n(x, y, z) = \Phi_n(x + \pounds_x, y, z) = \Phi_n(x, y, z + \pounds_z) \end{array}$

ODE: Galerkin projection of Navier-Stokes

expand \mathbf{u} (deviation of velocity from laminar)

$$u(x,t) = a_n(t)\Phi_n(x), \quad n, = 1, ..., d$$

Galerkin projection of NS onto Φ_{m} produces ODE in \mathbb{R}^{d}

$$\dot{a}_m = F(a)_m = L_{mn} a_n + N_{mnp} a_n a_p, \quad m, n, p = 1, ..., d.$$

where

- $L_{mn} = (\nu \nabla^2 \Phi_n \partial \Phi_n / \partial x, \Phi_n^{\vee} e_x, \Phi_m)_{\Omega}$ and $N_{mnp} = -(\Phi_n \nabla \Phi_p, \Phi_m)_{\Omega}$
- Indices range from 1 to $d \approx 10^5$ (2 × 32³ to 2 × 48³)
- ODE system too big to integrate

CFD/ODE: State space portraits

Visualize state space by projecting ODE a(t) or CFD u(t) onto a few well-chosen $\{u_1,u_2,u_3\}$ representative velocity fields

(e.g., a few equilibria and their unstable eigenvectors). Construct $\{\hat{u}_1,\hat{u}_2,\hat{u}_3\}$ by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization and inner product

$$(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{V} \int_0^{\mathcal{L}_X} \int_{-1}^1 \int_0^{\mathcal{L}_z} \mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}_2 \, dx \, dy \, dz$$

State space portraits = projections

 $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_n(\mathsf{t}) = (\mathbf{u}(\mathsf{t}), \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n)_\Omega$

The devil is in the details

٠

Turbulent flows cannot be modeled by a few modes

۲

Attractor is "low dimensional," but has to be tracked in the full 10^3 to 10^5 dimensions

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 7 – ChaosBook.org

John F. Gibson:

- High-level representation of CFD objects: fields, DNS algorithms, differential operators, etc
- Compact, readable programs
- C++ library of spectral CFD building blocks
- Automated test suite, verification against known solutions

CFD: Geometry and spectral convergence

Hamilton, Kim, Waleffe (HWK) 400 $7\pi/4$ 2 $6\pi/5$ sustained turbulence



Adequate resolution: $32 \times 33 \times 32$ to $48 \times 49 \times 48$ grids

A "turbulent Plane Couette" trajectory Re = 400



a long transient to the laminar state

60K modes 3D Navier-Stokes DNS, a projection from

Fourier × Fourier × Chebyshev \rightarrow well-chosen statespace 3d frame

Equilibria / Traveling waves

.



right of the ``+" trajectories escape left of the ``+" fall into chaotic attractor circling the ``-" equilibrium point

Turbulence vs. upper-branch equilibrium



Typical turbulent field

Upper-branch equilibrium⁵

AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 9 – ChaosBook.org

UB unstable manifold, symmetric subspace



Shift-reflect, shift-rotate unstable manifold of upper branch.





AIM Seminar – U. Michigan Sept 2007 – 11 – ChaosBook.org





$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} (x, y, z) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ -w \end{pmatrix} (\frac{\mathcal{L}_{x}}{2} + x, y, -z) \qquad \text{shift-reflect}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -u \\ -v \\ w \end{pmatrix} (\frac{\mathcal{L}_{x}}{2} - x, -y, \frac{\mathcal{L}_{z}}{2} + z) \qquad \text{shift-rotate}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} (x + \tau_{x}, -y, z + \tau_{z}) \qquad \text{translate}$$

Unstable symmetric LB, UB, NB eigenvalues



UB, LB and NB symmetric state-space portrait



Coordinates of phase portrait are orthogonalized LB, NB, UB.



A stroll in 61,506 dimensions



Unstable manifolds of $u_{\rm LB}$ and its half-cell translations, and a 2d portion of the $u_{\rm LB}$ unstable manifold, projected from 61,506 dimensions to 3 in the state space global basis



A transiently turbulent trajectory in the $\mathbf{u}_{\mbox{\tiny NB}}$ unstable manifold, in isolation.



A transiently turbulent trajectory in the $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle MB}$ unstable manifold, within the cage formed by $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle LB}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle MB}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle MB}$, their half-cell translations, and their unstable manifolds. The final decay to laminar of several other trajectories in the unstable manifolds of $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle MB}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle MB}$ are also shown.



Three periodic orbits: (green) T = 74.34. (red) T = 102.286 (may be a close recurrence). (blue) T = 88.905.

Conclusions: geometry of Navier Stokes

- dual ODE / CFD representations of Navier-Stokes
- State space portraits
- Computed eigenvalues, eigenfunctions of equilibrium states, w,w/o symmetry
- Heteroclinic connections between equilibria
- Turbulent dynamics around upper branch
- www.channelflow.org public domain software

Future looks bright

.

Kuramoto-Sivashinsky: Hopf's vision

A long time series:







Moral of the story

If you raise a group of plumbers, you shouldn't be ⁶ upset if they can't do theoretical physics. A retired Army two-star general [who requested anonymity]

⁶Fred Kaplan, ``Challenging the Generals`', New York Times Sunday Magazine (August 26, 2007).